Notes On Programming in TEX

Dr. Christian Feuersänger cfeuersaenger@users.sourceforge.net

August 23, 2012

Abstract

This document contains notes which are intended for those who are interested in TEX programming. It is valuable for beginners as a first start with a lot of examples, and it is also valuable for experienced TEXnicians who are interested in details about TEX programming. However, it is neither a complete reference, nor a complete manual of TEX.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1	
2	Pro	Programming in T _F X		
	2.1	Variables in Registers	2	
		2.1.1 Allocating Registers	3	
		2.1.2 Using More than 256 Registers	3	
	2.2	Arithmetics in T _F X	3	
	2.3	Expansion Control	4	
		2.3.1 Macros	4	
		2.3.2 Token Registers	9	
		2.3.3 Summary of macro definition commands	9	
		2.3.4 Debugging Tools – Understanding and Tracing What TFX Does	11	
	2.4	The Scope of a Variable	11	
		2.4.1 Global Variables	12	
		2.4.2 Transporting Changes to an Outer Group	12	
	2.5	Branching	13	
		2.5.1 Boolean Variables	14	
		2.5.2 Special Cases for Conditionals	15	
	2.6	Loops	15	
		2.6.1 Counting loops	16	
		2.6.2 Loops over list of items	17	
	2.7	More On TEX	18	
3	Spe	cial Tricks	18	
	3.1	Handling # in Arguments	18	
In	\mathbf{dex}		20	

1 Introduction

This document is intended to provide a direct start with TEX programming (not necessarily TEX typesetting). The addressed audience consists of people interested in package or library writing.

At the time of this writing, this document is far from complete. Nevertheless, it might be a good starting point for interested readers. Consult the literature given below for more details.

2 Programming in T_FX

2.1 Variables in Registers

T_EX provides several different variables and associated registers which can be manipulated freely.

```
\count\langle num \rangle
```

There are 256 Integer registers which provide 32 Bit Integer arithmetics. The registers can be used for example with \count0=42 or \count7=\macro where \macro expands to a number.

The value of a register can be typeset using $\mathsf{the}\langle register \rangle$.

```
The value is now '42'.

The value is now '-123456'.
```

```
\count0=42
The value is now '\the\count0'.
\def\macro{-123456}
\count0=\macro
The value is now '\the\count0'.
```

The '=' sign is optional and can be omitted. One thing is common among the registers: an assignment of the form $\setminus count0 = \langle \cdots \rangle$ expands everything which follows until the expansion doesn't need more numbers – even more than one following macro.

The value is now '123456789'.

```
\def\firstmacro{123}
\def\secondmacro{456}
\def\thirdmacro{789}
\count0=\firstmacro\secondmacro\thirdmacro
The value is now '\the\count0'.
```

The precise rules can be found in [2], but it should be kept in mind that care needs to be taken here. More than once, my code failed to produce the expected result because TEX kept expanding macros and the registers got unexpected results. Here is the correct method:

- 1. The value is now '42'.
- 2. The following code will absorb the '3' of '3.':
- . The value is now '12343'.
- 4. Use \relax after an assignment to end scanning:
- 5. The value is now '1234'.

```
1. \count0=42 % a white space after the number aborts the reading process.
The value is now '\the\count0'.
2. The following code will absorb the '3' of '3.':
\def\macro{1234}
\count0=\macro % a white space after a macro will be absorbed by TeX, so this is wrong.
3. The value is now '\the\count0'.
4. Use \textbackslash relax after an assignment to end scanning:
\count0=\macro\relax
5. The value is now '\the\count0'.
```

The command \relax tells TeX to "relax": it stops scanning for tokens, but \relax doesn't expand to anything.

$\dim \langle num \rangle$

There are also 255 registers for fixed point numbers which are used pretty much in the same way as the \count registers – but \dimen register assignments require a unit like 'cm' or 'pt'.

String access with '\the' works in exactly the same way as for \count registers.

```
The value is now 1.0pt.

The value is now 0.0001pt.

The value is now 1234.5678pt.
```

```
\dimen0=1pt
The value is now \the\dimen0.
\dimen0=0.0001pt
The value is now \the\dimen0.
\def\macro{1234.5678}
\dimen0=\macro pt
The value is now \the\dimen0.
```

The same rules with expansion of macros after assignments apply here as well.

The \dimen registers perform their arithmetics internally with 32 bit scaled integers, so called 'scaled point' with unit 'sp'. It holds $1pt=65536sp=2^{16}sp$. One of the 32 bits is used as sign. The total number range in pt is $[-(2^{30}-1)/2^{16},(2^{30}-1)/2^{16}] = [-16383.9998,+16383.9998]^1$.

$\toks\langle number \rangle$

There are also 255 token registers which can be thought of as special string variables. Of course, every macro assignment $\{content\}$ is also some kind of string variable, but token registers are special: their contents won't be expanded when used with $\{the\}$. This can be used for fine grained expansion control, see Section 2.3 below.

2.1.1 Allocating Registers

2.1.2 Using More than 256 Registers

2.2 Arithmetics in T_EX

 $\advance\langle register \rangle \ by \langle quantity \rangle$

The value is now 52.

```
\count0=42
\advance\count0 by 10
The value is now \the\count0.
```

The value is now 11.0pt.

```
\dimen0=1pt \advance\dimen0 by 10pt The value is now \the\dimen0.
```

$\mbox{multiply} \langle register \rangle \mbox{ by} \langle integer \rangle$

The value is now -420.

```
\count0=42
\multiply\count0 by -10
The value is now \the\count0.
```

The value is now 10.0pt.

```
\dimen0=0.5pt
\multiply\dimen0 by 20
The value is now \the\dimen0.
```

$\divide\langle register \rangle \ by \langle integer \rangle$

This allows integer division by $\langle integer \rangle$ with truncation.

The value is now 2.

```
\count0=5
\divide\count0 by 2
The value is now \the\count0.
```

Scaling of \dimen registers:

The value is now 0.5pt.

¹Please note that this does not cover the complete range of a 32 bit integer, I do not know why.

```
\dimen0=10pt
\divide\dimen0 by 20
The value is now \the\dimen0.
```

 $\dimen\langle number\rangle = \langle fixed\ point\ number\ without\ unit\rangle \backslash \dimen\langle number\rangle$

This allows fixed point multiplication in \dimen registers.

```
The value is now 30.0003pt.
```

```
\dimen1=50pt
\dimen0=0.6\dimen1
The value is now \the\dimen0.
```

2.3 Expansion Control

Expansion is what T_EX does all the time. Thus, expansion control is a key concept for understanding how to program in T_EX.

The first thing to know is: TEX deals the input as a long, long sequence of "tokens". A token is the smallest unit which is understood by TEX. Each character becomes a token the first time it is seen by TEX. Every macro becomes a (single!) token the first time it is seen by TEX.

The second thing to know is what characters are before TEX has seen them. Although this knowledge is rarely needed in every day's life, it is nevertheless important. The characters which are in the input document are nothing but characters at first. Even the characters known to have a special meaning like '%', '\' or the braces '{}' are not special – until they have been converted to a token. This happens when TEX encounters them the first time during its linear processing of the character stream. A token stays a token and it will remain the same token forever. If you manage to tell TEX that '\' is a normal character and TEX sees just one backslash, this backslash will be a normal character token – even if the meaning of all following backslashes is again special.

Now, we are given a very long list of tokens $\langle token1 \rangle \langle token2 \rangle \langle token3 \rangle \langle token4 \rangle \langle token5 \rangle \cdots$. TEX processes these tokens one-by-one in linear sequence. If $\langle token1 \rangle$ is a character token like 'a', it is typeset. This is not what I want to write about here now; my main point is how to program in TEX². So, the interesting thing in these notes is when $\langle token1 \rangle$ is a macro.

2.3.1 Macros

We have already seen some applications of macros above. Actually, most users who are willing to read notes about TEX programming will have seen macros and may have written some on their own – for example using \newcommand (\newcommand is a "more high-level" version of \def used only in LATEX).

A macro has a name and is treated as an elementary token in TeX (even if the name is very long). A macro has replacement text. As soon as TeX encounters a macro, it replaces its occurrence with the replacement text. Furthermore, a macro can consume one or more of the following tokens as arguments.

Executing it: 'This here is actually the replacement text.'.

```
\def\macro{This here is actually the replacement text.}
Executing it: '\macro'.
```

Invoking it: replacement with first argument=hello!.

```
\def\macro#1{replacement with first argument=#1}
Invoking it: \macro{hello!}.
```

This here is not really a surprise. What might come as a surprise is that the accepted arguments can be pretty much anything.

```
Invoking it: replacement with arguments: 'a' and 'sign'.

\def\macro#1-#2.{replacement with arguments: '#1' and '#2'.}

Invoking it: \macro a-sign.
```

The last example \macro runs through the token list which follows the occurrence of \macro. This token list is "a-sign.". Macro expansion is greedy, that means the first matching pattern is used. Now, our \macro

²Of course, typesetting is an art in itself and there is a lot to read about it. Just not here in these notes.

expected something, then a minus sign '-', then another (possibly long) argument, then a period '.'. The argument between \macro and the minus sign is available as #1 and the tokens between the minus sign and the period as #2.

```
I found arguments '42', '43' and '44'.
```

```
\def\macro(#1,#2,#3){I found arguments '#1', '#2' and '#3'.}
\macro(42,43,44)
```

As we have seen, macros can be used to manipulate the input tokens by expansion: they take some input arguments (maybe none) away and insert other tokens into the input token list. These tokens will be the next to process. We will soon learn more about that.

There is a command which helps to understand what T_FX does here:

$\mbox{\mbox{\tt meaning}} \langle macro \rangle$

This command expands to the contents of $\langle macro \rangle$ as it is seen by T_FX.

```
\def\macro{Replacement \textmacro text \count0=42 \the\count0.}
\message{Debug message: '\meaning\macro'}
```

As result, the log file and terminal output will contain

```
Debug message: 'macro:->Replacement \textmacro text \count 0=42 \the \count 0.'
```

The last example already shows something about \def: the replacement text can still contain other macros.

```
\def(\macroname) \argument\ pattern) \{(\macroname)\}
```

A new macro named $\langle macroname \rangle$ will be defined (or re-defined). The $\{\langle replacement\ text \rangle\}$ is the macro body, whenever the macro is executed, it expands to $\{\langle replacement\ text \rangle\}$. The $\{\langle replacement\ text \rangle\}$ is a token list which can contain other macros. On the time of the definition, T_EX does not process (expand) the $\{\langle replacement\ text \rangle\}$.

The $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}$ will only be expanded if the macro is executed. This does also apply to any macros which are inside of $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}$.

Now, I execute it: Macro two contains This is macro one..

Now, I execute the second macro again: Macro two contains Redefined macroone..

```
\def\macroone{This is macro one}
\def\macrotwo{Macro two contains \macroone.}
Now, I execute it: \macrotwo.
\def\macroone{Redefined macroone}
Now, I execute the second macro again: \macrotwo.
```

Macros can be defined almost everywhere in a TEX document. They can also be invoked almost everywhere.

The $\langle argument\ pattern \rangle$ is a token list which can contain simple strings or macro parameters '# $\langle number \rangle$ ' or other macro tokens. The $\langle number \rangle$ of the first parameter is always 1, the second must have 2 and so on up to at most 9. Valid argument patterns are '#1#2#3', '(#1,#2,#3)' or '---\relax'. If TeX executes a macro, it searches for $\langle argument\ pattern \rangle$ in the input token list until the first match is found. If no match can be found, it aborts with a (more or less helpful) error message.

Got 'g'

```
\def\macrone abc{\macrotwo}
\def\macrotwo def{\macrothree}
\def\macrothree#1{Got '#1'}
\macroone abcdefg
```

The last example contains three macro definitions. Then, T_EX encounters \macroone. The input token list is now

'\macroone abcdefg'.

The space(s) following \macroone are ignored by T_EX , they delimit the $\langle \text{macroname} \rangle$. Now, T_EX attempts to find matches for $\langle argument\ pattern \rangle$. It expects 'abc' – and it finds 'abc'. These three

tokens are *removed* from the input token list, and TEX inserts the replacement text of \macroone which is \macrotwo. At that time, the input token list is

'\macrotwo defg'.

Now, the same game continues with $\mbox{\mbox{macrotwo:}}\ TEX$ searches for the expected $\{\mbox{\mbox{\mbox{$argument$}}}\ pattern\}$ which is 'def', erases these tokens from the input token list and inserts the replacement text of $\mbox{\mbox{\mbox{$macrotwo$}}}\ instead$. This yields

'\macrothree g'.

Finally, \macrothree expects one parameter token (or a token list enclosed in parenthesis). The next token is 'g', which is consumed from the input token list and the replacement text is inserted – and '#1' is replaced by 'g'. Then, the token list is

```
'Got 'g'.
```

This text is finally typeset (because it doesn't expand further).

What we have seen now is how T_EX macros can be used to modify the token list. It should be noted explicitly that macro expansion does is in no way limited to those tokens provided inside of $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}$ if the last argument in $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}$ is a macro which requires arguments, these arguments will be taken from the following tokens. Using nested macros, one can even process a complete part of the token list, in a manner of loops (but we don't know yet how to influence macro expansion conditionally, that comes later).

Let's try to solve the following task. Suppose you have a macro named \point with $\langle argument\ pattern \rangle$ '(#1,#2)', i.e.

```
\def \point(#1,#2){\we do something with #1 and #2}.
```

Suppose furthermore that you want to invoke \point with the contents which is stored in another macro. After all, macros are some kind of string variables – it makes sense to accumulate or generate string variables which will then be used as input for other macros. Let's assume we have \temp and \temp contains '(42,1234)'. A first choice to invoke \point would be to use \point\temp. But: \point searches for an argument pattern which starts with '(', not with \temp! The invocation fails.

The \expandafter command is an - at first sight confusing - method to alter the input token list. But: it solves our problem with \point\temp!

```
we do something with 42 and 1234
```

```
\def\point(#1,#2){we do something with #1 and #2}
\def\temp{(42,1234)}
\expandafter\point\temp
```

Why did that work!? The command \expandafter scans for the token after \expandafter in the input token list. This is \point in our case. Then, it scans for the next token which is \temp in our case (remember: macros are considered to be elementary tokens, just like characters 'a' or so). The two scanned arguments are removed from the input token list. Then, \expandafter expands the $\langle next \ token \rangle$ one time. In our case, $\langle next \ token \rangle$ is \temp. The first level of expansion of \temp is '(42,1234)'. Then, \expansion inserts the (unexpanded) $\langle token \rangle$ followed by the (expanded) contents of $\langle next \ token \rangle$ back into the input token list. In single steps:

- 1. \expandafter\point\temp
- 2. Expand \expandafter: next two tokens are '\point\temp'.
- 3. Use \point as $\langle token \rangle$ and \temp as $\langle next \ token \rangle$.
- 4. Expand \temp once, which leads to the tokens '(42,1234)'.
- 5. re-insert $\langle token \rangle$ and the expansion of $\langle next\ token \rangle$ back into the input token list. The list is then '\point(42,1234)'.
- 6. Expand \point as next token.

A further example: suppose we want to invoke $\texttt{theimportantmacro}\{(argument)\}$. However, $\{(argument)\}$ is contained in another macro! Furthermore, theimportantmacro is defined to take exactly one parameter and our desired argument may have more than one token (which means we need to surround it with braces). This can be solved by the listing below.

I got the pre-assembled argument 'xyz' here.

```
\def\theimportantmacro#1{I got the pre-assembled argument '#1' here.}
\def\temp{xyz}
\expandafter\theimportantmacro\expandafter{\temp}
```

Now, what happens here? Let's apply the rules step by step again:

- 1. After the initial definitions, the token list is \expandafter\theimportantmacro\expandafter{\temp}.
- 2. TEX expands \expandafter, using \theimportantmacro as $\langle token \rangle$ and the second \expandafter as $\langle next\ token \rangle$.
- 3. According to the rules, T_EX expands $\langle next \ token \rangle$ once. But: $\langle next \ token \rangle$ is again a macro, namely \land expandafter! Does that make a difference? No:
 - (a) The token list after the second \expandafter is '{\temp}' (3 tokens).
 - (b) The $\langle token \rangle$ is thus '{' and $\langle next \ token \rangle$ is '\temp'.
 - (c) The expansion of $\langle next \ token \rangle$ is 'xyz'.
 - (d) The second \expandafter re-inserts its $\langle token \rangle$ and expanded $\langle next\ token \rangle$, which is '{xyz'.

Note that the closing brace '}' has not been touched at all, TEX hasn't even seen it so far.

We come back from the recursion. Remember: $\langle token \rangle$ is \theimportantmacro and the top-level expansion of $\langle next\ token \rangle$ is – as we have seen above – '{xyz'.

4. TEX re-inserts $\langle token \rangle$ and the expansion of $\langle next\ token \rangle$ to the input token list, which leads to '\theimportantmacro{xyz}'.

The closing brace '}' has not been touched, it simply resides in the input token list.

5. TEX expands \theimportantmacro.

The $\langle next\ token \rangle$ is expanded exactly once. We have already seen that if $\langle next\ token \rangle$ is a macro which does substitutions on its own, these substitutions will be performed recursively. But what means 'once' exactly? We will need to use \meaning to check that (or the \tangle tracingmacros tools) because we need to see what T_FX does.

So far, nothing has been typeset. But now: 4[This is macro one -2-].

```
\def\macroone{This is macro one \macrotwo}
\def\macrotwo{--2--}
\def\macrothree#1{\def\macrofour{4[#1]}}
\expandafter\macrothree\expandafter{\macroone}%
So far, nothing has been typeset. But now: \macrofour.
\message{We have macrofour = \meaning\macrofour}%
```

The logfile (and terminal) will now contain

'We have macrofour = macro:->4[This is macro one \macrotwo]'.

What happened? We can proceed as in the last example. After the two \expandafter expansions, TeX finds the input token list

'\macrothree{This is macro one \macrotwo}'

which, after execution, defines \macrofour to be 'This is macro one \macrotwo'. The top-level expansion of \macroone has not expanded the nested call to \macrotwo.

So, \expandafter is a normal macro which can be expanded – and it is even possible to expand an \expandafter by another \expandafter.

What we have seen so far is

- 1. the \def command which stores unexpanded arguments in a macro variable and
- 2. the \expandafter which allows control over top-level expansion of macros (it expands one time).

TeX provides two more features for expansion control: the \edef macro and token registers.

```
\ensuremath{\mbox{def}} \langle macroname \rangle \langle argument\ pattern \rangle \{\langle replacement\ text \rangle \}
```

The $\ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{def}}}$ command is the same as $\ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{def}}}$ insofar as it defines a new macro. However, it expands ${\mbox{\mbox{\mbox{def}}}}$ until only unexpandable tokens remain ($\ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{def}}}$ expanded definition).

```
\def\a{3}
\def\b{2\a}
\def\c{1\b}
\def\c{1\b}
\def\d{value=\c}
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d'}
\edf\d{value=\c}
\message{Macro 'd' is e-defined to be '\meaning\d'}
\expandafter\def\expandafter\d\expandafter{\c}
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d' using expandafter}
```

This listing results in the log-file output

```
Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->value=\c'
```

Macro 'd' is e-defined to be 'macro:->value=123'

Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->1\b ' using expandafter

So, \def does not expand at all, \edef expands until it can't expand any further and the \expandafter construction expands \c one time and defines \d to be the result of this expansion.

Although possible, it might not occur too often to specify $\langle argument\ pattern \rangle$ for an **\edef** because the expansion is immediate in contrast to **\def**. But it works in the same way: the positional arguments #1, #2,..., #9 will be replaced with their arguments.

The expansion of $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}$ happens in the same way as the expansion the main token list of TeX.

Now, what exactly does "expands until only unexpandable tokens remain" mean? Our example indicates that the three tokens 1, 2 and 3 are not expandable while the macros \c , \b and \a could be expanded. There is one large class of \c X commands which can't be expanded: any assignment operation. The example

```
\edef\d{\count0=42}
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d'}
\def\a{1234}
\edef\d{\advance\count0 by\a}
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d'}
```

yields the log-messages

```
Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->\count 0=42' and
```

Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->\advance \count 0 by1234'.

So, assignment and arithmetics operations are *not* expandable, they remain as executable tokens in the newly defined macro. This does also hold for **\let** and other assignment operations.

Interestingly, conditional expressions using $\inf \cdots \inf are$ expandable, but we will come to that later.

There is also a method to convert a macro temporarily into an unexpandable token: the \noexpand macro.

$\noexpand \langle expandable \ token \rangle$

The \noexpand command is only useful inside of the $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}\$ of an \edef command. As soon as \edef encounters the \noexpand, the \noexpand will be removed and the $\langle expandable\ token\rangle$ will be converted into an unexpandable token. Thus, the code

```
\edef\d{Invoke \noexpand\a another macro}
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d'}
```

yields the terminal output

Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->Invoke \a another macro'

because $\noexpand\a$ yields the token '\a' (unexpanded)³.

³The \noexpand key is actually used to implement the LMTEX command \protect: LMTEX's concept of moveable arguments is implemented with \edef.

2.3.2 Token Registers

Now, we turn to token registers. As we have already seen in Section 2.1, a token register stores a token list. A macro does also store a token list in its $\{\langle replacement\ text\rangle\}$, so where is the difference? There are two differences:

- 1. Token registers are faster.
- 2. The contents of token registers will never be expanded.

I can't give numbers for the first point – I have just read it in [2]. But the second point allows expansion control. While \edge allows "infinite" expansion, token registers allow only top-level expansion, just like \expandafter. But they can be used in a more flexible (and often more efficient) way than \expandafter.

The following examples demonstrates the second point.

```
\toks0={A \token list \a \b \count0=42 will never be expanded}
\edef\d{\the\toks0 }% the space token is important!
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d'}
```

Executing this code fragment yields the log output

Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->A \token list \a \b \count 0=42 will never be expanded'. So, the contents of \toks0 has been copied unexpanded into \d, although we have just \edef. Note that the space token after \the\toks0 is indeed important! TEX uses it to delimit the integer 0. Without the space token, it would have continued scanning, even beyond the boundaries of the replacement text of \edef (see Section 2.1 for details about this scanning).

The example is very simple, and we could have done the same with \expandafter as before. But let's try something more difficult: we want to assemble a new macro which consists of different pieces. Each piece is stored in a macro, and for whatever reason, we only want top-level expansion of the single pieces. And: the pieces won't be adjacent to each other. We can assemble the target macro using the following example listing.

```
\def\piecea{\a{xyz}}
\def\pieceb{\count0=42 }
\def\piecec{\string \b}
\toks0=\expandafter{\piecea}
\toks1=\expandafter{\pieceb}
\toks2=\expandafter{\piecec}
\edef\d{I have \the\toks0 and \the\toks1 and \the\toks2}
\message{Macro 'd' is defined to be '\meaning\d'}
```

The first three lines define our pieces. Each of the macros \piecea, \pieceb and \piecec contains tokens which should not be expanded during the definition of \d. The three following lines assign the top-level expansion of our pieces into token registers. Since \toks0={\piecea} would have stored '\piecea' into the token register, we need to use \expandafter here⁴. Then, we use \toks\(\lambda\) number\(\rangle\) to insert the contents of a token list somewhere – in our case, into the expanded replacement text of our macro \d. Thus, the complete example yields the log-output

Macro 'd' is defined to be 'macro:->I have $a \{xyz\}$ and count 0=42 and string b'. It is possible to get exactly the same result using $(a lot of) \end{tens}$. Don't try it.

2.3.3 Summary of macro definition commands

Besides \def and \edef, there are some more commands which allow to define macros (although the main functionality is covered by \def and \edef). Here are the remaining definition commands.

```
\def \langle macroname \rangle \langle argument\ pattern \rangle \{ \langle replacement\ text \rangle \}
```

Defines a new macro named \macroname without expanding $\{\langle replacement\ text \rangle\}$, see above.

Defines a new macro named \macroname, expanding $\{\langle replacement \ text \rangle\}$ completely (see above).

⁴We could have eliminated the \piece∗ macros by writing everything into token registers directly. But I think this example is more realistic.

Defines or redefines \newmacro to be an equivalent to $\langle token \rangle$. For example, \left\a=\b will create a new copy of macro \b. The copy is named \a, and it will have exactly the same $\{\langle replacement\ text \rangle\}$ and $\langle argument\ pattern \rangle$ as \b.

It is also possible that $\langle token \rangle$ is something different than a macro, for example a named register or a single character.

```
\gdef(\macroname)\argument\ pattern)\{(\macroname)\}
```

A shortcut for \global\def. It defines \macroname globally, independent of the current scope.

You should avoid macros which exist in both, the global namespace and a local scope, with different meanings. Section 2.4 explains more about scoping.

```
\xdef(\macroname)\argument\ pattern)\{(\macroname\ text)\}
```

A shortcut for \global\edef. It defines \macroname globally, independent of the current scope.

You should avoid macros which exist in both, the global namespace and a local scope, with different meanings. Section 2.4 explains more about scoping.

This command is not a macro definition, it is a definition of a macro's *name*. The "cs" means "control sequence". The \csname, \endcsname pair defines a control sequence name (a macro name) using \(\left(expandable tokens \right) \). The control sequence character '\' will be prepended automatically by \csname.\(\csname \).

```
This here is normal usage: 'Content'.

This here uses csname: 'Content'.
```

```
\def\macro{Content}
This here is normal usage: '\macro'.
This here uses csname: '\csname macro\endcsname'.
```

The example demonstrates that \csname\(expandable tokens\)\endcsname is actually the same as if you had written \(expandable tokens\) directly - but the \csname construction allows much more tokens inside of macro names:

```
I use a strange macro. Here is it: 'Content'.
```

```
\expandafter\def\csname a01macro with.strange.chars\endcsname{Content}
I use a strange macro. Here is it: '\csname a01macro with.strange.chars\endcsname'.
```

The example uses \expandafter to expand \csname one time. The top-level expansion of \csname is a single token, namely the control sequence name. Then, \def is used to define a macro with the prepared macro name.

When \csname is expanded, it parses all tokens up to the next \endcsname. Those tokens will be expanded until only unexpandable tokens remain (as in \edef). The resulting string will be used to define a macro name (with the control sequence character '\' prepended). The fact that \(\lambda expandable \) tokens\(\rangle \) is expanded allows to use "indirect" macro names:

I have just defined "macroonetwothree with replacement text 'Content'.

```
\def\macro{onetwothree}
\expandafter\def\csname macro\macro\endcsname{Content}
I have just defined \expandafter\string\csname macro\macro\endcsname
with replacement text '\csname macro\macro\endcsname'.
```

I suppose the example is self-explaining, up to the \string command which is described below.

Due do this flexibility, \csname is used to implement all (?) of the available key-value packages in TEX.

⁵In fact, the contents of \escapechar will be used here. If its value is -1, no character will be prepended. The same holds for any occurrence where a backslash would be inserted by T_EX commands.

```
\string(\macro)
```

This command does not define a macro. Instead, it returns a macro's name as a sequence of separate tokens, including the control sequence token '\'.

```
I have just defined "macro' using "def'.

\def\macro{Content}
I have just defined '\string\macro' using '\string\def'.
```

You can also use \string on other tokens – for example characters. That doesn't hurt, the character will be returned as-is.

2.3.4 Debugging Tools - Understanding and Tracing What TEX Does

```
\label{eq:message} $$\operatorname{dokens}$$ \meaning(\macro)$$ \\ \operatorname{domingacros}=2$$ \\ \operatorname{domingacros}=2$$ \\ \operatorname{domingcommands}=2$$ \\ \operatorname{domingcommands}=1$
```

2.4 The Scope of a Variable

Each programming language knows the concept of a scope: they limit the effect of variables or routines. However, TEX's scoping mechanisms have not been designed for programming – TEX is a typesetting language. Many programming languages like C, C++, java or a lot of scripting languages define the scope of a variable using the place where the variable has been defined. For example, the C fragment

```
int i = 42;
{
     ++i;
     int i = 5;
}
```

changes the value of the outer i to 43. The inner i is 5, but it will be deleted as soon as the closing brace is encountered. It may even be possible to access both, the value of the inner i variable and the value of the outer i variable, at the same time.

In TeX, braces are also used for scopes. But: while TeX will also destroy any variables (macros) defined inside of a scope at the end of that scope, it will *also* undo any change which has been applied inside of that scope.

```
The value of \setminusi is now 42.
```

```
\def\i{42}
{
     \def\i{43}
     \def\b{2}
}
The value of \textbackslash i is now \i.
```

The listing above defines \i, enters a local scope (a TeX "group") and changes \i. However, due to TeX's scoping rules, the old program state will be restored *completely* after returning from the local group! Neither the change to \i nor the definition of \b will survive. The same holds for register changes or other assignments.

TeX groups can be created in one of three ways: using curly braces⁶, using \begingroup or using \bgroup. Curly braces are seldom used to delimit TeX groups because the other commands are more flexible. If one uses curly braces, they need to match up – it is forbidden to have unmatched curly braces.

\begingroup

Starts a new TeX group (a local scope). The scope will be active until it will be closed by \endgroup. The \endgroup command can occur later in the main token list.

⁶Or other tokens with the correct category code, compare [2].

\endgroup

Ends a T_EX group which has been opened with \begingroup.

\bgroup

A special variant of \begingroup which can also be used to delimit arguments to \hbox or \vbox (i.e. it avoids the necessity to provide matched curly braces in this context).

The \bgroup macro is also useful to test whether the next following character is an opening brace (see \futurelet).

If one just needs to open a TFX group, one should prefer \begingroup.

\egroup

Closes a preceding \bgroup.

TEX does not know how to write into macros of an outer scope – except for the topmost (global) scope. This restriction is quite heavy if one needs to write complex structures: local variables should be declared inside of local groups, but changes to the structure should be written to the outer group. There is no direct possibility to do such a thing (except global variables).

2.4.1 Global Variables

TEX knows only "global" variables and "local" variables. A local variable will be deleted at the end of the group in which it has been declared. All values assigned locally will also be restored to their old value at the end of the group.

A global variable, on the other hand, maintains the same value throughout *every* scope. Usually, the topmost scope is the same as the one used for global variables: if you define anything in your TEX document, you add commands on global scope. It is also possible to explicitly make assignments or definitions in the global scope.

 $\global\langle definition \ or \ assignment \rangle$

The definition which follows \global immediately will be done globally.

```
{
    \global\def\a{123}
    \global\advance\count0 by3
    \global\toks0={34}
}
```

```
\globaldefs=-1|0|1 (initially 0)
```

I cite from [2]: "If the \globaldefs parameter is positive at the time of an assignment, a prefix of \global is automatically implied; but if \globaldefs is negative at the time of the assignment, a prefix of \global is ignored. If \globaldefs is zero (which it usually is), the appearance of nonappearance of \global determines whether or not a global assignment is made."

2.4.2 Transporting Changes to an Outer Group

There are a couple of methods to "transport" changes to an outer scope. Some are copy operations, some require to redo the changes again after the end of the scope. All of them can be realized using expansion control.

Let's start with macro definitions which should be carried over the end of the group. I see the following methods:

• Copy the macro into a global, temporary variable (or even token register) and get that value after the scope.

```
\def\initialvalue{0}
{
    % do something:
    \def\initialvalue{42}
    \global\let\myglobaltemporary=\initialvalue
}
\let\initialvalue=\myglobaltemporary
```

The idea is that \myglobaltemporary is only used temporary; its value is always undefined and can be overwritten at any time. This allows to use a local variable \initialvalue.

Please note that you should not use variables both globally and locally. This confuses TEX and results in a slow-down at runtime.

• "Smuggle" the result outside of the current group. I know this idea from the implementation of [4] written by Mark Wibrow and Till Tantau. The idea is to use several \expandafters and a \def to redefine the macro directly after the end of the group:

```
\def\smuggle#1\endgroup{%
    \expandafter\endgroup\expandafter\def\expandafter#1\expandafter{#1}%
}
\begingroup
    \def\variable{12}
    \edef\variable{\variable34}
    \edef\variable{\variable56}
    \smuggle\variable
\endgroup
```

The technique relies on groups started with \begingroup and ended with \endgroup because unmatched braces are not possible with \def. The effect is that after all those \expandafters, TeX encounters the token list

\endgroup\def\variable{123456}

at the end of the group.

• Use the aftergroup stack. TeX has a special token stack of limited size which can be used to re-insert tokens after the end of a group. However, this does only work efficiently if the number of tokens which need to be transported is small and constant (say, at most three). It works by prefixing every token with \aftergroup, compare [2] for details.

Sometimes one needs to copy other variables outside of a scope. The trick with a temporary global variable works always, of course. But it is also possible to define a macro which contains commands to apply any required changes and transport that macro out of the scope.

2.5 Branching

Here we discuss some of the available branching constructions of TeX, with emphasis on conditions involving numbers and tokens.

 $ifnum\langle count/integer\ number\rangle = \langle count/integer\ number\rangle \langle true-block\rangle \setminus else\langle false-block\rangle \setminus fi$

\ifnum compare integer numbers or integer registers (\count registers) and contains two branches, one is executed in the true case, the other in the case of false:

This is shown if above results to false.

```
\ifnum1=2 % this space is important.
This is shown if above were true.
\else
This is shown if above results to false.
\fi
```

Note that the **\else** with its $\langle false\text{-}block \rangle$ is optional.

 $ifdim\langle dimen/fixed\ point\ number\rangle = \langle dimen/fixed\ point\ number\rangle \langle true-block\rangle \setminus else\langle false-block\rangle \setminus fi$

Similar to \ifnum, \ifdim compares two fixed point numbers or \dimen registers. The numbers must have a unit.

This is shown if above results to false.

```
\ifdim1pt=2pt % this space is important.
This is shown if above were true.
\else
This is shown if above results to false.
\fi
```

```
ifx\langle token1\rangle\langle token2\rangle\langle true-block\rangle \esc(false-block) \fi
```

\ifx is a bit more complex: It compares two tokens up to their first-level expansion.

This is shown if the two tokens have equal expansion.

```
\def\empty{\empty}
\ifx\empty\empty %
This is shown if the two tokens have equal expansion.
\else
This is shown if the two tokens expand to something different.
\fi
```

Here, we have defined a token \empty to be a replacement for \empty and subsequently have compared whether these two tokens are equal in first-level expansion. Note that the definition is actually nonsense. If TEX ever were to go through the whole expansion – i.e. we would put \empty somewhere else – it would do so indefinitely. However, with \ifx only first-level expansion is done and compared. Hence, the statement evaluates to true.

Have a look at the following example:

This is shown if the two tokens expand to something different.

```
\def\empty{\relax}
\ifx\empty\relax %
This is shown if the two tokens have equal expansion.
\else
This is shown if the two tokens expand to something different.
\fi
```

On first glance, this should evaluate to true: \empty is defined as a replacement for \relax. But it does not. Why?

\empty is expanded to \relax, however \relax expanded has a different meaning, namely stop scanning and not \relax anymore. Hence, they are different and the statement is false! If the expansion in \ifx were to be taken till maximum, both would be equal but not in the case of a comparison on first-level expansion only.

```
if\langle token1 \rangle \langle token2 \rangle \langle true-block \rangle \setminus else\langle false-block \rangle \setminus fi
```

The \inf comparison is closely related to the \inf conditional, with one major exception: it expands tokens until it finds the next two unexpandable tokens. If these two tokens are the same, it expands to the $\langle true-block \rangle$, otherwise to the $\langle false-block \rangle$.

The \if conditional should be handled with care as it might produce undesirable effects. Use it only if you know what you do.

A useful example is if you *know* that a macro contains at most one character, and you want to test for a particular one:

This is shown for all other choices.

```
\def\choice{a}
\if b\choice
This is shown for the 'b' choice.
\else
This is shown for all other choices.
\fi
```

 $iftrue\langle true-block\rangle \ | se\langle false-block\rangle \ |$

A "conditional" which always invokes the $\langle true\text{-}block \rangle$.

 $iffalse\langle true-block \rangle \setminus else\langle false-block \rangle \setminus fi$

A "conditional" which always invokes the $\langle false-block \rangle$.

2.5.1 Boolean Variables

```
\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{newif}}} \langle \emph{if-name} \rangle
```

You can declare a new "boolean variable '\ifsupermanmode by means of \newif\ifsupermanmode.

Afterwards, you can use the \supermanmodetrue and \supermanmodefalse switches to assign the boolean and \ifsupermanmode to check it.

The $\langle if\text{-}name \rangle$ has to start with $\setminus if$ (to support scans for nested $\setminus if... \setminus fi$ pairs, see below).

2.5.2 Special Cases for Conditionals

Whenever you work with \if... and friends, you should know the following features:

1. \if...\else...\fi is expandable (including each of the single macros \if..., \else and \fi), which means you can even use it inside of \edgestart{\else}.

```
We have now temp=macro:->The choice is 'a'.

\def\choice{a}
\edef\temp{The choice is \if a\choice 'a'\else not 'a'\fi}

We have now \texttt{\string temp=\meaning\temp}.
```

The next token is '2'.3

```
\def\shownexttoken#1{The next token is '\texttt{\string#1}'.}
\def\mymacro{%
   \ifnum1=1 %
   \expandafter\shownexttoken%
   \fi%
}%
\mymacro 23
```

This example is tricky. What would have happened without the \expandafter!? Well, \shownexttoken would be invoked with #1=\fi. This would lead to an error because the \fi would be missing, and it would spoil the effect since we do not want the \fi to be seen - we expected #1=2. The \expandafter first expands \fi (which simply removes the \fi without further effect) such that \shownexttoken will see the 2 token in our example above. This would also have worked if there was an \else branch instead of \fi.

2. You should generally make sure that the matching **\else** or **\fi** tokens are "directly reachable", i.e. without token expansion.

The background here is that TEX works on a token-based level: Whenever it encounters an \if... statement, it evaluates it and scans tokens to find the matching end part (either an \else or an \fi token). But it will not expand tokens during this scan, although it will count nested \if...\fi pairs! Thus, if you are careless, it might become confused and your conditional will go awry.

2.6 Loops

As you have seen, in T_EX we have a very specific control over token expansion. This makes it possible to construct even loops via means of recursion. In essence, a loop consist of the following parts:

- counter or, more generally, list of items
- incrementor, or more generally, a next item picker
- threshold or, more generally, an end list marker
- a check of the threshold or end marker, respectively

Leafing through the sections above, we realize that all of this is actually in place: We do know about counters, we do know about branching. Only the specifics of how to create these loops is still to be made clear. We will show both cases, the counting loop and the loop over a list of items in the following in detail.

In general, for a loop done via a recursion we need two definitions: One for the loop start and another for the loop step.

2.6.1 Counting loops

For a counting loop, we need a counter \count0, an incrementor \advance, a threshold 3 and a check \ifnum\count=10 if the threshold has been reached.

```
The current value is '0'
The current value is '1'
The current value is '2'
The current value is '3'
```

```
\long\def\countingloop#1 in #2:#3#4{%
     #1=#2 %
     \long\def\loopcounter#1#2#3{%
     #3%
     \ifnum#1=#2 %
     \else%
          \advance#1 by1 %
          \loopcounter{#1}{#2}{#3}%
     \fin\
} \countingloop{\count0} in 0:{3}{%
     The current value is '\the\count0'\par
}
```

There are some subtleties to the above example:

- We put a lot of % in the example. Why? Note that whenever TEX scans for a number e.g. as in the case of #1=#2 it will continue scanning token by token, that is digit by digit, till he is sure that the number has ended, even over white space, and even expanding macros in case they themselves might not represent numbers again. Hence, % tells TEX to stop scanning. It is generally good practice to place % to tell TEX to stop scanning for more digits. However, there are some exceptions to it as well: In case of \advance#1 by1 one should keep a white space in between, as well as in the case of \int inum#1=#2.
- We placed the threshold 3 in \countingloop{\count0} in 0:{3} in curly brackets. Why? TEX otherwise will recognize only the token 1 if a threshold of e.g. 10 is given and stumble over the now remnant 'extra' argument 0. That is because a single letter represents a token to TEX. Hence, two letters are two tokens and ungrouped become two arguments. Here, we have to group the threshold to make clear what we mean.
- One last thing becomes clear first when debugging is activated: As loops are done by recursion, i.e. by expansion followed by expansion till some threshold is reached, we will end with a lot of \fis in the above case. If we place \tracingmacros=2 \tracingcommands=2 before the \countingloop call and inspect the log file this will become apparent. This is bad because TeX will keep a stack frame open for each \if...\fi sequence. If we now have a loop over 10.000 items ...
- It is not good practice to use one of the system counters, here \count0, because one can never be sure that is not used for something else or changed somewhere else. E.g. when the page is full, TEX will interrupt the current sequence of tokens to deal with creating a new page and finishing the old one, in this course changing \count0. Hence, we should also create our own counter.

Hence, we modify the example as follows:

```
The current value is '0'
The current value is '1'
The current value is '2'
The current value is '3'
```

```
\long\def\countingloop#1 in #2:#3#4{%
    #1=#2 %
    \loopcounter{#1}{#3}{#4}%
}
\long\def\loopcounter#1#2#3{%
    #3%
    \ifnum#1=#2 %
        \let\next=\relax%
    \else
        \advance#1 by1 %
        \def\next{\loopcounter{#1}{#2}{#3}}%
    \fi
    \next
}
\newcount\ourcounter
\countingloop{\ourcounter} in 0:{3}{%
    The current value is '\the\ourcounter'\par
}
```

Principally, nothing has changed in terms of the output. However, notice that we have introduced the macro \next which either recurses into the next level – but after the \fi statement has been given – or ends the recursion by simply containing \relax. Also, we have declared a new counter called \ourcounter that is safe from harm.

Finally, let us briefly summarize what happens in detail:

- 1. \countingloop...is expanded to an assignment #1=#2 and another macro \loopcounter....
- 2. The assignment is done: \ourcounter is set to the starting value 0.
- 3. The actual loop macro is expanded to the command block printing the current value and an if statement.
- 4. The current value is printed.
- 5. \ourcounter is compared to the threshold 3 and ...
 - False, i. e. the if statement is expanded to an \advance statement followed by defining \next to be another call of the same macro loop.
 - True, i.e. \next is set to be just \relax.
- 6. The statement is still false: \advance will increase \ourcounter by one, it is now 1. \next is set to the loop macro.
- 7. The loop macro is again expanded, go to step 3. \ourcounter is ... 2 ... \ourcounter is 3.
- 8. Now the statement is true: \next is expanded to \relax and nothing happens.

2.6.2 Loops over list of items

Looping over a list of items is very similar, only we will need \ifx in place of \ifnum and we need some end marker instead of the threshold value. However, how do we specify the list itself? Let's make some comma-separated list, e.g. {a,b,c,d} and call the end marker \listingloopENDMARKER.

```
The current item is 'a'
The current item is '
b'
The current item is '
c'
The current item is '
,'
The current item is '
d'
The current item is '
e'
```

```
\def\listingloopENDMARKER{\par \listingloopENDMARKER}
\long\def\listingloop#1in#2#3{%
    \looppicker{#1}{#3}#2,\listingloopENDMARKER,%
}%
\long\def\looppicker#1#2#3,{%
    \def\tempitem{#3}%
    \ifx\tempitem\listingloopENDMARKER
        \let\next=\relax%
    \else
        \def#1{#3}%
        #2%
        \def\next{\looppicker{#1}{#2}}%
    \fi
    \next
}%
\listingloop\x in{a,b,c,d,e}{%
        The current item is '\x'
}
```

Again, we make clear the subtleties contained therein:

- We have defined \listingloopENDMARKER to replace itself. This is possible because \ifx will only compare first-level expansion, see Section 2.5.
- We seem to miss a white space in ... #1in#2.... However, tokens are always ending with an additional white space as \xin is not equal to \x in. Hence, none is needed here and more than one white space would probably get gobbled.
- The definition \looppicker#1#2#3,... has three arguments but the recursive call \looppicker{#1}{#2} only gives two arguments!? This is the actual magic making this type of list possible! TeX is actually scanning beyond the scope of the given token to obtain the third argument. In effect, we are biting off piece by piece, list item by list item off the given list. All because we have stated an additional, comma being the item separator in the definition of the \looppicker macro. The expansion of the loop macro will always pick up one more item from the list concatenated to its end until it has reached the \ENDMARKER. This is added to the list's very end on the loop's start, and there it stops.

2.7 More On T_EX

This document is far from complete. I recommend reading about conditional expressions in [3] (German, online version) or [2] (bounded book). Hints about loops can be found in the manual of PGFPLOTS, [1] and the manual of PGF, [4]. Moreover, PGFPLOTS and PGF come with a whole lot of utility functions which are documented in the source .code.tex files.

3 Special Tricks

3.1 Handling # in Arguments

More than once, I encountered the following difficulty: I wanted to collect an argument which contains the hash sign, '#'. That's not particularly difficult, but it can lead to a lot of strange error messages when the resulting argument shall be processed! Consider

```
\def\collectargument#1{%
  \def\collectedcontent{#1}%
  \ifx\collectedcontent\empty
    It is empty.
  \else
    It is not empty, executing it: #1.
  \fi
}%

\collectargument{}% works

\collectargument{something}% works

\collectargument{% does not work!
  \def\something#1{which depends on #1}
}%
```

The code in this example is relatively simple: the \collectargument macro expects one argument and checks if it is empty (using \ifx, which is a common and reliable check for emptiness). It is is not empty, it executes it. The \collectargument macro works in most circumstances. More precisely: it works as long as there is no hash sign in its argument! In our example, the third call fails with "Illegal parameter number in definition of \collectedcontent." which occurs during the \def\collectedcontent{#1} line (and TeX has reasons for this message due to the special meaning of the parameter expansion).

The cure: redefine the \collectargument macro using

```
\def\collectargument#1{%
  \toks0={#1}%
  \edef\collectedcontent\the\toks0}%
  \ifx\collectedcontent\empty
      It is empty.
  \else
      It is not empty, executing it: #1.
  \fi
}%
```

(you may want to allocate a temporary token register for this task). What is the difference? Well, the \toks0={#1} assignment introduces no special meaning for the hash sign #, and \the\toks0 neither. Note, however, that this requires \edef\collectedcontent instead of \def\collectedcontent since the \the statement needs to be expanded. Everything works as expected.

Index

```
\advance, 3
\verb|\begingroup|, 11
\bgroup, 12
\count, 2
\c 10
\verb| def, 5, 9|
\verb|\dimen|, 2, 4|
\divide, 3
\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ensuremath}\ensuremath}\ensuremath}\engen}}}}}}}}}}}}}} \end{s}}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}}} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \end{s} \end{s} \end{s}} \e
\geq 12
\verb|\endgroup|, 12
\verb|\expandafter|, 6
\verb|\gdef|, 10
\verb|\global|, 12|
\verb|\globaldefs|, 12|
\mbox{\em meaning}, 5, 11
\message, 11
\verb|\multiply|, 3
\verb|\newif|, 14
\verb|\noexpand|, 8
\texttt{\ \ } \texttt{relax},\, 2
\string, 11
\text{\toks}, 3
\verb|\tracingcommands|, 11|
\tracingmacros, 11
\verb|\tracingrestores|, 11|
\verb+\xdef+, 10
```

References

- [1] C. Feuersänger. PGFPLOTS manual, August 23, 2012.
- [2] D. Knuth. Computers & Type setting. Addison Wesley, 2000.
- [3] N. Schwartz. Einführung in T_EX (german!). Addison Wesley, 1991. Also available online at http://www.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/www-rz/schwanbs/TeX/ as .pdf.
- [4] T. Tantau. TikZ and PGF manual. http://sourceforge.net/projects/pgf. $v. \geq 2.00$.