cfr-initials

Clea F. Rees*

Version 1.0 — 2015–04–02

Abstract

cfr-initials is a set of 23 tiny packages designed to make it easier to use the decorative and ornamental initials provided by initials in IATEX. initials provides 23 such fonts in type 1 format, together with the support files required to use them. They cannot be used straightforwardly in IATEX, however, because the lack of package files providing ready-to-use commands is complicated by the non-standard naming of the font definition files. cfr-initials is designed to make good that deficit.

1 Requirements

The next sentence but one states the blindingly obvious. Sine the blindingly obvious is extremely easy to miss, the previous sentence draws crucial attention to the next sentence in the hopes that readers will avoid the attendant pitfalls. In addition to the usual suspects (μ T_EX etc.), the packages provided by cfr-initials require initials.

In addition, the documentation requires:

- babel
- microtype
- geometry
- booktabs
- fancyhdr
- pageslts
- longtable
- verbatim
- url
- lettrine

 $^{^{*}\}mathrm{reesc}\,\mathbf{21}\,\,{<}\mathrm{at}{>}\,\,\mathrm{cardiff}\,\,{<}\mathrm{dot}{>}\,\,\mathrm{ac}\,\,{<}\mathrm{dot}{>}\,\,\mathrm{uk}$

2 Using the fonts

To access the fonts, you simply load the relevant package in your preamble. For example:

\usepackage{Zallman}

Each package provides two new commands. The first is a font *switch*. It switches to the relevant set of initials until the end of the group, or until another command switches to a different family. You will rarely wish to use these commands directly but they are useful in the definitions of macros. For example, these are the commands you will need if using the fonts with the lettrine package. (See section 3 for examples.)

The second takes a single, mandatory argument and typesets that argument in the appropriate font.

Family	Package	\mathbf{Switch}	Command	ABC	abc
Acorn	Acorn	\Acornfamily		ABC	ABC
AnnSton	AnnSton	\AnnStonfamily	$\ \$	ABC	ABC
ArtNouv	ArtNouv	\Art Nouvfamily	$\operatorname{Prinouv}{}$	abc	
ArtNouvc	ArtNouvc	\ArtNouvcfamily		ABC	ABC
Carrickc	Carrickc	\Carrickcfamily	$carr{}$	ABC	ABC
Eichenla	Eichenla	\Eichenlafamily	$eichen{}$	ABC	ABC
Eileen	Eileen	\Eileenfamily	$eileen{}$	ABC	ABC
EileenBl	EileenBl	\EileenBlfamily	$\left\{ eileenbl \right\}$	ABC	ABC
Elzevier	Elzevier	\Elzevier	$\geq \}$	XB C	XB
GotIn	GotIn	\GotInfamily	$\operatorname{dotin}{}$	ABC	
GoudyIn	GoudyIn	\GoudyInfamily		ABC	
Kinigcap	Kinigcap	\Kinigcapfamily	$\ \$	ABC	ABC
Konanur	Konanur	\Konanurfamily		ABC	ABC
Kramer	Kramer	\Kramerfamily	$\ \$	ABC	ABC
MorrisIn	MorrisIn	\MorrisInfamily	$morrisin{}$	abc	arc
Nouveaud	Nouveaud	\Nouveaudfamily		A30	$\mathbf{AB0}$
Romantik	Romantik	\Romantik	$\operatorname{romantik}$	ABC	ABC
Rothdn	Rothdn	\Rothdnfamily	$\t \in \{\}$	A B C	abe
Royal	Royal	\Royal		ABC	
Sanremo	Sanremo	\Sanremofamily	$sanremo{}$	ABC	ABC
Starburst	Starburst	\Starburstfamily	$\operatorname{starburst}$	ABC	ABC
Typocaps	Typocaps	\Typocapsfamily		ABC	ABC
Zallman	Zallman	\Zallmanfamily	$zall{}$	ABC	ABC

Sample lettrines 3

s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a represent-ation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



S any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.



s any dedicated reader can clearly see, the Ideal of practical reason is a representation of, as far as I know, the things in themselves; as I have shown elsewhere, the phenomena should only be used as a canon for our understanding.