fifinddo

Filtering $T_E X(t)$ Files by $T_E X^*$

Uwe Lück^{\dagger}

August 23, 2011

FIDO, FIND! or: FIND FIDO! oder: FIFI, SUCH!

Abstract

fifinddo starts implementing parsing of plain text or T_EX files using T_EX , generalizing the philosophy behind docstrip, based on how T_EX reads macro arguments. Rather than typsetting the edited input stream immediately, results are written to another file, in the first instance as input for T_EX . Rather than presenting a "complete study" of a computer-scientific idea, it aims at practical applications. The main one at present is makedoc which removes certain comment marks from package files and inserts listing commands. Parsing macros are not defined anew at every input chunk, but once before a file is processed. This also allows for *expandable* sequences of replacements, e.g., with $txt \rightarrow T_EX$ functionality. The method of testing for substrings is carefully discussed, revealing an earlier mistake (then) shared with substr.sty and LATEX's internal \in0.

Keywords: text filtering, macro programming, .txt to .tex enhancement

Contents

1	Intr	Introduction: The Gnome of the Aim		
	1.1	Parsing by T_EX —are you mad?	2	
	1.2	Useful for	3	
		1.2.1 Comparisons	5	
	1.3	For insiders	5	

*This file describes version v0.42a of fifinddo.sty as of 2011/08/22.

[†]http://contact-ednotes.sty.de.vu

1	INTRODUCTION: THE GNOME OF THE AIM	2
2	Preliminaries 2.1 Head of file (Legalese) 2.2 Format and package version 2.3 Category codes	6 6 7 7
3	File handling	8
4	Basic handling of substring conditionals4.1 "Substring Theory"4.2 Plan for proceeding4.3 Meta-Setup4.4 Setup for conditionals4.5 Setup for sandboxes4.6 Getting rid of the tildes4.7 Calling conditionals4.8 Copy jobs	 11 12 13 13 14 15 16 17
5	Programming tools5.1Tails of conditionals5.2Line counter5.3The "identity job" LEAVE	17 17 18 19
6	Setup for expandable chains of replacements6.1The backbone macro6.2The basic setup interface macro6.3Half-automatic chaining6.4CorrectHook launching the replacement chain	19 19 20 21 22
7	Leave package mode	22
8	Pondered 22	
9	VERSION HISTORY 23	

1 Introduction: The Gnome of the Aim

1.1 Parsing by T_EX—are you mad?

The package name fifinddo is a \listfiles-compatible abbreviation of 'file-find-do'¹ (or think of 'if found do'). fifinddo implements (or aims at) general parsing

¹ 'file' possibly for "searching $T_{EX}(t)$ files" (I don't remember my thoughts!), while there were requests for doing replacements on IAT_{EX} environments on texhax. However, the package might be enhanced in this direction ... so the name may be wrong ... but now I like it so much ... Or the reason was that results are written to a *separate file*, not typeset immediately.—Let me also mention that '*Fifi*' (as the package name starts) is a kind of German equivalent to the "English" '*Fido*', or may have been.

(extracting, replacing [converting], expanding, \dots) using T_EX where texhax posters strongly urge to use sed, awk, or Perl. fifinddo's opposed rationales are:

- It works instantly on any TEX installation. (*Restrictions:* Some TEX versions \write certain hex codes for certain characters, cf. TEXbook p. 45, I have seen this with PCTEX. However, some applications of fifinddo are nothing but technical steps where you will read the result files rarely anyway.
- You can apply and customize it like any T_EX macros, knowing just T_EX (or even only the documentation of some user-friendly extension of fifinddo), without the need of learning any additional script language.
- The syntax of usual utilities (e.g., "wildcards") is sometimes difficult with T_{EX} files with all their backslashes, square brackets, stars, question marks ...

At least the first item is just the philosophy of the docstrip program, standard for installing T_EX packages; and while I am typing this, I find at least 14 other similar packages in Jürgen Fenn's *Topic Index* of the T_EX Catalogue:

http://mirror.ctan.org/help/Catalogue/bytopic.html#parsingfiles²

(Some of them may have been *reactance* to texhax and other postings urging not to try something like this; some seem just to be celebrations of the power of T_{EX} —yes, celebrate!)

Actually, TEX's mechanism of collecting macro arguments is hard-wired parsing at quite a high level. IATEX hides this from "simple-minded" users by a convention *not* to use that full power of TEX for *end-user macros*. Internally, IATEX does use it in reading lists of options and file dates as well as to implement certain FOR- and WHILE-like loop programming structures. IATEX's \in@/\ifin@ construction is an implementation of a " $\langle string1 \rangle$ occurs in $\langle string2 \rangle$ " test. More packages seem to use this idea for extracting file informations, like texshade.³

However, such packages don't make much ado about parsing, there seems to be no general setup mechanism as are presented by fifinddo. Indeed, tayloring parsing macros to specific applications may often be more efficient than a general approach.

1.2 Useful for \ldots

My main application of fifinddo at present is typesetting documentations of packages using makedoc which removes certain percent marks and inserts listing commands, so you edit a package file with as little documentation markup as possible. This may be extended to other kinds of documents as an alternative to easylatex or wiki (the approach of which is dangerous and incompatible with certain other things).

²Click here!

³http://ctan.org/pkg/texshade

1 INTRODUCTION: THE GNOME OF THE AIM

I have used a similar own package txtproc successfully, where more features were implemented for practical purposes than are here so far, yet I don't like its implementation, want to improve it here. This package also *created batch files*, e.g., to remove temporary files. This could be used for package handling: typset the documentation at the desired place in the tree, write the packages to another, write a batch file to remove files that are not needed any more after installation (cf. make).

I used txtproc also for *large-scale substitutions* (it had been decided to change the orthography in a part of a book). Other large-scale substitutions may be:

- inserting \index commands;
- inserting (soft) hyphenation commands near accents;
- manual umlaut-conversion.⁴
- typographical (or even orthographical) corrections (same mistake many times on each of hundreds of pages). You may turn ... into \$\dots\$ and etc. into etc.\ etc.⁵ This could replace packages like easylatex,⁶ txt2latex,⁷ txt2tex⁸ in a customizable way, using, e.g., the "correct" hook from makedoc.sty as exemplified in mdoccorr.cfg (see examples section of makedoc.pdf). You should find fdtxttex.tpl, a fifinddo script to try or apply \MakeDocCorrectHook from mdoccorr.cfg, as well as fdtxttex.tex that runs a dialogue for the same purpose if you can manage to run it (WinShell?). You can then try to create your own \MakeDocCorrectHook. Section 6 provides setup for macros of this kind.
- as to easylatex again, *lists* could be detected and transformed into IAT_EX list commands. This could re-implement the lists functionality of wiki.sty that is somewhat dangerous.
- introduce your own *shorthands* to be expanded not as T_EX macros, but by text substitution;

In certain cases, insertions deteriorate readability, hyphenation corrections even make text search difficult. It is therefore suggested to

- 1. keep editing the file without the insertions,
- 2. run the script (commands based on fifinddo) for insertions in the preamble of the main file ("\jobname.tex", maybe \input the script file) and
- 3. \input the result file within the document environment.

⁴If you know the "names" of the encodings, Heiko Oberdiek's stringenc may be preferable. ⁵But what when a new sentence is starting indeed? Well, cf. is an easier example.—etc. even showed a problem in niceverb. mdoccorr.cfg replaces etc. only, so you can keep the extra space by a code line break.

⁶http://ctan.org/pkg/easylatex

⁷http://ctan.org/pkg/txt2latex

⁸http://ctan.org/pkg/txt2tex

In general, differences to "manual" replacing by the substitution function of your *text editor* is that

- you first keep the original version,
- you can check the resulting file before you replace the original file by it,
- you can store the replacement script in order to check for mistakes at a later stage of your work,
- you can do *all* the replacements in *one run* (by *one* script to check for mistakes),
- you can store replacement scripts for future applications, so you needn't type the patterns and replacement strings anew.

1.2.1 Comparisons

It should be noted (perhaps here) that the present approach to parsing is a quite *simple* one and in this respect much different to the string handling mechanisms of stringstrings,⁹ ted,¹⁰ xstrings¹¹ (as I understand them, perhaps also coolstr¹²) which are *much more powerful* than what is offered here—but perhaps slow and for practical applications possibly replaceable by the present approach. *Expandable replacement* seems not to exist outside fifinddo (2009/04/13).

Much is missing, I know.¹³ I am just implementing what I actually need and what could show that this approach is worth being pursued.

1.3 For insiders

Warning: You may (at least at the present state of the work) have little success with this package, if you don't know about T_EX 's category codes and how T_EX macros are defined. The package rather provides tools for package writers. You may, however, be able to run other packages which just load fifinddo as required background.

That fifinddo acts on " $T_EX(t)$ " files or so means that (at present) I think of applications on "plain text" files which will usually be T_EX input files. "At present" they are read without "special characters," so essentially category codes of input characters are either 11 ("letter") or 12 ("other"). This way some things are easier than with usual T_EX applications:

1. You can "look into" curly braces and "behind" comment characters.

⁹http://ctan.org/pkg/stringstrings

¹⁰http://ctan.org/pkg/ted

¹¹http://ctan.org/pkg/xstrings

¹²http://ctan.org/pkg/coolstr

¹³There is more in my badly implemented txtproc.sty.

2 PRELIMINARIES

- 2. There are exact or safe tests especially of *empty macro arguments* that are "expandable," i.e., they are "robust," don't need assignments, can be executed in \writeing and in \edef definitions. "Usually," the safe way to test emptiness is storing a macro argument as a macro, say \tempo, in order to test \ifx\tempo\empty where \empty has been defined by \def\empty{} in the format. But this requires some \def\tempo{# $\langle n \rangle$ } which breaks in "mere expanding" (TEX evaluates \tempo instead of defining it). An expandable test on emptiness is, e.g. \ifx\$# $\langle n \rangle$ \$, where we hope that it becomes \iftrue just if macro argument # $\langle n \rangle$ is empty indeed. However, "usually" it may also become \iftrue when # $\langle n \rangle$ starts with \$—if the latter has category code 3 ("math shift"). But fifinddo does not assign category code 3 to any character from the input file! Therefore \ifx\$# $\langle n \rangle$ \$ is \iftrue exactly if # $\langle n \rangle$ is empty.
- 3. You can avoid interference with packages that are needed for typesetting. You can do the "preprocessing" in one run with typesetting, but you should do the preprocessing before you load packages needed for typesetting. One may even try to keep the macros and settings for preprocessing local to a group.

The essential approach of fifinddo to looking for single strings is described in some detail in section 4.

The implementation of fifinddo is as follows. User commands are specially highlighted (boxed/coloured), together with their syntax description.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Head of file (Legalese)

```
%% Macro package 'fifinddo.sty' for LaTeX2e,
                                                      %% FIDO, FIND!
 1
    %% copyright (C) 2009-2011 Uwe L\"uck,
2
    %%
         http://www.contact-ednotes.sty.de.vu
3
    \% -- author-maintained in the sense of LPPL below --
4
\mathbf{5}
    %% for processing tex(t) files
6
    %% (checking, filtering, converting, substituting, expanding, ...)
7
8
    \def\fileversion{0.42a} \def\filedate{2011/08/22}
9
    %% This file can be redistributed and/or modified under
10
    %% the terms of the LaTeX Project Public License; either
11
    %% version 1.3c of the License, or any later version.
12
    %% The latest version of this license is in
13
    %%
14
    %%
            http://www.latex-project.org/lppl.txt
15
16
    %%
    %% We did our best to help you, but there is NO WARRANTY.
17
18
    %% Please report bugs, problems, and suggestions via
19
    %%
```

2 PRELIMINARIES

```
20 %% http://www.contact-ednotes.sty.de.vu
21 %%
22 %% For the full documentation, look for 'fifinddo.pdf'.
23 %% Its source starts in 'fifinddo.tex'.
```

2.2 Format and package version

```
24 \NeedsTeXFormat{LaTeX2e}[1994/12/01]
25 % 1994/12/01: \newcommand* etc.
26 \ProvidesPackage{fifinddo}[\filedate\space v\fileversion\space
27 filtering TeX(t) files by TeX (UL)]
```

2.3 Category codes

We use the "underscore" as "compound identifier."

28 \catcode'_=11 %% underscore used in control words

\MakeOther is a synonym for \@makeother, needed for matching special characters from the input file. It is exemplified by \fdPatternCodes which is the default of \PatternCodes. The latter is used in setup macros for reading patterns. We offer \SetPatternCodes{(commands)} (redefining \PatternCodes) and \ResetPatternCodes (for returning to \fdPatternCodes) so setup scripts such as mdoccorr.cfg have shorter lines.

- 29 \@ifundefined{MakeOther}{\let\MakeOther\@makeother}{}
- 30 \newcommand*{\fdPatternCodes}{\MakeOther\&\MakeOther\\$}
- 31 \newcommand*{\SetPatternCodes}{\def\PatternCodes}
- 32 \newcommand*{\ResetPatternCodes}{\let\PatternCodes\fdPatternCodes}
- 33 \newcommand*{\PatternCodes}{} \ResetPatternCodes
- 34 %% TODO adding/removing; '*' may be wrong 2010/03/29

It would be bad to have $MakeOther\$ and $MakeOther\$ here in that this may have unexpected, weird effects with arguments of setup macros. (With $MakeOther\$ you must not indent within a setup command, and if you add $MakeOther\$ the setup command must stay in one line.) Therefore neither dospecials nor @sanitize are used. Curly braces remain untouched as default delimiters in setup macros. For matching them, you must use $MakeOther\$ and $MakeOther\$ in your PatternCodes, or Delimiters to introduce new ones at the same time, e.g., $Delimiters[\]:$

```
35 \newcommand*{\Delimiters}[2]{%
36 \MakeOther\{\MakeOther\}\catcode'#1\@ne \catcode'#2=\tw@}
```

^{38 \}newcommand*{\BackslashChar}{} \let\BackslashChar\@backslashchar

\BasicNormalCatcodes restores Plain T_EX 's macro parsing and comment character:

```
39 \newcommand*{\BasicNormalCatCodes}{%
40 \catcode'\\\z@ \Delimiters\{\}%
41 % \restorecr !?
42 \catcode'\ =10 \catcode'\%=14}
```

However, reading files *line by line* makes parsing of macro parameters somewhat difficult when the parameter code spans code lines. A line must not end with a curly brace when a macro requires another parameter; instead, it must contain the curly left brace for the next parameter.

 $MakeActiveDef (char) {(expand-to)} makes (char) an active character expanding to (expand-to)$

```
43 \newcommand*{\MakeActiveDef}[1]{%
44 \catcode`#1\active
45 \begingroup
46 \lccode`\~``#1\relax \lowercase{\endgroup \def~}}
```

(cf. \@sverb/\do@noligs in IATEX's doc.sty). This even allows defining active characters with parameters (suggested by Heiko Oberdiek LATEX-LIST 2010/09/18, may be nice for UTF-8). The macro has been used for conversion of text encodings.

3 File handling

47 \newwrite\result_file %% or write to \@mainaux!?

\ResultFile{(output)} opens (and empties) a file (output) to be written into.

```
48 \newcommand*{\ResultFile}[1]{%
49 \def\result_file_name{#1}%
```

```
50 \immediate\openout\result_file=#1}
```

 $\boxed{\texttt{WriteResult}(balanced)} \text{ writes a } \langle balanced \rangle \text{ line into } \langle output \rangle \text{ (or more lines with } ^J).}$

```
51 \newcommand*{\WriteResult}[1]{%
```

```
52 \immediate\write\result_file{#1}}
```

WriteProvides writes a \ProvidesFile command to the opened $\langle output \rangle$ file. This should be used when $\langle output \rangle$ is made as LATEX 2_{ε} input.

```
53 \newcommand*{\WriteProvides}{%
```

```
54 \WriteResult{%
```

56

```
55 \string\ProvidesFile{\result_file_name}%
```

```
[\the\year/\two@digits\month/\two@digits\day\space
```

```
57 automatically generated with fifinddo.sty]}}%
```

 $\label{eq:processFileWith[(changes)]{(input)}{(loop-body)} opens a file (input) and runs a loop on its lines the main body of which is (loop-body). When the (loop) starts, a new line of (input) is stored as macro [\fdInputLine]. The optional argument (changes) may change category codes used in reading (input). It may be useful to read macros with arguments and active characters expanding in writing to the output file. Even these expansions may be defined here (local to the group like everything else happening here, unless ...). Macros [\BasicNormalCatcodes] and [\MakeActiveDef] have been created for this purpose (see previous section TODO). (It may be better to store these (changes) in another macro (macro) and to call \ProcessFileWith[(macro)]{(input)}{(loop-body)}). More possible uses of some usual TFX category codes may be (some of)$

- avoiding matching substrings of control words,
- skipping blank spaces as T_FX does it usually,
- catching *balanced* input pieces,
- ignoring comments,
- ignoring certain characters.

```
\newcommand*{\ProcessFileWith}[3][]{%
58
       \typeout{'fifinddo' processing '#2'}%% 2010/04/15
59
       \openin\@inputcheck=#2%
60
         \ifeof\@inputcheck %% bad 'exists?' test
    %
61
62
    %
           \PackageError{fifinddo}{File '#1' not here}%
                                   {Mistyped?}%
63
    %
    %
         \else
64
65
         \global\c@fdInputLine=\z@ %% line counter reset
66
         \begingroup
67
           \MakeOther\{\MakeOther\}\@sanitize
68
           %% from docstrip.tex:
           % \MakeOther\^^A\MakeOther\^^K%% irrelevant, not LaTeX
69
70
           \endlinechar\m@ne
           %% <- cf. TeXbook "extended keyboards" up-/downarrow
71
           %%
                 -> "math specials", cf. "space specials"
72
           \MakeOther\^^I% ASCII horizontal tab -- guessed!? ^^L!?
73
```

With v0.31, we support non-ASCII:

74	\count@=128
75	\loop
76	\ifnum\count@<\@cclvi
77	\catcode\count@=12
78	\advance\count@\@ne
79	\repeat
80	#1%
81	\loop \ifeof\@inputcheck \else
82	\read\@inputcheck to \fdInputLine
83	\ignorespaces #3%

v0.42 supports \IfFDpreviousInputEmpty, cf. section 5.1:

84	\expandafter \let
85	\expandafter \IfFDpreviousInputEmpty
86	\ifx\fdInputLine\@empty \@firstoftwo
87	\else \@secondoftwo \fi
88	\repeat
89	\endgroup
90	% \fi
91	\closein\@inputcheck}

TODO: write EOF for debugging!?—Peter Wilson's newfile provides more powerful file handling.

 $\CopyFile[\langle changes \rangle] \{\langle file \rangle\}\$ is an application of \ProcessFileWith that "copies" the content of file $\langle file \rangle$ into the file specified by \ResultFile . However, optional $\langle changes \rangle$ allows some "modifications" while "copying"—especially, conversion of text encodings by active characters and expanding macros for generating HTML or other code. The "starred" variant $\CopyFile*$ copies one empty line only when one empty line in the input file is followed by more of them.

```
92 \newcommand*{\CopyFile}{%
```

```
93 \@ifstar{\let\FD@copy@style\FD@compress@voids \FD@copyfile}%
94 {\let\FD@copy@style\CopyLine \FD@copyfile}}
95 \newcommand*{\FD@copyfile}[2][]{%
96 \ProcessFileWith[#1]{#2}{\FD@copy@style\message{.}}}
```

You should find a file copyfile.tex providing a dialogue for "compressing" files this way. As soon as you have a useful conversion mapping file (defining \textCodes), you can also use it for text encoding conversions.

97 \newcommand*{\CopyLine}{\WriteResult\fdInputLine}

(... added \space without success with macro arguments 2010/04/26 — \BlogCodes has used a better solution later).

```
98 \newcommand*{\FD@compress@voids}{%
99 \IfFDinputEmpty{\IfFDpreviousInputEmpty\relax\CopyLine}%
100 \CopyLine}
```

Another difference to some verbatimcopy is that \CopyFile really was meant to be used for creating a HTML file from some *number* of sources, especially for shared head sections (however, I have used macros for this purpose so far), a navigation column, the main varying "blog-like" content, and finally a shared footer section. In the meantime, however, I have chosen another variant for generating HTML that replaces an empty line by a line consisting of .

```
101 \newcommand*{\CloseResultFile}{\immediate\closeout\result_file}
```

4 Basic handling of substring conditionals

4.1 "Substring Theory"

I wished I could study string theory, but I only could study substring theory.

A TEX macro, say, \find whose parameter text (cf. TEXbook p. 203) starts with $#1\langle pattern \rangle #2\&$ stops TEX with an error if it does not find $\langle pattern \rangle$ and then &. Otherwise we have a situation $find\langle split1 \rangle \langle pattern \rangle \langle split2 \rangle \&$, and find reads $\langle split1 \rangle$ as #1 and $\langle split2 \rangle$ as #2. An important point to note is that $\langle split1 \rangle$ will not contain $\langle pattern \rangle$, but possibly $\langle pattern \rangle$ has more occurrences in $\langle split2 \rangle$. In this sense, find uses the *first* occurrence of $\langle pattern \rangle$ it finds in order to delimit #1. Finding the *last* occurrence of $\langle pattern \rangle$ therefore needs a special idea.

In order to use $\langle \texttt{find} \text{ for a test whether } \langle \texttt{pattern} \rangle$ is in $\langle \texttt{target} \rangle$, we build a "sandbox" [find(sand)k], where $\langle \texttt{sand} \rangle$ contains $\langle \texttt{target} \rangle$ and additionally $\langle \texttt{pattern} \rangle$ —as a "dummy;" so k delimits the search and find finds $\langle \texttt{pattern} \rangle$ either in $\langle \texttt{target} \rangle$ or somewhere else before k.

Consider the simple sandbox [find(target)(pattern)&]. We can test #1 and #2 on being empty by ifx\$#1\$ and <math>ifx\$#2\$. If #2 is empty, (pattern) is not in (target). If #1 is empty at the same time, (target) is empty. If #1 is empty and #2 is not, (pattern) starts $(target)!^{14}$ This can be used to implement Wikipedia-like lists and to distinguish package code from comments in makedoc.¹⁵

If #2 is not empty, $\langle pattern \rangle$ occurs in $\langle target \rangle$ —or this once was thought, some time in developping the present package, as well as in the version of substr.sty marked 2005-11-29,¹⁶ try (if that version still is installed)¹⁷

 $IfSubStringInString{\langle str1 \rangle \langle str2 \rangle \langle str1 \rangle} {\langle str2 \rangle } {YES} NO}$

which works *verbatim* as well as considering $\langle str1\rangle$ and $\langle str2\rangle$ placeholders, e.g., for

\lfSubStringInString{day_after_day}{day_after_}{YES}{N0}^{18} \lfSubStringInString{AMSTERDAM}{AMSTERD}{YES}{N0} \lfSubStringInString{TORONTO}{TORON}{YES}{N0} \lfSubStringInString{bonbon}{bon}{YES}{N0}^{19} \lfSubStringInString{bonobo}{bono}{YES}{N0} (an ape)

 17 The "feature" has been fixed with v1.2 as of 2009/10/20 of substr.sty.

 $^{^{14}\}mathrm{This}$ is just as wrong as the next claim.

 $^{^{15}}$ Due to the special substrings to test in this application, this is true although the surrounding claims are wrong.

 $^{^{16} \}rm http://ctan.org/pkg/substr. substr does not change category codes as fifinddo does and uses \@ni1 as delimiter instead of our &.$

¹⁸Likewise t\^ete-\'a-t\^ete ...

 $^{^{19}\}mathrm{Polynesian:}$ aku aku, rongorongo, wiki wiki \ldots

or <code>\IfSubStringInString{ionization}{ionizat}{YES}{NO}.²⁰ Same with LATEX's internal <code>\in0:²¹</code></code>

$\label{eq:line} \label{eq:line} \label{eq:li$

In general, the previous approach fails if and exactly if $\langle pattern \rangle$ has a period p—less than its length—in the sense of that the pth token to the right or left of each token in $\langle pattern \rangle$ is the same token. AMSTERDAM has a period 7, day_after_day 10, bonbon 3, bonbo 4. There is a counterexample $\langle target \rangle$ of length p iff $\langle pattern \rangle$ has period p, namely the first substring of $\langle pattern \rangle$ having length p. If the length of $\langle pattern \rangle$ exceeds a multiple mp of its period, the first mp tokens of $\langle pattern \rangle$ form a counterexample $\langle target \rangle$.

Therefore, a sandbox must have something between $\langle target \rangle$ and $\langle pattern \rangle$.²² We choose $\underline{\texttt{find}(target)^{\sim}(pattern)}$ as standard. The \$ will be used as an argument delimiter to get rid of the dummy $\langle pattern \rangle$ in $\langle split2 \rangle$, as well as to decide whether the match was in $\langle target \rangle$ or in the dummy part of the sandbox. The \$ can be replaced by another tilde ~ in order to test whether $\langle target \rangle$ ends on a $\langle pattern \rangle$, defining a macro like findatend whose parameter text starts with #1 $\langle pattern \rangle^{\sim}$ #2&.

4.2 Plan for proceeding

When we check a file for several patterns, we seem to need *two* macros for each pattern: one that has the pattern in its parameter text and one that stores the pattern for building the sandbox.²³ We use a separate "name space" for each of both kinds. The parsing macro and the macro building the sandbox will have a common "identifier" by which the user or programmer calls them. Actually, she will usually (first) call the sandbox box builder. The sandbox builder calls the parsing macro. When *all* occurrences of a pattern in the target are looked for, the parser may call itself.

Actually, the parsing macro will execute certain actions depending on what it finds in the sandbox, so we call it a "substring conditional". It may read additional arguments after the sandbox that store information gathered before. This is especially useful for designing "expandable" chains (sequences) of conditionals where macros cannot store information in macros. The macro setting up the sandbox will initialize such extra arguments at the same time.

²⁰Read substr.sty or try "normal" things to convince yourself that the syntax indeed is $\IfSubStringInString{pattern}{(varget)}{(var$

 $^{^{21}}$ in the has been fixed after my warning on Heiko Oberdiek's proposal—at least in the repository.—On 2009/04/21 I learn from Manuel Pégourié-Gonnard that the first versions of his ted had a similar bug, fixed on v1.05 essentially like here; Steven Segletes confirms that his stringstrings doesn't suffer the problem (returning positions of substrings and numbers of occurrences).

 $^{^{22}}$ Must? Actually, I preferred this solution to other ideas like measuring the length of $\langle split2\rangle.$

 $^{^{23}}$ If it were for the pattern only, the parsing macro might suffice and the macro calling it might extract the pattern from a "dummy expansion." Somewhat too much for me now; on the other hand the calling macro also hands some "current" informations to the parsing macro—oh, even this could be handled by a general "calling" macro ...

It may be more efficient *not* to use the following setup macros but to type the macros yourself, just using the following as templates. The setup macros are especially useful with patterns that contain "special characters," as when you are looking for lines that might be package comments.

4.3 Meta-Setup

A setup command (setup-cmd) will have the following syntax:

 $\langle setup-cmd \rangle \{\langle job-id \rangle\} [\langle changes \rangle] \{\langle pattern \rangle\} \langle more-args \rangle$

 $\langle changes \rangle$ will, in the first instance, be category code changes for reading $\langle pattern \rangle$ overriding the settings in **\PatternCodes**. They are executed after the latter in a local group. It may be safer to redefine **\PatternCodes** instead of using the optional $\langle changes \rangle$ argument.

A macro

```
\times
```

shared by setup commands may read $\langle job-id \rangle$ and $\langle changes \rangle$ for $\langle setup-cmd \rangle$. $\boxed{\langle do-setup \rangle}$ will be the macro that reads $\langle pattern \rangle$ (and more) and processes it. It must contain \endgroup to match \begingroup from \FD_prepare_pattern. $\langle job-id \rangle$ is stored in a macro [\fdParserId]. The default for $\langle changes \rangle$ is nothing.

```
102 \newcommand*{\StartFDsetup}[1]{%
103 \let\FD_do_setup#1%
104 \afterassignment\FD_prepare_pattern
105 \def\fdParserId}
106 \newcommand*{\FD_prepare_pattern}[1][]{%
107 \begingroup \PatternCodes #1\FD_do_setup}
```

So $\langle setup-cmd \rangle$ should be set up about as follows:

 $\label{eq:linear} \label{linear} \$

 $\langle do-setup \rangle$'s first argument will be the $\langle pattern \rangle$ argument of $\langle setup-cmd \rangle$.

4.4 Setup for conditionals

substr_cond is the "name space" for substring conditionals. A colon separates
it from "job identifiers" in the actual macro names.

```
108 \def\substr_cond{substr_cond:}
```

[\MakeSubstringConditional{ $\langle id \rangle$ }[$\langle changes \rangle$]{ $\langle pattern \rangle$ }] starts the definition of a conditional with identifier $\langle id \rangle$ and pattern $\langle pattern \rangle$. $\langle changes \rangle$ optionally add commands to be executed after \PatternCodes in a local group.

109 \newcommand*{\MakeSubstringConditional}

110 {\StartFDsetup\mk_substr_cond}

 $\begingroup \mbox{when} \cond{pattern} \cond{patt$

```
111 \def\mk_substr_cond #1{%% #1 pattern string
112 \endgroup \@namedef{\substr_cond \fdParserId}##1#1##2&}
```

This really is not LATEX. We are starting defining a macro $\substr_cond: \langle id \rangle$ in primitive TEX with \def in the form

 $\det \operatorname{substr_cond}: \langle id \rangle #1 \langle pattern \rangle #2 \&$

where \csname etc. render ': $\langle id \rangle$ ' part of the macro name.²⁴ The user or programmer macro produces the part of the definition until the delimiter & to match the sandbox. You have to add (maybe) #3 etc. and the { $\langle definition text \rangle$ } just as with primitive T_FX.

4.5 Setup for sandboxes

There was a *question:* will we rather see *string macros* or *strings from macro arguments*? The input file content always comes as **\fdInputLine** first, so we at least *must account* for the possibility of string macros as input.

One easy way to apply several checks and substitutions to \fdInputLine before the result is written to (*output*) is \let\OutputString\fdInputLine and then let \OutputString be to what each job refers as *its* input and output, finally \WriteResult{\OutputString}. (\fdInputLine might better not be touched, it could be used for a final test whether any change applied for some message on screen, even with an entirely expandable chain of actions.) This way each job, indeed each recursive substitution of a single string must start with expanding \OutputString.

On the other hand, there is the idea of "expandable" chains of substitutions. We may, e.g., define a macro, say, \manysubstitutions{\macro-name\}, such that \WriteResult{\manysubstitutions{\fdInputLine}} writes to \output\ the result of applying many expandable substitutions to \fdInputLine. Such a macro \manysubstitutions may read \fdInputLine, but it must not redefine any macros. Instead, the substitution macros it calls must read results of previous substitutions as arguments.

Another aspect: the order of substitutions should be easy to change. Therefore expanding of string macros should rather be controlled by the way a job is *called*, not right here at the *definition* of the job. For this reason, a variant of the sandbox builder expanding some macro was given up.

setup_substr_cond is the name space for macros that build sandboxes and initialize arguments for conditional macros.

²⁴Loosely speaking of "the parser" $\langle parser \rangle$ around here somehow refers to this macro—but rather to its "parameter text" only, according to TEXbook p. 203. Such a macro, however, won't "parse" only, but it will also execute some job on the results of parsing. Or: a "mere parsing" macro might be macro that transforms a "weird" Plain TEX parameter text into a "simple" parameter text of another macro, consisting of hash marks and digits only. E.g.: \def\Foo#1(pattern)#2&{\foo}#1{#2}}.

4 BASIC HANDLING OF SUBSTRING CONDITIONALS

113 \def\setup_substr_cond{setup_substr_cond:}

 $MakeSetupSubstringCondition{(id)}[(changes)]{(pattern)}{(more-args)}$

—same $\langle id \rangle$, $\langle changes \rangle$, $\langle pattern \rangle$ as for \MakeSubstringConditional (this is bad, there may be \MakeSubstringConditional*{ $\langle more-args \rangle$ })—creates the corresponding sandbox, by default without tilde wrap. $\langle more-args \rangle$ may contain {#1} to store the string that was tested, also { $\langle id \rangle$ } for calling repetitions and { $\langle pattern \rangle$ } for screen or log informations.

```
114 \newcommand*{\MakeSetupSubstringCondition}
115 {\StartFDsetup\mk_setup_substr_cond}
```

 $[\mk_setup_substr_cond{\langle pattern \rangle}{\langle more-args \rangle}]$ can be directly called by other programmer setup commands after \fdParserId and $\langle pattern \rangle$ have been read:

116 \def\mk_setup_substr_cond #1#2{%% #1 pattern string, 117 %% #2 additional arguments, e.g., '{#1}' to keep tested string 118 \endgroup 119 \expandafter \edef 120 \csname \setup_substr_cond \fdParserId \endcsname ##1{% 121 \make_not_expanding_cs{\substr_cond \fdParserId}%

By **\edef**, the name of the substring conditional is stored here as a single token. The rest of the sandbox follows.

122 ##1\noexpand~#1\dollar_tilde}%
123 \let\dollar_tilde\sandbox_dollar}

If a tilde $\tilde{}$ has been used instead of \$, the default is restored.

```
124 \def\sandbox_dollar{$}
```

```
125 \let\dollar_tilde\sandbox_dollar
```

The following general tool <u>\make_not_expanding_cs</u> has been used (many definitions in latex.ltx could have used it):

```
126 \def\make_not_expanding_cs#1{%
```

127 \expandafter \noexpand \csname #1\endcsname}

4.6 Getting rid of the tildes

\let~\TildeGobbles can be used to suppress dummy patterns (contained in $\langle split2 \rangle$) in **\write**ing or with **\edef**. ... will probably become obsolete ... however, it is helpful in that you needn't care whether there is a dummy wrap left at all. (2009/04/13)

128 \newcommand{\TildeGobbles}{} \def\TildeGobbles#1\${}

[\RemoveDummyPattern] is used to remove the dummy pattern *immediately*, not waiting for \writeing or other "total" expansion:

129 \newcommand{\RemoveDummyPattern}{} \def\RemoveDummyPattern#1~#2\${#1}

130 \newcommand*{\RemoveDummyPatternArg}[2]{%

```
131 \expandafter #1\expandafter {\RemoveDummyPattern #2}}
```

\RemoveTilde is used to remove the tilde that separated the dummy pattern from $\langle split1 \rangle$.

132 \newcommand{\RemoveTilde}{} \def\RemoveTilde#1~{#1}

```
133 \newcommand*{\RemoveTildeArg}[2]{%
```

```
134 \expandafter #1\expandafter {\RemoveTilde #2}}
```

4.7 Calling conditionals

\ProcessStringWith{(target-string)}{(id)} builds the sandbox to search $\langle target-string \rangle$ for the $\langle pattern \rangle$ associated with the parser-conditional that is identified by $\langle id \rangle$, the sandbox then calls the parser.

```
135 \newcommand*{\ProcessStringWith}[2]{%
```

136 \csname \setup_substr_cond #2\endcsname{#1}}

 $\label{eq:processExpandedWith{$\langle string-macro \rangle } {\langle id \rangle } does the same but with a macro $\langle string-macro \rangle$ (like $\fdInputLine or \OutputString) that stores the string to be tested. [\ProcessExpandedWith{$ the $\langle toks \rangle } {\langle id \rangle } with a token list parameter or register $\langle toks \rangle$ may be used as well.$

```
137 \newcommand*{\ProcessExpandedWith}[2]{%
138 \csname \setup_substr_cond #2\expandafter \endcsname
139 \expandafter{#1}}
```

I would have preferred the reversed order of arguments which seems to be more natural, but the present one is more efficient. Macros with reversed order are currently stored after \endinput in section 8, maybe they once return.

Anyway, most desired will be $\ProcessInputWith{\langle id \rangle}$ just applying to fdInputLine:

```
140 \newcommand*{\ProcessInputWith}[1]{%
```

```
141 \csname \setup_substr_cond #1\expandafter \endcsname
```

```
142 \expandafter{\fdInputLine}}
```

(Definition almost copied for efficiency.)

143 %% TODO: error when undefined 2009/04/07

4.8 Copy jobs

A job identifier $\langle id \rangle$ may also be considered a mere *hook*, a *placeholder* for a parsing job. What function actually is called may depend on conditions that change while reading the $\langle input \rangle$ file. [\CopyFDconditionFromTo{ $\langle id1 \rangle$ }{ $\langle id2 \rangle$ }] creates or redefines a sandbox builder with identifier $\langle id2 \rangle$ that afterwards behaves like the sandbox builder $\langle id1 \rangle$. So you can store a certain behaviour as $\langle id1 \rangle$ in advance in order once to change the behaviour of $\langle id2 \rangle$ into that of $\langle id1 \rangle$.

```
144 \newcommand*{\CopyFDconditionFromTo}[2]{%
145 \expandafter \let
146 \csname \setup_substr_cond #2\expandafter \endcsname
147 \csname \setup_substr_cond #1\endcsname}
```

(Only the *sandbox* is copied here—what about changing conditionals?)

An "almost" example is typesetting documentation from a package file where the "Legalese" header might be typeset verbatim although it is marked as "comment." (The present example changes "hand-made" macros instead.)

This feature could have been placed more below as a "programming tool."

5 Programming tools

5.1 Tails of conditionals

When creating complex *expandable* conditionals, this may amount to have primitive if ... fi conditionals nested quite deeply, once perhaps too deep for TEX's memory. To avoid this, you can apply the common expandafter trick which finishes the current if ... fi before an inside macro is executed (cf. TEXbook p. 219 on "tail recursion").

Internally tests whether certain strings are present at certain places will be carried out by tests on emptiness or on starting with ~. E.g., "#1 = $\langle split1 \rangle$ empty" indicates that either the $\langle pattern \rangle$ starts a line or the line is empty altogether (this must be decided by another test).

```
148\newcommand*{\IfFDempty}[1]{%149\ifx$#1$\expandafter \@firstoftwo \else150\expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

 $[IfFDinputEmpty{\langle when-empty \rangle}{\langle when-not-empty \rangle}]$ is a variant of the previous to execute $\langle when-empty \rangle$ if the loop processing $\langle input \rangle$ finds an empty line—otherwise $\langle when-not-empty \rangle$.

```
151 \newcommand*{\IfFDinputEmpty}{%
152 \ifx\fdInputLine\@empty \expandafter \@firstoftwo \else
153 \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

 $\ ffFDdollar{\langle arg \rangle}{\langle when-dollar \rangle}{\langle when-not-dollar \rangle}$ is another variant, testing $\langle split2 \rangle$ for being \$, main indicator of there is a match anywhere in $\langle target \rangle$ (as opposed to starting or ending match):

```
154 \newcommand*{\IfFDdollar}[1]{%
155 \ifx$#1\expandafter \@firstoftwo \else
156 \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

It is exemplified and explained in section 6. (The whole policy requires that ~ remains active in any testing macros here!)

However, you might always just type the replacement text (in one line) instead of such an \If ... (for efficiency ...)

If expandability is not desired, you can just chain macros that rework (so re-define) \OutputString or so.

2009/04/11: tending towards combining... Keeping empty input and empty arguments apart is useful in that *one* test of emptiness per input line should suffice—it may be left open whether this should be the first of all tests ...

\IffDpreviousInputEmpty{\\/when-empty\}} (v0.42) is a companion of \IffDpreviousInputEmpty referring to \fdInputLine as of the previous run of the loop in \ProcessFileWith, cf. section 3, where its choice among its two arguments is determined. It is initialized as follows:

157 \newcommand*{\IfFDpreviousInputEmpty}[2]{#2}

–which is same as

158 \let\IfFDpreviousInputEmpty\@secondoftwo

... working like **false**, somewhat. Together with \IfFDinputEmpty, it can be used to compress multiple adjacent empty lines into a single one when copying a file.

5.2 Line counter

A IATEX counter **fdInputLine** may be useful for screen or log messages, moreover you can use it to control processing of the $\langle input \rangle$ file "from outside," not dependent on what the parsing macros find. The header of the file might be typeset verbatim, but we may be too lazy to define the "header" in terms of what is in the file. We just decide that the first ... lines are the "header," even without counting just trying whether the output is fine. It may be necessary to change that number manually when the header changes.

You also can insert lines in $\langle output \rangle$ which have no counterpart in $\langle input \rangle$ —if you know what you are doing. With makedoc, there is a hook \EveryComment that can be used to issue commands "from outside" at a place where executing the command is safe or appropriate.

159 \newcounter{fdInputLine}

You then must insert **\CountInputLines** in the second argument of **\ProcessFileWith** (or in a macro called from there) so that the counter is stepped.

160 \newcommand*{\CountInputLines}{\global\advance\c@fdInputLine\@ne}

At present the counter is reset by \ProcessFileWith, this may change.

 $\IfInputLine{\langle relation \rangle \langle number \rangle}{\langle true \rangle}{\langle false \rangle}$, when called from the processing loop (second argument of \ProcessFileWith) issues $\langle true \rangle$ commands if \value{fdInputLine} $\langle relation \rangle \langle number \rangle$ is true, otherwise $\langle false \rangle$. $\langle relation \rangle$ is one out of <, =, >.

```
161 \newcommand*{\IfInputLine}[1]{%
162 \ifnum\c@fdInputLine#1\relax \expandafter \@firstoftwo
163 \else \expandafter \@secondoftwo \fi}
```

5.3 The "identity job" LEAVE

The job with identifier $\boxed{\text{LEAVE}}$ leaves an (expandable) chain of jobs (as expandable replacement in section 6) and leaves the processed string without changing it and without the braces enclosing it:

```
164 \expandafter \let
```

165 \csname \setup_substr_cond LEAVE\endcsname \@firstofone

I.e., $ProcessStringWith{\langle string \rangle}{LEAVE} expands to \langle string \rangle \dots (Indeed!)$

6 Setup for expandable chains of replacements

By the following means, you can create macros (\Transform among them) such that, e.g.,

 $\left(\operatorname{CutputString} \right)$

renders **\OutputString** the result of applying a chain (sequence) of stringwise replacements to $\langle string \rangle$. You can even write a transformed input $\langle string \rangle$ to a file without defining anything after **\read_uto...** In this case however, you don't get any statistical message about what happened or not. With **\edef\OutputString** you can at least issue some **changed**! or **left**! (maybe **\message{!}** vs. **\message{.}**). There is an application in **makedoc** for "typo-graphical upgrading" from plain text to T_EX input.

6.1 The backbone macro

\repl_all_chain_expandable will be the backbone of the replacements. It is called by some parsing macro $\langle parser \rangle$ and receives from the latter $\langle split1 \rangle = #1$ and $\langle split2 \rangle = #2$. #3 is the result of what happened so far.

```
166 \def\repl_all_chain_expandable#1#2#3#4#5#6{%
167 %% #1, #2 splits, #3 past, #4 substitute,
168 %% #5 repeat parser, #6 pass to
169 % \ifx<sup>*</sup>#2\expandafter\@firstoftwo\else\expandafter\@secondoftwo\fi
```

The previous line (or something similar!?) would be somewhat faster, but let us exemplify \IfFDdollar from section 5.1 instead:

170 \IfFDdollar{#2}%

If #2 starts with \$—with category code 3, "math shift"!, it *is* \$, due to not reading \$ from input with its standard category code 3 and the sandbox construction (where \$ appears with its standard category code). And this is the case *exactly* when the $\langle pattern \rangle$ from $\langle parser \rangle$ didn't match, again due to the input category codes. Now on *no* match, the sandbox builder #6 is called with target string #3#1 where the last tested string is attached to previous results. The ending ~ is removed, #6 inserts a new wrap for the new dummy pattern.

171 {\RemoveTildeArg #6{#3#1}}%

Otherwise ... the sandbox builder $\langle sandbox \rangle$ (that will be shown below) that called $\langle parser \rangle$ initialized **#5** to be that $\langle parser \rangle$ itself. ($\langle parser \rangle$ otherwise wouldn't know who it is.) So $\langle parser \rangle$ calls itself with another sandbox **#2%**. Note that **#2** contains '~ $\langle pattern \rangle$ \$' due to the initial $\langle sandbox \rangle$ building.

172 **{#5#2&{#3#1#4}{#4}#5#6}}**

#4 is the replacement string that $\langle sandbox \rangle$ passed to $\langle parse \rangle$. The first argument after the & is previous stuff plus the recently skipped $\langle split1 \rangle$ plus #4 replacing the string $\langle pattern \rangle$ that was matched.

Finally, #5 and #6 again "recall" $\langle parser \rangle$ and the sandbox builder to which to change in case of no other match.

6.2 The basic setup interface macro

$[\MakeExpandableAllReplacer{\langle id \rangle}[\langle chng \rangle]{\langle find \rangle}{\langle replace \rangle}{\langle id-next \rangle}]$

creates sandbox and parser with common identifier $\langle id \rangle$ and search pattern $\langle find \rangle$. Each occurrence of $\langle find \rangle$ will be replaced by $\langle replace \rangle$. When $\langle find \rangle$ is not found, the sandbox builder for $\langle id\text{-}next \rangle$ is called. This may be another replacing macro of the same kind. To return the result without further transformations, call job LEAVE (section 5.3). Optional argument $\langle chng \rangle$ changes category codes locally for reading $\langle find \rangle$ and $\langle replace \rangle$.

```
173 \newcommand*{\MakeExpandableAllReplacer}
174 {\StartFDsetup\mk_setup_xpdbl_all_repl}
175 \newcommand*{\mk_setup_xpdbl_all_repl}[3]{%
176 %% #1 pattern, #2 substitute, #3 pass to
177 \endgroup
```

We take pains to call next jobs by single command strings and store them this way, not by \csname, as \ProcessStringWith would do it. \edef\@tempa is used for this purpose, but ...

178	\edef\@tempa{%
179	<pre>\noexpand\mk_setup_substr_cond{#1}{%</pre>
180	{}{ # 2}%
181	\noexpand\noexpand

That \edef\@tempa must *not expand* the controll words after they have been computed from \csname etc. Moreover, expansion of the parser commands must be avoided another time, when \@tempa is executed.

```
182 \make_not_expanding_cs{\substr_cond\fdParserId}%
183 \noexpand\noexpand
184 \make_not_expanding_cs{\setup_substr_cond #3}}}%
```

Those internal setup commands start with \endgroup to switch back to standard category codes. We must match them here by \begingroup.

185	\begingroup \@tempa
186	\begingroup \mk_substr_cond{#1}{%
187	<pre>\repl_all_chain_expandable{##1}{##2}}}</pre>

The final command is the one that we explained first.

6.3 Half-automatic chaining

 $[\PrependExpandableAllReplacer{\langle id \rangle}]{\langle cat \rangle}]{\langle find \rangle}{\langle replace \rangle}]$ is hoped to be a slight relief in composing replacement chains. It does something like invoking \MakeExpandableAllReplacer with $\langle prev-setup-id \rangle$ for the last $\langle next-id \rangle$ argument where $\langle prev-setup-id \rangle$ is the $\langle id \rangle$ of the job that was set up most recently. If you have adjacent lines

and call $\langle id-2 \rangle$, it will call $\langle id-1 \rangle$, and the latter will call $\langle id-0 \rangle$. So you can reorder the chain by moving **\Prepend**... lines.

```
188 \newcommand*{\PrependExpandableAllReplacer}{%
189 \let\fdParserId_before\fdParserId
190 \StartFDsetup\prep_xpdbl_all_repl}
191 \newcommand*{\prep_xpdbl_all_repl}[2]{%
192 \mk_setup_xpdbl_all_repl{#1}{#2}{\fdParserId_before}}%
```

\StartPrependingChain makes **\MakeExpandableReplacer** superfluous, in the sense that the above chain setup can be achieved as well like this:

This adds a code line, but this way you can choose the final "real" job more easily. So you can think of \StartPrependingChain as "initializing a chain of prependments."

193 \newcommand*{\StartPrependingChain}{\def\fdParserId{LEAVE}}

Use automatic ids another time ... TODO

6.4 CorrectHook launching the replacement chain

 $MakeDocCorrectHook{\langle string \rangle}$ belongs to makedoc, but in the meantime (nicetext release 0.3) I have proposed to use it with fifinddo only as well (running files fdtxttex.tpl, fdtxttex.tex). Therefore I offer some simplification $SetCorrectHookJob{\langle job-id \rangle}$ for defining MakeDocCorrectHook here.

```
194 \newcommand*{\SetCorrectHookJob}[1]{%
195 \def\MakeDocCorrectHook##1{\ProcessStringWith{##1}{#1}}}
```

\SetCorrectHookJobLast just uses the job that was set up most recently.

```
    196
    \newcommand*{\SetCorrectHookJobLast}

    197
    {\SetCorrectHookJob\fdParserId}
```

7 Leave package mode

We restore the underscore $_$ for math subscripts. (This might better depend on something ...)

```
198 \catcode'\_=8 %% restores underscore use for subscripts
199 \endinput
```

 T_EX ignores the rest of the file when it is *input* "in the sense of \input", as opposed to just reading the file line by line to a macro like \fdInputLine.

8 Pondered

```
%% TODO abbreviated commands (aliases) \MkSubstrCond...
200
       %% TODO \@onlypreamble!?
201
     \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditional}[1]{%
202
       %% #1 identifier; text to be searched expected next
203
       \csname setup_substr_cond:#1\endcsname}
204
     \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToExpanded}[1]{% 2009/03/31+
205
       \csname setup_substr_cond:#1\expandafter \endcsname \expandafter}
206
207
     \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToInputString}[1]{% 2009/03/31+
       \csname setup_substr_cond:#1\expandafter \endcsname
208
          \expandafter {\fdInputLine}}
209
       %% TODO or '\OutputString', even '\read' to '\OutputString'!?
210
     % \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToExpanded}[2]{%
211
212
     %
         %% note: without assignments, robust!
```

```
%
         \% BUT the '\csname ... \expandafter \endcsname' method is faster
213
     %
        \expandafter \reversed_apply_substr_cond
214
215
     %
          \expandafter {#2}{#1}}
216
     % \newcommand*{\reversed_apply_substr_cond}[2]{%
217
     % \ApplySubstringConditional{#2}{#1}}
       %% ODER:
218
     % \newcommand*{\expand_attach_arg}[2]{%% 2009/03/31
219
220
        %% #1 command with previous args, TODO cf. LaTeX3
     %
         \expandafter \attach_arg \expandafter {#1}{#2}}
221
     %
222
     %
         %% actually #1 may contain more than one token,
         %% only first expanded
223
     %
     % \newcommand*{\attach_arg}[2]{#2{#1}}
224
     % \newcommand*{\ApplySubstringConditionalToExpanded}[2]{%
225
226
     %
         \expandafter \attach_arg \expandafter
227
     %
           {#2}{\ApplySubstringConditional{#1}}}
```

9 VERSION HISTORY

228	v0.1	2009/04/03	very first version, tested on morgan.sty
229	v0.2	2009/04/05	counter fdInputLine, \ProvidesFile moved from
230			<pre>\ProcessFile to \ResultFile, \CopyFD,</pre>
231			category section first, more sectioning,
232			suppressing empty code lines before section
233			titles; discussion, \Delimiters
234		2009/04/06	more discussion
235		2009/04/07	more discussion, factored \WriteProvides out from
236			\ResultFile, \ProcessExpandedWith corrected
237		2009/04/08	<pre>\InputString -> \fdInputline;</pre>
238			removed \ignorespaces
239		2009/04/09	\WhenInputLine[2] -> \IfInputline[3],
240			\ProcessInputWith, typos,
241			\WriteProvides message 'with'
242		2009/04/10	<pre>\make_not_expanding_cs</pre>
243			DISCOVERED ''IF SUBSTRING" ALGORITHM WRONG
244			<pre>(<str1><str2><str1> in <str1><str2>)</str2></str1></str1></str2></str1></pre>
245	v0.3	2009/04/11	SOME THINGS GIVEN UP EARLIER WILL BE REMOVED,
246			TO BE STORED IN THE COPY AS OF 2009/04/10
247			mainly: sandbox setup (tilde/dollar)
248			REAL ADDITION: setup for expandable replacing
249		2009/04/12	played with 'chain' vs. 'sequence';
250			<pre>plain '', 'cf.', 'etc.' for 'mdcorr.cfg'</pre>
251		2009/04/13	\RemoveTilde
252		2009/04/15	reworked text, same mistake \in@
253	v0.31	2009/04/21f.	comments on ted, stringstrings
254		2009/12/28	"onwards)" !? "safer", not "more safe"
255		2010/03/10	the loop starts
256		2010/03/17	corr. t^ete; set up -> setup for
257		2010/03/18	TODO EOF, ctan.org/pkg/newfile; non-ASCII
258		2010/03/19	<pre>extended description of \MakeExpandableAll;</pre>

```
·· -> "
259
260
             2010/03/20
                          \ctanpkgref
             2010/03/22
                          \StartFDsetup, \Prepend...
261
             2010/03/23
                          URL for 'substr.sty'
262
     SENT TO CTAN
263
264
265
     v0.4
            2010/03/24
                          removed \pagebreak before "substrings";
                          <relation> with \IfInputLine precisely
266
             2010/03/25
                          todo \ProcessExp... more precisely, etc.
267
             2010/03/26
                           ... was wrong, removed
268
             2010/03/29
                          \SetPatternCodes, \ResetPatternCodes,
269
                          \SetCorrectHookJob, \SetCorrectHookJobLast;
270
271
                          <relation> with \HardNVerb;
                          don't mention \begingroup with
272
273
                          \mk_setup_substr_cond; renamed v0.4
     belonged to nicetext RELEASE 0.4
274
     v0.4a 2010/04/04
275
                          copyright 2010
     belonged to nicetext RELEASE 0.41
276
277
278
     v0.41 2010/04/06
                          more on \ProcessExpanded...;
279
                          \ProcessFile... gets opt arg
             2010/04/13
                          \ProcessFile{<file>}... shows <file>
280
     used by blog.sty v0.1, v0.2
281
     v0.42 2010/11/09
                          typo corr.
282
                          \IfFDpreviousInputEmpty
283
             2010/11/10
284
             2010/11/11
                          \BasicNormalCatcodes from blog.sty,
                          \CopyFile*, \CopyLine; v3. -> v0.3;
285
                          LPPL v1.3c
286
             2010/11/12
                          \CatCode replaced (implemented in niceverb only)
287
             2010/11/13
                          \CopyFile with \message{.}
288
             2010/11/24
                          reworked doc. of replacement setup;
289
290
                          \StartPrependingChain
291
             2010/11/25
                          corr. typo \@backslash...; doc. changes;
                          \CopyLine indeed, not \fdCopyLine
292
293
             2010/11/27
                          footnote on "parser", other doc. corr.s
294
             2011/01/20
                          corr. "period" AMSTERDAM
             2011/01/25
                          updated (C); footnotes to 'substring theory';
295
                          TODO with \RemoveTilde; some manual line spacings
296
297
                          (adding '\ ')
     belonged to nicetext RELEASE 0.42
298
299
     v0.42a 2011/08/06
                          doc.: mistake \WriteResult/\ResultFile,
300
             2011/08/22
                                use \acro
301
     TODO: cleveref 2010/03/18
302
303
```