Rotations and Angular Momenta

• • • • •

э

Finite Versus Infinitesimal Rotations

Consider a vector

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_x & V_y & V_z \end{pmatrix}^\mathsf{T} ,$$

after a rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} V'_x \\ V'_y \\ V'_z \end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix} V_x \\ V_y \\ V_z \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$R^{\mathsf{T}}R = RR^{\mathsf{T}} = 1 \; ,$$

leading to a property

$$\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}R^{\mathsf{T}}R\mathbf{V} \ ,$$

$$V_{x}^{\prime 2} + V_{y}^{\prime 2} + V_{z}^{\prime 2} = V_{x}^{2} + V_{y}^{2} + V_{z}^{2} \ .$$

< 67 ▶

3

Define a rotation operator about the *z*-axis by angle ϕ ,

$$R_z(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0\\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We are particularly interested in an infinitesimal form of R_z :

$$R_z(\epsilon) = egin{pmatrix} 1 - rac{\epsilon^2}{2} & -\epsilon & 0 \ \epsilon & 1 - rac{\epsilon^2}{2} & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;, \;\;\; \epsilon o 0 \;.$$

< 🗇 🕨

Likewise, we have

$$R_{x}(\epsilon) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 - rac{\epsilon^2}{2} & -\epsilon \ 0 & \epsilon & 1 - rac{\epsilon^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \;,$$

and

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\epsilon) = egin{pmatrix} 1-rac{\epsilon^2}{2} & 0 & \epsilon \ 0 & 1 & 0 \ -\epsilon & 0 & 1-rac{\epsilon^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

•

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Mengnan Chen (HDU)

Advanced Quantum Mechanics

November 8, 2023 6 / 66

æ

Elementary matrix manipulations lead to

$$R_{x}R_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} & 0 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon^{2} & 1 - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} & -\epsilon \\ -\epsilon & \epsilon & 1 - \epsilon^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$R_{y}R_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} & \epsilon^{2} & \epsilon \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} & -\epsilon \\ -\epsilon & \epsilon & 1 - \epsilon^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$R_{x}R_{y} - R_{y}R_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\epsilon^{2} & 0 \\ \epsilon^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = R_{z}(\epsilon^{2}) - 1 ,$$

where all terms of order higher than ϵ^2 have been ignored.

3

Infinitesimal Rotations in Quantum Mechanics

Given a rotation operation characterized by a orthogonal 3×3 matrix R, associate an operator $\mathcal{D}(R)$ in the appropriate ket space such that

 $|\alpha\rangle_{R} = \mathcal{D}(R)|\alpha\rangle$.

- For describing a spin-1/2, system with no other degrees of freedom, $\mathcal{D}(R)$ is a 2 × 2 matrix;
- for a spin-1 system, $\mathcal{D}(R)$ is a 3 \times 3 matrix.

The appropriate infinitesimal operators could be written as

$$\hat{U}(\epsilon) = 1 - \mathrm{i} \hat{G} \epsilon \;, \quad \hat{G}: \;$$
 Hermitian

We therefore define the angular-momentum operator \hat{J}_k for an infinitesimal rotation around the *k*th axis by angle $d\phi$ can be obtained by letting

$$\hat{G}
ightarrow rac{\hat{J}_k}{\hbar} , \quad \epsilon
ightarrow \mathrm{d}\phi$$

More generally, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \mathsf{d}\phi) = 1 - \mathsf{i} igg(rac{\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\hbar} igg) \mathrm{d}\phi \; ,$$

 $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = (\cos \alpha \sin \beta, \sin \alpha \sin \beta, \cos \beta)$: unit vector

A finite rotation can be obtained by compounding successively infinitesimal rotations about the same axis.

For instance,

$$\mathcal{D}_{z}(\phi) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[1 - i \left(\frac{\hat{J}_{z}}{\hbar} \right) \left(\frac{\phi}{N} \right) \right]^{N}$$
$$= \exp\left(- i \frac{\hat{J}_{z}}{\hbar} \phi \right)$$

The group structures

We further postulate that $\mathcal{D}(R)$ has the same group properties as R:

• Identity:

$$R \cdot 1 = R \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R) \cdot 1 = \mathcal{D}(R)$$

• Closure:

$$R_1R_2 = R_3 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R_1)\mathcal{D}(R_2) = \mathcal{D}(R_3)$$

• Inverses:

$$RR^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)\mathcal{D}^{-1}(R) = 1$$

 $R^{-1}R = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{-1}(R)\mathcal{D}(R) = 1$

• Associativity:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(R_2R_3) &= (R_1R_2)R_3 = R_1R_2R_3 ,\\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R_1)[\mathcal{D}(R_2)\mathcal{D}(R_3)] = [\mathcal{D}(R_1)\mathcal{D}(R_2)]\mathcal{D}(R_3) \\ &= \mathcal{D}(R_1)\mathcal{D}(R_2)\mathcal{D}(R_3) \end{aligned}$$

3