

The extension package `codicefiscaleitaliano`^{*}

Claudio Beccari

Contents

Abstract

This small package is meant to help users to check the Italian Fiscal Code spelling; it produces an “info” message in the log file if the code is selfconsistent; otherwise, without actually correcting the code, it issues an error message that informs the user of the incorrectness of the current fiscal code and urges him to provide for a revision, but it does not forbid to continue typesetting the document.

This package should be helpful for everybody who typesets legal or financial documents for the Italian Administration Offices; therefore the user either knows Italian or his mother language is Italian; therefore most of the user guide part will be typeset in Italian.

1 Introduzione

Chiunque abbia bisogno di scrivere il codice fiscale di una persona fisica trova grande giovamento se può disporre di un software che gli controlli l’ortografia del codice; basta il più banale refuso che il codice perde ogni validità.

Questo pacchetto verifica solo la lettera di controllo, che dipende, secondo un apposito algoritmo, dai precedenti 15 caratteri che formano il codice: è una specie di prova del nove che permette non di trovare l’errore, ma di verificare l’inconsistenza dei caratteri che formano la stringa.

Come è noto, il codice fiscale delle persone fisiche è formato da sedici caratteri secondo lo schema seguente:

CCCNNAAMGGLLLK

dove:

CCC sono tre lettere estratte dal cognome della persona;

NNN sono tre lettere estratte dal nome della persona;

AA sono le ultime due cifre dell’anno di nascita della persona;

*Version number v.1.1; last revised 2012/05/03.

M è una lettera che identifica il mese di nascita della persona;

GG sono le cifre del giorno di nascita della persona, eventualmente aggiunte al numero 40, nel caso delle persone di sesso femminile;

LLLL è il codice indicativo del comune di nascita della persona, se è nata in Italia, oppure il codice identificativa della nazione estera dove essa è nata;

K è la lettera di controllo.

Per evitare situazioni di *omocodia*, cioè di persone identificate dallo stesso codice, le sette cifre che compaiono normalmente nel codice possono venire sostituite da apposite lettere, ma solo l'Amministrazione Centrale dello Stato può farlo perché solo l'amministrazione conosce quali codici siano già stati attribuiti, a chi e quando. All'utente finale non resta altra possibilità che controllare mediante la lettera di controllo la coerenza dell'intero codice, ma non di generare codici solo a partire dalle generalità di una persona; o meglio, non sarebbe molto complicato farlo, ma si potrebbe solo generare il codice di default, non un codice che garantisca di evitare l'omocodia.

2 Uso del pacchetto

Il pacchetto si carica nel solito modo, mediante \usepackage; non ci sono opzioni da esprimere. Lo si usa semplicemente inserendo nel testo da comporre il comando

\codicefiscaleitaliano{\langle codice fiscale da controllare\rangle}

Il \langle codice fiscale da controllare\rangle va scritto in lettere maiuscole.

Eseguito il controllo il pacchetto si limita di scrivere un messaggio nel file log per ricordare quale codice si è controllato, se l'esito del controllo è positivo. Se il codice fosse inconsistente, invece, il pacchetto scrive un vistoso messaggio di errore indicando il codice inconsistente; non impedisce di continuare a comporre il documento, ma invita l'utente a ricordarsi di verificare e correggere l'errore. Ovviamente il pacchetto non “corregge” la lettera di controllo, perché l'errore potrebbe essere in uno o più degli altri 15 caratteri, per cui correggere la lettera di controllo potrebbe consistere nell'aggiungere errore ad errore, producendo un codice con almeno due errori, del tutto invalido ai fini legali; probabilmente potrebbe dare luogo a qualche altro reato, a seconda del documento che si sta scrivendo.

Esempio: \codicefiscaleitaliano{NGLMRA08M64L500N}

Siccome il codice è valido, non appaiono messaggi sullo schermo; se fosse stato invalido, sarebbe apparso un vistoso messaggio d'errore sullo schermo.

Finché non si controlla un altro codice, il codice corrente è ancora disponibile nella macro \CFisc che può essere usata per scrivere il codice senza doverlo ribattere. Infatti ottengo: NGLMRA08M64L500N.

3 Disclaimer

Although I did my best so as to assure a correct control of the fiscal code string I cannot be absolutely sure that this control does not produce false positive or false negative results.

Since this work is subject to the L^AT_EX Project Public Licence, it is the case to redirect the user to the full text of this license; here I reproduce only this section:

4 No Warranty

There is no warranty for the Work. Except when otherwise stated in writing, the Copyright Holder provides the Work ‘as is’, without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The entire risk as to the quality and performance of the Work is with you. Should the Work prove defective, you assume the cost of all necessary servicing, repair, or correction.

In no event unless agreed to in writing will the Copyright Holder, or any author named in the components of the Work, or any other party who may distribute and/or modify the Work as permitted above, be liable to you for damages, including any general, special, incidental or consequential damages arising out of any use of the Work or out of inability to use the Work (including, but not limited to, loss of data, data being rendered inaccurate, or losses sustained by anyone as a result of any failure of the Work to operate with any other programs), even if the Copyright Holder or said author or said other party has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

5 The documented code

Here begins the code documentation; I warn the reader that this code contains some “dirty tricks”, at least according to my opinion; therefore the reading might result quite difficult. I try to be clear also for myself, because I would like to understand it when I read this code some time from now.

In the preamble of this documented T_EX file we requested a recent L^AT_EX kernel; actually this code contains very little L^AT_EX code, but it requires a sufficiently recent typesetting engine, therefore a sufficiently recent L^AT_EX kernel; most of the code contained here after is primitive T_EX code.

The control algorithm requires that each fiscal code string character be examined and according to its position in the code (even or odd position order) be assigned a specific value according to a table established by the legislation, see table ??.

Once assigned the above numeric value to each one of the first fifteen characters of the code, it is necessary to sum them up and compute this sum’s modulo 26 value; this value is in the interval from zero to 25, and it is used to look up in the second column of table ?? which letter corresponds to such a number; this is the

Table 1: Values to be assigned to the single characters in the fiscal code string according to their position

Character	Even posititon	Odd position
0	0	1
1	1	0
2	2	5
3	3	7
4	4	9
5	5	13
6	6	15
7	7	17
8	8	19
9	9	21
A	0	1
B	1	0
C	2	5
D	3	7
E	4	9
F	5	13
G	6	15
H	7	17
I	8	19
J	9	21
K	10	2
L	11	4
M	12	18
N	13	20
O	14	11
P	15	3
Q	16	6
R	17	8
S	18	12
T	19	14
U	20	16
V	21	10
W	22	22
X	23	25
Y	24	24
Z	25	23

computed control letter, and the sixteenth character of the fiscal code string must match such computed control letter.

We have to produce macros to detach from the fiscal code string one character at a time from left to right; we have to determine its even or odd position, assign it a value and sum up all such values.

First we define a delimited argument macro that detaches the leftmost character and retrieves its ASCII address, while reassigning the remaining characters to the possibly shortened fiscal code string; at the end of the process the fiscal code string should vanish.

Notice that with the input string right delimited by an exclamation mark, only the first token (the first character) is assigned to argument #1, and the remaining string to the second argument #2. Notice also the `\Letter` is a \TeX counter and the notation ‘#1’ retrieves the ASCII address corresponding to argument #1. It would be wrong to write ‘\#1’ because the `\Letter` counter would be assigned the ASCII address of #.

```
1 \def\getCFletter#1#2!{\Letter='#1\def\CFisc{#2}}
```

Now we generate a macro that assigns counter `\valore` a specific value for odd positioned characters, according to the third column of table ???. We first check if the ASCII address of the character precedes that of character ‘A’; in this is true we are dealing with a digit, otherwise with a letter. Since the third column of table ??? does not contain ordered values we need a look up table: even better, we need a numeric expression that yields a value between zero and 25 depending on the ASCII address of the examined character: such an address is contained in counter `\Letter` therefore we have to subtract the ASCII address of zero or of ‘A’ depending if the character is a digit or a letter; that is the purpose of the `\numexpr` numerical expressions performed with the ε - \TeX syntax:

```
2 \def\getOddValore{%
3 \ifnum\Letter<\A
4 \valore=\expandafter\ifcase\numexpr\Letter-\zero\relax
5 1\or0\or5\or7\or9\or13\or15\or17\or19%
6 \or21\fi
7 \else
8 \valore=\expandafter\ifcase\numexpr\Letter-\A\relax
9 1\or0\or5\or7\or9\or13\or15\or17\or19%
10 \or21\or2\or4\or18\or20\or11\or3\or6\or8%
11 \or12\or14\or16\or10\or22\or25\or24\or23\fi
12 \fi}
```

The corresponding macro for even positioned characters is much simpler, because the values to be assigned the counter `\valore` are simply ordered.

```
13 \def\getEvenValore{%
14 \ifnum\Letter<\A
15 \valore=\numexpr\Letter-\zero\relax
16 \else
17 \valore=\numexpr\Letter-\A\relax
18 \fi}
```

Now comes the real testing macro. First the fiscal code string to be checked is passed to the control sequence `\CFisc`; then a group is opened so that any assignment performed within this group remains local and everything is undone upon closing the group. Several counters are assigned a name; the choice of using counter numbers above 255 is a habit of mine since when I first discovered this ε -`TEX` feature is permanently included within the modern distributions of the `TEX` system; apparently this dates back to the year 2005, so that it may be assumed that now every `TEX` distribution in use complies with this upgrade. In any case since we used numerical expression by means of `\numexpr`, this upgrade must be operating, otherwise we'd had already some errors. Of course this package might control by itself if the ε -`TEX` features are active, but we hope they are since they have been available for so many years.

```

19 \newcommand\codicefiscaleitaliano[1]{\edef\CFisc{#1}%
20 \begingroup
21 \countdef\cifra=256 \cifra=\z@
22 \countdef\A=258 \A='`A
23 \countdef\zero=260 \zero='`0
24 \countdef\Letter=262
25 \countdef\valore=264
26 \countdef\somma=266 \somma=\z@

```

Then we start a “while”... ‘do’... cycle by resorting to the `LATEX` kernel macro `\@wiledo`; we use the counter `\cifra` to count and point to the position of a character and we cycle through all the 16 fiscal code characters; on the first run when `\cifra` equals zero, the immediate stepping up by 1 assures that the pointer has always the correct value and parity; the last cycle is entered with `\cifra` holding the value 15, so the cycle is executed, but it is immediately stepped up to 16; this implies that even the sixteenth character is extracted from the fiscal code string (leaving it void) and its even position value is computed in the same way as all other even positioned characters. But this value is added to the total sum within the cycle. Since this should not be done, upon exiting the cycle, we must subtract the last character value from the sum, in order to execute the modular arithmetics on the sum of the first fifteen character values, while at the same time retaining the value associated to the sixteenth character of the fiscal code string to be checked.

```

27 \@whilenum\cifra<16\do{\advance\cifra\@ne
28 \expandafter\getCFletter\CFisc!\relax
29 \ifodd\cifra
30 \getOddValore%
31 \else
32 \getEvenValore%
33 \fi
34 \advance\somma\valore
35 }\advance\somma-\valore

```

Now we proceed with the actual verification. The input string control letter code is stored in counter `\valore`; we now determine the modular value of the computed sum over the values of the first 15 characters; we use the counter

\Letter, that we don't need anymore, as a buffer for the integer quotient of the division by 26; we have to use the primitive \TeX integer division because the one executed by the ε - \TeX extensions *rounds* the result to the nearest integer, instead of *truncating* it to the nearest lower integer. This done we calculate the integer remainder of the division with a \numexpr clause; the result is the value the control letter should have to be consistent with the first 15 characters of the fiscal code string; if these two values do agree, then the fiscal code string is consistent otherwise it is a faulty string, may be with a typo, or just incorrectly read from the plastic card issued by the Ministry of Finance Agency of Incomes, or by any other document. Suitable messages are therefore issued.

```

36 \Letter\somma
37 \divide\Letter by 26\relax
38 \somma=\numexpr\somma - 26*\Letter\relax
39 \ifnum\valore=\somma
40 \PackageInfo{codicefiscaleitaliano}{\MessageBreak
41 Codice fiscale OK}
42 \else
43 \PackageError{codicefiscaleitaliano}{\MessageBreak
44 *****\MessageBreak
45 Codice fiscale #1 errato\MessageBreak
46 *****}{%
47 Premi S oppure Q oppure <invio>; il file
48 verra' elaborato lo stesso ma il codice
49 fiscale deve venire ricontrallato!}
50 \fi
51 \endgroup
52
53 \endinput

```

Please, be careful if the fiscal code string is found consistent; it might contain two typos whose effects compensate each other. In any case do not blame me; I did my best to implement the checking algorithm according to the fiscal code legislation; do not blame \TeX and company either, because they do their computations the best they can. If it is possible that two or more errors compensate their effects, this is due to the way the fiscal code has been defined.