<u>1</u>						
<u>2</u>						
<u>3</u>	Sample Paper for the aomart Class					
<u>4</u>						
<u>5</u>	By American Mathematical Society and Boris Veytsman					
<u>6</u>						
<u>7</u>						
<u>8</u>	Abstract					
<u>9</u>	This is a test file for aomart class based on the testmath.tex file from					
<u>10</u>	the amsmath distribution.					
<u>11</u>	It was changed to test the features of the Annals of Mathematics class.					
<u>12</u>						
<u>13</u>						
<u>14</u>						
15 Contents						
<u>16</u>	1. Introduction	18				
<u>17</u>	2. Enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph	18				
<u>18</u>	3. Main theorem	19				
<u>19</u>	4. Application	$\frac{1}{22}$				
<u>20</u>	5. Secret key exchanges	23				
<u>21</u>	6. Review	23				
<u>22</u>	7. One-way complexity	28				
<u>23</u>	8. Various font features of the amsmath package	35				
<u>24</u>	8.1. Bold versions of special symbols	35				
<u>25</u>	8.2. "Poor man's bold"	35				
<u>26</u>	9. Compound symbols and other features	36				
<u>27</u>	9.1. Multiple integral signs	36				
<u>28</u>	9.2. Over and under arrows	36				
<u>29</u> 20	9.3. Dots	36				
<u>30</u> 21	9.4. Accents in math	37				
<u>31</u> 22	9.5. Dot accents	37				
<u>32</u> 33	9.6. Roots	37				
<u>33</u> 24	9.7. Boxed formulas	37				
<u>34</u> 35	9.8. Extensible arrows	38				
<u>35</u> 36	9.9. \overset, \underset, and \sideset	38				
$\frac{36}{37}$	9.10. The \text command	38				
$\frac{37}{38}$	9.11. Operator names	38				
<u>39</u>						

<u>42</u>

 $[\]underline{40}$ The class was commissioned by Annals of Mathematics.

 $[\]underline{41}$ © 2008–2012 Boris Veytsman.

AMS and BORIS VEYTSMAN

9.12.	mod and its relatives	39
9.13.	Fractions and related constructions	39
9.14.	Continued fractions	41
9.15.	Smash	41
9.16.	The 'cases' environment	41
9.17.	Matrix	42
9.18.	The \substack command	43
9.19.	Big-g-g delimiters	44
Refere	nces	44
	9.13. 9.14. 9.15. 9.16. 9.17. 9.18. 9.19.	 9.12. \mod and its relatives 9.13. Fractions and related constructions 9.14. Continued fractions 9.15. Smash 9.16. The 'cases' environment 9.17. Matrix 9.18. The \substack command 9.19. Big-g-g delimiters References

1. Introduction

¹⁴ This paper demonstrates the use of aomart class. It is based on testmath.tex ¹⁵ from \mathcal{AMS} -IATEX distribution. The text is (slightly) reformatted according to ¹⁶ the requirements of the aomart style. See also [LO74, Zarh92, MO08, Arn89, ¹⁷ Mic48, Mic38, Zarb, Zara, dGWH⁺92].

It is always a pleasure to cite Knuth [Knu94].

2. Enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph

²¹ Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ be the adjacency matrix of graph G. The corresponding ²² Kirchhoff matrix $\mathbf{K} = (k_{ij})$ is obtained from \mathbf{A} by replacing in $-\mathbf{A}$ each ²³ diagonal entry by the degree of its corresponding vertex; i.e., the *i*th diagonal ²⁴ entry is identified with the degree of the *i*th vertex. It is well known that

<u>26</u> (1) det $\mathbf{K}(i|i)$ = the number of spanning trees of G, i = 1, ..., n

 $\frac{27}{2}$ where $\mathbf{K}(i|i)$ is the *i*th principal submatrix of \mathbf{K} .

 $\frac{28}{29}$ \det\mathbf{K}(i|i)=\text{ the number of spanning trees of \$G\$},

Let $C_{i(j)}$ be the set of graphs obtained from G by attaching edge $(v_i v_j)$ in to each spanning tree of G. Denote by $C_i = \bigcup_j C_{i(j)}$. It is obvious that the collection of Hamiltonian cycles is a subset of C_i . Note that the cardinality of C_i is $k_{ii} \det \mathbf{K}(i|i)$. Let $\widehat{X} = \{\hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_n\}$.

34 $\lambda \in X=(\lambda x_1,\lambda x_n)$

<u>35</u> Define multiplication for the elements of \widehat{X} by

$$\frac{36}{37} (2) \qquad \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j = \hat{x}_j \hat{x}_i, \quad \hat{x}_i^2 = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

<u>38</u> Let $\hat{k}_{ij} = k_{ij}\hat{x}_j$ and $\hat{k}_{ij} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \hat{k}_{ij}$. Then the number of Hamiltonian cycles <u>39</u> H_c is given by the relation [LC84]

$$\frac{40}{41} \quad (3) \qquad \qquad \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \hat{x}_{j}\right) H_{c} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{k}_{ij} \det \widehat{\mathbf{K}}(i|i), \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

<u>10</u> <u>11</u> <u>12</u>

 $\underline{13}$

<u>18</u>

 $\underline{19}$

<u>20</u>

Are these quotations necessary? 18

1 The task here is to express (3) in a form free of any \hat{x}_i , i = 1, ..., n. The result 2 also leads to the resolution of enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph.

<u>3</u> It is well known that the enumeration of Hamiltonian cycles and paths 4 in a complete graph K_n and in a complete bipartite graph $K_{n_1n_2}$ can only be <u>5</u> found from first combinatorial principles [HP73]. One wonders if there exists a <u>6</u> formula which can be used very efficiently to produce K_n and $K_{n_1n_2}$. Recently, 7 using Lagrangian methods, Goulden and Jackson have shown that H_c can be 8 expressed in terms of the determinant and permanent of the adjacency matrix 9 [GJ81]. However, the formula of Goulden and Jackson determines neither K_n 10 nor $K_{n_1n_2}$ effectively. In this paper, using an algebraic method, we parametrize <u>11</u> the adjacency matrix. The resulting formula also involves the determinant <u>12</u> and permanent, but it can easily be applied to K_n and $K_{n_1n_2}$. In addition, $\underline{13}$ we eliminate the permanent from H_c and show that H_c can be represented by 14a determinantal function of multivariables, each variable with domain $\{0, 1\}$. $\underline{15}$ Furthermore, we show that H_c can be written by number of spanning trees of <u>16</u> subgraphs. Finally, we apply the formulas to a complete multigraph $K_{n_1...n_p}$.

 $\underline{17}$ The conditions $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, i, j = 1, ..., n, are not required in this paper. <u>18</u> All formulas can be extended to a digraph simply by multiplying H_c by 2. $\underline{19}$ Some other discussion can be found in [Fre08, Fre94]. <u>20</u>

3. Main theorem

<u>23</u> Notation. For $p, q \in P$ and $n \in \omega$ we write $(q, n) \leq (p, n)$ if $q \leq p$ and $\underline{24}$ $A_{q,n} = A_{p,n}.$ 25

\end{notation}

<u>29</u> Let $\mathbf{B} = (b_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Let $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Using the properties of (2), it is readily seen that

Lemma 3.1.

$$\frac{33}{34} \quad (4) \qquad \qquad \prod_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{n}} b_{ij} \hat{x}_i \right) = \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \hat{x}_i \right) \operatorname{per} \mathbf{B}$$

<u>36</u> where per \mathbf{B} is the permanent of \mathbf{B} .

<u>37</u> Let $\widehat{Y} = \{\widehat{y}_1, \ldots, \widehat{y}_n\}$. Define multiplication for the elements of \widehat{Y} by <u>38</u> <u>39</u> $\hat{y}_i \hat{y}_j + \hat{y}_j \hat{y}_i = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$ (5)

40

Then, it follows that <u>41</u>

<u>42</u>

 $\underline{21}$

<u>22</u>

<u>26</u>

<u>27</u>

<u>28</u>

<u>30</u>

<u>31</u>

<u>32</u>

. . .

Lemma 3.2.

$$\frac{4}{3} \quad (6) \qquad \qquad \prod_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbf{n}} b_{ij} \hat{y}_j \right) = \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \hat{y}_i \right) \det \mathbf{B}.$$

Note that all basic properties of determinants are direct consequences of Lemma 3.2. Write

(7)
$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{n}} b_{ij} \hat{y}_j = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{n}} b_{ij}^{(\lambda)} \hat{y}_j + (b_{ii} - \lambda_i) \hat{y}_i \hat{y}$$

10 where

$$\frac{11}{12} \quad (8) \qquad \qquad b_{ii}^{(\lambda)} = \lambda_i, \quad b_{ij}^{(\lambda)} = b_{ij}, \quad i \neq j.$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \frac{13}{14} & \text{Let } \mathbf{B}^{(\lambda)} = (b_{ij}^{(\lambda)}). \text{ By (6) and (7), it is straightforward to show the following } \\ \frac{14}{15} & \text{result:} \end{array}$

Theorem 3.3.

$$\frac{17}{18} \quad (9) \qquad \qquad \det \mathbf{B} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{I_l \subseteq n} \prod_{i \in I_l} (b_{ii} - \lambda_i) \det \mathbf{B}^{(\lambda)}(I_l | I_l),$$

where $I_l = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{(\lambda)}(I_l|I_l)$ is the principal submatrix (obtained from $\mathbf{B}^{(\lambda)}$ by deleting its i_1, \ldots, i_l rows and columns).

23 Remark 3.1 (convention). Let **M** be an $n \times n$ matrix. The convention 24 $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{n}) = 1$ has been used in (9) and hereafter.

 $\frac{25}{26}$ Before proceeding with our discussion, we pause to note that Theorem 3.3 yields immediately a fundamental formula which can be used to compute the coefficients of a characteristic polynomial [MM64]:

COROLLARY 3.4. Write
$$\det(\mathbf{B} - x\mathbf{I}) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} (-1)^{l} b_{l} x^{l}$$
. Then

$$\frac{30}{31} (10) \qquad \qquad b_l = \sum_{I_l \subseteq \mathbf{n}} \det \mathbf{B}(I_l | I_l).$$

Let

$$\frac{34}{35} (11) \mathbf{K}(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 t & -a_{12} t_2 & \dots & -a_{1n} t_n \\ -a_{21} t_1 & D_2 t & \dots & -a_{2n} t_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -a_{n1} t_1 & -a_{n2} t_2 & \dots & D_n t \end{pmatrix},$$

```
\frac{41}{42} -a_{n1}t_1\&-a_{n2}t_2\&\dots\&D_nt\end{pmatrix}
```

 $\underline{1}$

 $\underline{5}$

 $\underline{6}$

 $\frac{7}{8}$ $\frac{9}{2}$

<u>16</u>

 $\underline{28}$

<u>29</u>

<u>33</u>

1 where $\underline{2}$ $D_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{n}} a_{ij} t_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$ (12)<u>3</u> 4 Set <u>5</u> $D(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \frac{\delta}{\delta t} \det \mathbf{K}(t,t_1,\ldots,t_n)|_{t=1}.$ <u>6</u> 7 Then 8 9 $D(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i \det \mathbf{K}(t=1,t_1,\ldots,t_n;i|i),$ (13)<u>10</u> <u>11</u> where $\mathbf{K}(t = 1, t_1, \dots, t_n; i | i)$ is the *i*th principal submatrix of $\mathbf{K}(t = 1, t_1, \dots, t_n)$. <u>12</u> Theorem 3.3 leads to <u>13</u> (14) det $\mathbf{K}(t_1, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{I \in \mathbf{n}} (-1)^{|I|} t^{n-|I|} \prod_{i \in I} t_i \prod_{j \in I} (D_j + \lambda_j t_j) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda t)}(\overline{I}|\overline{I}).$ 14 $\underline{15}$ <u>16</u> Note that $\underline{17}$ (15) $\det \mathbf{K}(t=1,t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \sum_{I \in \mathbf{n}} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} t_i \prod_{j \in I} (D_j + \lambda_j t_j) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I}|\overline{I}) = 0.$ <u>18</u> $\underline{19}$ <u>20</u> Let $t_i = \hat{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Lemma 3.1 yields $\underline{21}$ <u>22</u> (16) $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{l_i} x_i\right) \det \mathbf{K}(t=1,x_1,\ldots,x_n;l|l)$ <u>23</u> $\underline{24}$ $= \left(\prod_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \hat{x}_i\right) \sum_{I \subset \mathbf{n} = II} (-1)^{|I|} \operatorname{per} \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(I|I) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I} \cup \{l\} | \overline{I} \cup \{l\}).$ <u>25</u> <u>26</u> <u>27</u> \begin{multline} <u>28</u> \biggl(\sum_{\,i\in\mathbf{n}}a_{1 _i}x_i\biggr) $\underline{29}$ $\det\{K\}(t=1,x_1,dots,x_n;1 | 1) \$ <u>30</u> =\biggl(\prod_{\,i\in\mathbf{n}}\hat x_i\biggr) $\underline{31}$ $\sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^{1}}$ <u>32</u> $(-1)^{\left(1\right)}\left(1\right)^{\left(1\right)}(1|1)$ <u>33</u> $\det \mathbb{A}^{(\lambda)}$ <u>34</u> (\overline I\cup\{l \}|\overline I\cup\{l \}). <u>35</u> \label{sum-ali} <u>36</u> \end{multline} <u>37</u> By (3), (6), and (7), we have <u>38</u> <u>39</u> **PROPOSITION 3.5.** 40 $H_c = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} (-1)^l D_l,$ (17)<u>41</u> <u>42</u>

where

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{2}{3} \\ 4 \end{array} (18) \qquad \qquad D_l = \sum_{I_l \subseteq \mathbf{n}} D(t_1, \dots, t_n) 2|_{t_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0, \ if \ i \in I_l \\ 1, \ otherwise \end{array} \right.}, \ i = 1, \dots, n. \end{array}$$

 $\underline{5}$ <u>6</u>

7

8

11

<u>18</u>

 $\underline{1}$

4. Application

We consider here the applications of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 to a complete multipartite graph $K_{n_1...n_p}$. It can be shown that the number of spanning trees <u>9</u> of $K_{n_1...n_p}$ may be written $\underline{10}$

$$\frac{12}{13} (19) T = n^{p-2} \prod_{i=1}^{p} (n-n_i)^{n_i-1}$$

 $\underline{14}$ where $\underline{15}$

 $\underline{16}$ (20) $n = n_1 + \dots + n_p.$ $\underline{17}$

It follows from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 that

$$\frac{19}{20} \qquad H_c = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l (n-l)^{p-2} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = l} \prod_{i=1}^p \binom{n_i}{l_i} \\
\frac{21}{22} \qquad (21) \qquad \cdot \left[(n-l) - (n_i - l_i) \right]^{n_i - l_i} \cdot \left[(n-l)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^p (n_i - l_j)^2 \right]^{n_j}$$

 $\underline{25}$... $\binom{n_i}{l _i} \$ <u>26</u>

and <u>27</u>

 $\underline{28}$ <u>29</u> <u>30</u>

 $\underline{31}$ $\underline{32}$ <u>33</u>

<u>38</u> <u>39</u>

<u>40</u>

(22)
$$H_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l (n-l)^{p-2} \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_p = l} \prod_{i=1}^p \binom{n_i}{l_i}$$

$$\cdot [(n-l) - (n_i - l_i)]^{n_i - l_i} \left(1 - \frac{l_p}{n_p}\right) [(n-l) - (n_p - l_p)].$$

•

The enumeration of H_c in a $K_{n_1 \cdots n_p}$ graph can also be carried out by $\underline{34}$ Theorem 7.2 or 7.3 together with the algebraic method of (2). Some elegant <u>35</u> representations may be obtained. For example, H_c in a $K_{n_1n_2n_3}$ graph may be <u>36</u> written <u>37</u>

(23)
$$H_{c} = \frac{n_{1}! n_{2}! n_{3}!}{n_{1} + n_{2} + n_{3}} \sum_{i} \left[\binom{n_{1}}{i} \binom{n_{2}}{n_{3} - n_{1} + i} \binom{n_{3}}{n_{3} - n_{2} + i} \right]$$

$$\frac{41}{42} + \binom{n_1 - 1}{i} \binom{n_2 - 1}{n_3 - n_1 + i} \binom{n_3 - 1}{n_3 - n_2 + i} \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Secret key exchanges

Modern cryptography is fundamentally concerned with the problem of secure private communication. A Secret Key Exchange is a protocol where Alice and Bob, having no secret information in common to start, are able to agree on a common secret key, conversing over a public channel. The notion of a Secret Key Exchange protocol was first introduced in the seminal paper of Diffie and Hellman [DH76]. [DH76] presented a concrete implementation of a Secret Key Exchange protocol, dependent on a specific assumption (a variant on the discrete log), specially tailored to yield Secret Key Exchange. Secret Key Exchange is of course trivial if trapdoor permutations exist. However, there is no known implementation based on a weaker general assumption.

The concept of an informationally one-way function was introduced in [ILL89]. We give only an informal definition here:

15Definition 5.1 (one way). A polynomial time computable function f =<u>16</u> $\{f_k\}$ is informationally one-way if there is no probabilistic polynomial time <u>17</u> algorithm which (with probability of the form $1 - k^{-e}$ for some e > 0) returns on input $y \in \{0,1\}^k$ a random element of $f^{-1}(y)$. 18

In the non-uniform setting [ILL89] show that these are not weaker than one-way functions:

<u>22</u> THEOREM 5.1 ([ILL89] (non-uniform)). The existence of informationally <u>23</u> one-way functions implies the existence of one-way functions.

 $\underline{24}$ 25

26

 $\overline{27}$

<u>28</u>

<u>29</u>

34

35

36

 $\underline{37}$

38 3940

<u>41</u>

 $\underline{42}$

1

2

<u>3</u>

4

<u>5</u>

<u>6</u>

7

8

9

10

11

12

 $\underline{13}$

14

 $\underline{19}$

 $\underline{20}$

<u>21</u>

We will stick to the convention introduced above of saying "non-uniform" before the theorem statement when the theorem makes use of non-uniformity. It should be understood that if nothing is said then the result holds for both the uniform and the non-uniform models.

It now follows from Theorem 5.1 that

<u>30</u> THEOREM 5.2 (non-uniform). Weak SKE implies the existence of a one-<u>31</u> way function.

<u>32</u> More recently, the polynomial-time, interior point algorithms for linear <u>33</u> programming have been extended to the case of convex quadratic programs [MA87, Ye87], certain linear complementarity problems [KMY87b, MYK88], and the nonlinear complementarity problem [KMY87a]. The connection between these algorithms and the classical Newton method for nonlinear equations is well explained in [KMY87b].

6. Review

We begin our discussion with the following definition:

$$\lim_{v \to 0} \frac{H(z+v) - H(z) - BH(z)v}{\|v\|} = 0.$$

The function H is *B*-differentiable in set S if it is B-differentiable at every point in S. The B-derivative BH(z) is said to be strong if

$$\frac{10}{11} \qquad \qquad \lim_{(v,v')\to(0,0)} \frac{H(z+v) - H(z+v') - BH(z)(v-v')}{\|v-v'\|} = 0.$$

¹² LEMMA 6.1. There exists a smooth function $\psi_0(z)$ defined for |z| > 1-2a¹³ satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\psi_0(z)$ is bounded above and below by positive constants $c_1 \leq \psi_0(z) \leq c_2$.

(iii) For all z in the domain of ψ_0 , $\Delta_0 \ln \psi_0 \ge 0$.

(iv) If 1 - 2a < |z| < 1 - a, then $\Delta_0 \ln \psi_0 \ge c_3 > 0$.

19 Proof. We choose $\psi_0(z)$ to be a radial function depending only on r = |z|. 20 Let $h(r) \ge 0$ be a suitable smooth function satisfying $h(r) \ge c_3$ for 1 - 2a < 2121 |z| < 1 - a, and h(r) = 0 for $|z| > 1 - \frac{a}{2}$. The radial Laplacian

$$\Delta_0 \ln \psi_0(r) = \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right) \ln \psi_0(r)$$

has smooth coefficients for r > 1 - 2a. Therefore, we may apply the existence and uniqueness theory for ordinary differential equations. Simply let $\ln \psi_0(r)$ be the solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{28}{29} \qquad \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right)\ln\psi_0(r) = h(r)$$

³⁰ with initial conditions given by $\ln \psi_0(1) = 0$ and $\ln \psi'_0(1) = 0$.

³¹ Next, let D_{ν} be a finite collection of pairwise disjoint disks, all of which ³² are contained in the unit disk centered at the origin in C. We assume that ³³ $D_{\nu} = \{z \mid |z - z_{\nu}| < \delta\}$. Suppose that $D_{\nu}(a)$ denotes the smaller concentric ³⁴ disk $D_{\nu}(a) = \{z \mid |z - z_{\nu}| \le (1 - 2a)\delta\}$. We define a smooth weight function ³⁵ $\Phi_0(z)$ for $z \in C - \bigcup_{\nu} D_{\nu}(a)$ by setting $\Phi_0(z) = 1$ when $z \notin \bigcup_{\nu} D_{\nu}$ and $\Phi_0(z) =$ ³⁶ $\psi_0((z - z_{\nu})/\delta)$ when z is an element of D_{ν} . It follows from Lemma 6.1 that Φ_0 ³⁷ satisfies the properties: ³⁸

(i) $\Phi_0(z)$ is bounded above and below by positive constants $c_1 \leq \Phi_0(z) \leq c_2$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{40}{41} \\ \underbrace{41}{42} \end{array} \qquad (ii) \begin{array}{l} \Delta_0 \ln \Phi_0 \geq 0 \text{ for all } z \in C - \bigcup_{\nu} D_{\nu}(a), \text{ the domain where the function} \\ \Phi_0 \text{ is defined.} \end{array}$

<u>5</u> <u>6</u>

22 23 24

<u>39</u>

In order to compute R'' recall the definition of S(X, Y) from Lemma 3.1. 1 <u>2</u> Since $H \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}_H \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Thus if $T(\mathcal{B}) = Y$ then $\mathcal{B} \in S(H, Y)$. Let $L'' = L(\mathcal{A}'')$. <u>3</u> Then 4 $R'' = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{B} \subset A} (-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} t^{\dim T(\mathcal{B})}$ $\underline{5}$ <u>6</u> $= \sum_{Y \in L''} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in S(H,Y)} (-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|} t^{\dim Y}$ 7 8 $= -\sum_{Y \in L''} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in S(H,Y)} (-1)^{|\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A}_H|} t^{\dim Y}$ (25)<u>9</u> $\underline{10}$ $= -\sum_{Y \in L''} \mu(H, Y) t^{\dim Y}$ <u>11</u> $\underline{12}$ $=-\chi(\mathcal{A}'',t).$ $\underline{13}$ <u>14</u> COROLLARY 6.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}'')$ be a triple of arrangements. Then $\underline{15}$ $\pi(\mathcal{A}, t) = \pi(\mathcal{A}', t) + t\pi(\mathcal{A}'', t).$ <u>16</u> $\underline{17}$ Definition 6.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}'')$ be a triple with respect to the hyperplane <u>18</u> $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Call H a separator if $T(\mathcal{A}) \notin L(\mathcal{A}')$. $\underline{19}$ COROLLARY 6.4. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}'')$ be a triple with respect to $H \in \mathcal{A}$. <u>20</u> $\underline{21}$ (i) If H is a separator then $\underline{22}$ $\mu(\mathcal{A}) = -\mu(\mathcal{A}'')$ <u>23</u> $\underline{24}$ and hence $\underline{25}$ $|\mu(\mathcal{A})| = |\mu(\mathcal{A}'')|.$ $\underline{26}$ (ii) If H is not a separator then $\underline{27}$ $\mu(\mathcal{A}) = \mu(\mathcal{A}') - \mu(\mathcal{A}'')$ <u>28</u> $\underline{29}$ and <u>30</u> $|\mu(\mathcal{A})| = |\mu(\mathcal{A}')| + |\mu(\mathcal{A}'')|.$ $\underline{31}$ *Proof.* It follows from Theorem 5.1 that $\pi(\mathcal{A}, t)$ has leading term $\underline{32}$ <u>33</u> $(-1)^{r(\mathcal{A})} \mu(\mathcal{A}) t^{r(\mathcal{A})}.$ $\underline{34}$ The conclusion follows by comparing coefficients of the leading terms on both $\underline{35}$ sides of the equation in Corollary 6.3. If H is a separator then $r(\mathcal{A}') < r(\mathcal{A})$ <u>36</u> and there is no contribution from $\pi(\mathcal{A}', t)$. <u>37</u>

 $\frac{38}{39}$ The Poincaré polynomial of an arrangement will appear repeatedly in these notes. It will be shown to equal the Poincaré polynomial of the graded algebras which we are going to associate with \mathcal{A} . It is also the Poincaré polynomial of the complement $M(\mathcal{A})$ for a complex arrangement. Here we prove

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}$$

AMS and BORIS VEYTSMAN

Proof. We show by induction on i that

$$\langle \sigma_j(x',y) \rangle_{j=1}^i = \langle \sigma_j(x,y) \rangle_{j=1}^i = \langle \sigma_j(x,y') \rangle_{j=1}^i.$$

 $\underline{4}$ The induction hypothesis holds vacuously for i = 0. Assume it holds for i-1, in particular $[\sigma_j(x',y)]_{j=1}^{i-1} = [\sigma_j(x,y')]_{j=1}^{i-1}$. Then one of $[\sigma_j(x',y)]_{j=i}^{\infty}$ $\underline{5}$ and $[\sigma_j(x,y')]_{i=i}^{\infty}$ is a prefix of the other which implies that one of $\sigma_i(x',y)$ <u>6</u> $\underline{7}$ and $\sigma_i(x, y')$ is a prefix of the other. If the *i*th message is transmitted by 8 $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ then, by the separate-transmissions property and the induction hypothe-9 sis, $\sigma_i(x,y) = \sigma_i(x,y')$, hence one of $\sigma_i(x,y)$ and $\sigma_i(x',y)$ is a prefix of the other. By the implicit-termination property, neither $\sigma_i(x, y)$ nor $\sigma_i(x', y)$ can $\underline{10}$ <u>11</u> be a proper prefix of the other, hence they must be the same and $\sigma_i(x', y) =$ $\sigma_i(x,y) = \sigma_i(x,y')$. If the *i*th message is transmitted by $P_{\mathcal{Y}}$ then, symmet- $\underline{12}$ rically, $\sigma_i(x,y) = \sigma_i(x',y)$ by the induction hypothesis and the separate- $\underline{13}$ 14transmissions property, and, then, $\sigma_i(x, y) = \sigma_i(x, y')$ by the implicit termination $\underline{15}$ property, proving the induction step. \square

22

<u>23</u>

 $\underline{29}$

 $\underline{34}$

If ϕ is a protocol for (X, Y), and (x, y), (x', y) are distinct inputs in $S_{X,Y}$, then, by the correct-decision property, $\langle \sigma_j(x, y) \rangle_{j=1}^{\infty} \neq \langle \sigma_j(x', y) \rangle_{j=1}^{\infty}$.

Equation (25) defined $P_{\mathcal{Y}}$'s ambiguity set $S_{X|Y}(y)$ to be the set of possible $\frac{19}{20}$ X values when Y = y. The last corollary implies that for all $y \in S_Y$, the multiset¹ of codewords { $\sigma_{\phi}(x, y) : x \in S_{X|Y}(y)$ } is prefix free.

7. One-way complexity

 $\hat{C}_1(X|Y)$, the one-way complexity of a random pair (X, Y), is the number of bits P_X must transmit in the worst case when P_Y is not permitted to transmit any feedback messages. Starting with $S_{X,Y}$, the support set of (X, Y), we define $\frac{27}{28}$ G(X|Y), the *characteristic hypergraph* of (X, Y), and show that

$$C_1(X|Y) = |\log \chi(G(X|Y))| .$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \frac{30}{2} & \text{Let } (X,Y) \text{ be a random pair. For each } y \text{ in } S_Y, \text{ the support set of } Y, \\ \frac{31}{2} & \text{equation } (25) \text{ defined } S_{X|Y}(y) \text{ to be the set of possible } x \text{ values when } Y = y. \\ \frac{32}{2} & \text{The characteristic hypergraph } G(X|Y) \text{ of } (X,Y) \text{ has } S_X \text{ as its vertex set and} \\ \frac{33}{2} & \text{the hyperedge } S_{X|Y}(y) \text{ for each } y \in S_Y. \end{array}$

We can now prove a continuity theorem.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{35}{36} \\ \frac{37}{38} \end{array} \quad \text{THEOREM 7.1. Let } \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n \ be \ an \ open \ set, \ let \ u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m), \ and \ let \\ \frac{37}{38} \end{array} \quad (26) \quad T_x^u = \left\{ y \in \mathbf{R}^m : y = \tilde{u}(x) + \left\langle \frac{Du}{|Du|}(x), z \right\rangle \ for \ some \ z \in \mathbf{R}^n \right\} \end{array}$

28

1

 $\frac{2}{3}$

 $[\]frac{39}{40}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2} & \text{for every } x \in \Omega \backslash S_u. \ Let \ f \colon \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^k \ be \ a \ Lipschitz \ continuous \ function \ such \\ \frac{2}{2} & \text{that } f(0) = 0, \ and \ let \ v = f(u) \colon \Omega \to \mathbf{R}^k. \ Then \ v \in BV(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^k) \ and \end{array}$

$$\frac{3}{4} \quad (27) \qquad \qquad Jv = \left(f(u^+) - f(u^-)\right) \otimes \nu_u \cdot \mathcal{H}_{n-1}\Big|_{S_u}.$$

<u>6</u> In addition, for $|\widetilde{D}u|$ -almost every $x \in \Omega$ the restriction of the function f to <u>7</u> T_x^u is differentiable at $\tilde{u}(x)$ and

$$\frac{\frac{8}{9}}{\frac{10}{10}} (28) \qquad \qquad \widetilde{D}v = \nabla(f|_{T^u_x})(\widetilde{u})\frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|} \cdot \left|\widetilde{D}u\right|.$$

Before proving the theorem, we state without proof three elementary remarks which will be useful in the sequel.

<u>14</u> Remark 7.1. Let $\omega: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ be a continuous function such <u>15</u> that $\omega(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Then

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+}g(\omega(h))=L\Leftrightarrow \lim_{h\to 0^+}g(h)=L$$

 $\frac{18}{19} \quad \text{for any function } g: \]0, +\infty[\to \mathbf{R}.$

20 Remark 7.2. Let $g: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function and as-21 sume that

$$L(z) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{g(hz) - g(0)}{h}$$

exists for every $z \in \mathbf{Q}^n$ and that L is a linear function of z. Then g is differentiable at 0.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{26}{27} \\ \frac{27}{27} \\ \frac{28}{29} \end{array} \qquad Remark 7.3. \text{ Let } A \colon \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m \text{ be a linear function, and let } f \colon \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R} \\ f \colon \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R} \text{ be a function. Then the restriction of } f \text{ to the range of } A \text{ is differentiable at } 0 \\ f \colon \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R} \text{ is differentiable at } 0 \text{ and} \end{array}$

$$\nabla(f|_{\operatorname{Im}(A)})(0)A = \nabla(f(A))(0)$$

30 31 32

<u>33</u> <u>34</u>

<u>11</u>

 $\underline{12}$

 $\underline{13}$

 $\frac{16}{17}$

22 23

Proof. We begin by showing that $v \in BV(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^k)$ and

(29)
$$|Dv|(B) \le K |Du|(B) \quad \forall B \in \mathbf{B}(\Omega)$$

where K > 0 is the Lipschitz constant of f. By (13) and by the approximation result quoted in §3, it is possible to find a sequence $(u_h) \subset C^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m)$ converging to u in $L^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^m)$ and such that

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h| \, dx = |Du|(\Omega).$$

 $\frac{40}{41}$ The functions $v_h = f(u_h)$ are locally Lipschitz continuous in Ω , and the definition of differential implies that $|\nabla v_h| \leq K |\nabla u_h|$ almost everywhere in Ω . The 1 lower semicontinuity of the total variation and (13) yield <u>2</u> $|Dv|(\Omega) \le \liminf_{h \to +\infty} |Dv_h|(\Omega) = \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_h| dx$ <u>3</u> 4 (30) $\leq K \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_h| \ dx = K |Du| (\Omega).$ $\underline{5}$ <u>6</u> Since f(0) = 0, we have also $\underline{7}$ 8 $\int_{\Omega} |v| \ dx \leq K \int_{\Omega} |u| \ dx;$ <u>9</u> 10 therefore $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^k)$. Repeating the same argument for every open set 11 $A \subset \Omega$, we get (29) for every $B \in \mathbf{B}(\Omega)$, because |Dv|, |Du| are Radon mea- $\underline{12}$ sures. To prove Lemma 6.1, first we observe that 13 $\underline{14}$ $S_v \subset S_u, \qquad \tilde{v}(x) = f(\tilde{u}(x)) \qquad \forall x \in \Omega \backslash S_u.$ (31)15 $\underline{16}$ In fact, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\underline{17}$ $\{y \in B_{\mathfrak{o}}(x) : |v(y) - f(\tilde{u}(x))| > \varepsilon\} \subset \{y \in B_{\mathfrak{o}}(x) : |u(y) - \tilde{u}(x)| > \varepsilon/K\},\$ <u>18</u> 19hence <u>20</u> $\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{|\{y \in B_\rho(x) : |v(y) - f(\tilde{u}(x))| > \varepsilon\}|}{\rho^n} = 0$ $\underline{21}$ $\underline{22}$ whenever $x \in \Omega \setminus S_u$. By a similar argument, if $x \in S_u$ is a point such that <u>23</u> there exists a triplet (u^+, u^-, ν_u) satisfying (14), (15), then $\underline{24}$ $\underline{25}$ $(v^+(x) - v^-(x)) \otimes \nu_n = (f(u^+(x)) - f(u^-(x))) \otimes \nu_n$ if $x \in S_n$ 26 and $f(u^{-}(x)) = f(u^{+}(x))$ if $x \in S_u \setminus S_v$. Hence, by (1.8) we get $\underline{27}$ <u>28</u> $Jv(B) = \int_{B \cap S} (v^{+} - v^{-}) \otimes \nu_{v} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} = \int_{B \cap S} (f(u^{+}) - f(u^{-})) \otimes \nu_{u} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ <u>29</u> <u>30</u> $=\int_{P\cap S} (f(u^+) - f(u^-)) \otimes \nu_u \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ $\underline{31}$ 32 and Lemma 6.1 is proved. <u>33</u> $\underline{34}$ To prove (31), it is not restrictive to assume that k = 1. Moreover, to $\underline{35}$ simplify our notation, from now on we shall assume that $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$. The proof 36 of (31) is divided into two steps. In the first step we prove the statement in <u>37</u> the one-dimensional case (n = 1), using Theorem 5.2. In the second step we <u>38</u> achieve the general result using Theorem 7.1. 39 $\underline{40}$ Step 1. Assume that n = 1. Since S_u is at most countable, (7) yields $\underline{41}$ that $|\widetilde{D}v|(S_u \setminus S_v) = 0$, so that (19) and (21) imply that $Dv = \widetilde{D}v + Jv$ is the

 $\underline{42}$

<u>1</u> Radon-Nikodým decomposition of Dv in absolutely continuous and singular $\underline{2}$ part with respect to $|\widetilde{D}u|$. By Theorem 5.2, we have <u>3</u>

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}v}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(t) = \lim_{s \to t^+} \frac{Dv([t,s[))}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|([t,s[))}, \qquad \frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(t) = \lim_{s \to t^+} \frac{Du([t,s[))}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|([t,s[))}$$

 $|\widetilde{D}u|$ -almost everywhere in **R**. It is well known (see, for instance, [Ste70, (2.5.16]) that every one-dimensional function of bounded variation w has a unique left continuous representative, i.e., a function \hat{w} such that $\hat{w} = w$ <u>10</u> almost everywhere and $\lim_{s\to t^-} \hat{w}(s) = \hat{w}(t)$ for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$. These conditions imply <u>12</u>

$$\frac{13}{14} \quad (32) \qquad \hat{u}(t) = Du(]-\infty, t[), \qquad \hat{v}(t) = Dv(]-\infty, t[) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$$

and $\underline{15}$

4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> 7

8

9

<u>11</u>

<u>33</u>

$$\frac{16}{17} \quad (33) \qquad \qquad \hat{v}(t) = f(\hat{u}(t)) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

<u>18</u> Let $t \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that $|\widetilde{D}u|([t,s]) > 0$ for every s > t and assume that the $\underline{19}$ limits in (22) exist. By (23) and (24) we get <u>20</u>

for every s > t. Using the Lipschitz condition on f we find

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{34}{35} \\ \frac{35}{36} \\ \frac{37}{37} \\ \frac{38}{39} \\ \frac{40}{41} \\ \frac{41}{42} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{c} \hat{v}(s) - \hat{v}(t) \\ \left| \widetilde{D}u \right| \left([t,s[) \right) - \frac{f(\hat{u}(t) + \frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left| \widetilde{D}u \right|}(t) \left| \widetilde{D}u \right| \left([t,s[) \right) - f(\hat{u}(t) \right)}{\left| \widetilde{D}u \right| \left([t,s[) \right)} \right| \\ \end{array} \right| \\ \leq K \left| \frac{\hat{u}(s) - \hat{u}(t)}{\left| \widetilde{D}u \right| \left([t,s[) \right)} - \frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left| \widetilde{D}u \right|}(t) \right|.$$

By (29), the function $s \to |\widetilde{D}u|$ ([t, s]) is continuous and converges to 0 as $s \downarrow t$. $\underline{2}$ Therefore Remark 7.1 and the previous inequality imply

$$\frac{\frac{3}{4}}{\frac{5}{6}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\widetilde{D}v}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(t) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(\hat{u}(t) + h\frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(t)) - f(\hat{u}(t))}{h} \quad \left|\widetilde{D}u\right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}.$$

8 By (22), $\hat{u}(x) = \tilde{u}(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus S_u$; moreover, applying the same argu- $\underline{9}$ ment to the functions u'(t) = u(-t), v'(t) = f(u'(t)) = v(-t), we get 10

$$\frac{11}{12}$$

$$\frac{12}{13}$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}v}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\widetilde{u}(t) + h\frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(t)) - f(\widetilde{u}(t))}{h}$$

$$\left|\widetilde{D}u\right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}$$

 $\underline{15}$ and our statement is proved.

 $\underline{16}$ Step 2. Let us consider now the general case n > 1. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such $\underline{17}$ that $|\nu| = 1$, and let $\pi_{\nu} = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : \langle y, \nu \rangle = 0\}$. In the following, we shall <u>18</u> identify \mathbf{R}^n with $\pi_{\nu} \times \mathbf{R}$, and we shall denote by y the variable ranging in π_{ν} 19and by t the variable ranging in **R**. By the just proven one-dimensional result, <u>20</u> and by Theorem 3.3, we get 21

$$\frac{\frac{22}{23}}{\frac{24}{25}} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\tilde{u}(y+t\nu)+h\frac{\widetilde{D}u_y}{\left|\widetilde{D}u_y\right|}(t)) - f(\tilde{u}(y+t\nu))}{h} = \frac{\widetilde{D}v_y}{\left|\widetilde{D}u_y\right|}(t) \qquad \left|\widetilde{D}u_y\right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}$$

 $\underline{26}$ for \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -almost every $y \in \pi_{\nu}$. We claim that <u>27</u>

$$\frac{\frac{28}{29}}{\frac{30}{30}} (34) \qquad \qquad \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle}{\left| \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle \right|} (y + t\nu) = \frac{\widetilde{D}u_y}{\left| \widetilde{D}u_y \right|} (t) \qquad \left| \widetilde{D}u_y \right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}$$

for \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -almost every $y \in \pi_{\nu}$. In fact, by (16) and (18) we get <u>31</u>

$$\frac{33}{34} \int_{\pi_{\nu}} \frac{\widetilde{D}u_{y}}{\left|\widetilde{D}u_{y}\right|} \cdot \left|\widetilde{D}u_{y}\right| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y) = \int_{\pi_{\nu}} \widetilde{D}u_{y} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y) \\
\frac{35}{36} = \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle = \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle}{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle\right|} \cdot \left|\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle\right| = \int_{\pi_{\nu}} \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle}{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle\right|} (y + \cdot \nu) \cdot \left|\widetilde{D}u_{y}\right| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y)$$

$$\frac{38}{38} = \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle = \int_{\pi_{\nu}} \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle}{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle\right|} (y + \cdot \nu) \cdot \left|\widetilde{D}u_{y}\right| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y)$$

and (24) follows from (13). By the same argument it is possible to prove that 39

$$\frac{40}{41} (35) \qquad \qquad \frac{\langle Dv, \nu \rangle}{\left| \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle \right|} (y + t\nu) = \frac{Dv_y}{\left| \widetilde{D}u_y \right|} (t) \qquad \left| \widetilde{D}u_y \right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}$$

1

32

1 for \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -almost every $y \in \pi_{\nu}$. By (24) and (25) we get $\underline{2}$ $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\tilde{u}(y+t\nu)+h\frac{\langle Du,\nu\rangle}{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|}(y+t\nu)) - f(\tilde{u}(y+t\nu))}{h} = \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}v,\nu\rangle}{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|}(y+t\nu)$ <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> for \mathcal{H}_{n-1} -almost every $y \in \pi_{\nu}$, and using again (14), (15) we get 7 8 $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\tilde{u}(x) + h \frac{\langle Du, \nu \rangle}{\left| \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle \right|}(x)) - f(\tilde{u}(x))}{h} = \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}v, \nu \rangle}{\left| \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle \right|}(x)$ 9 10 <u>11</u> <u>12</u> $|\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle|$ -a.e. in \mathbf{R}^n . 13 Since the function $\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|/\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|$ is strictly positive $\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|$ -almost ev-14 erywhere, we obtain also 15<u>16</u> $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\tilde{u}(x) + h \frac{\left| \langle Du, \nu \rangle \right|}{\left| \widetilde{D}u \right|}(x) \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle}{\left| \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle \right|}(x)) - f(\tilde{u}(x))}{\left| \langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle \right|}$ <u>17</u> 18 $\underline{19}$ <u>20</u> $=\frac{\left|\langle Du,\nu\rangle\right|}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}(x)\frac{\langle \widetilde{D}v,\nu\rangle}{\left|\langle\widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|}(x)$ $\underline{21}$ <u>22</u> <u>23</u> $|\langle \widetilde{D}u, \nu \rangle|$ -almost everywhere in \mathbf{R}^n . $\underline{24}$ Finally, since 25 $\frac{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}\frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle}{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|} = \frac{\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|} = \left\langle\frac{\widetilde{D}u}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|},\nu\right\rangle \qquad \left|\widetilde{D}u\right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^{n}$ <u>26</u> <u>27</u> $\underline{28}$ $\frac{\left|\langle \widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|}\frac{\langle \widetilde{D}v,\nu\rangle}{\left|\langle\widetilde{D}u,\nu\rangle\right|} = \frac{\langle\widetilde{D}v,\nu\rangle}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|} = \left\langle\frac{\widetilde{D}v}{\left|\widetilde{D}u\right|},\nu\right\rangle \qquad \left|\widetilde{D}u\right| \text{-a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^{n}$ <u>29</u> 30 <u>31</u> <u>32</u> and since both sides of (33) are zero |Du|-almost everywhere on $|\langle Du, \nu \rangle|$ -<u>33</u> negligible sets, we conclude that <u>34</u> $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(\tilde{u}(x) + h\left\langle \frac{\overline{D}u}{\left|\overline{D}u\right|}(x), \nu\right\rangle\right) - f(\tilde{u}(x))}{h} = \left\langle \frac{\overline{D}v}{\left|\overline{D}u\right|}(x), \nu\right\rangle,$ 35 <u>36</u> $\underline{37}$ <u>38</u> <u>39</u> |Du|-a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Since ν is arbitrary, by Remarks 7.2 and 7.3 the restriction of 40 f to the affine space T_x^u is differentiable at $\tilde{u}(x)$ for $|\widetilde{D}u|$ -almost every $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ <u>41</u>

and (26) holds.

<u>42</u>

 $\underline{2}$ (36) $D(t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \sum_{I \in \mathbf{n}} (-1)^{|I|-1} |I| \prod_{i \in I} t_i \prod_{j \in I} (D_j + \lambda_j t_j) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I}|\overline{I}).$

Let $t_i = \hat{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Lemma 1 leads to

$$\frac{\frac{6}{7}}{\frac{8}{5}} \quad (37) \qquad D(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n) = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{n}} \hat{x}_i \sum_{I \in \mathbf{n}} (-1)^{|I|-1} |I| \operatorname{per} \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(I|I) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I}|\overline{I}).$$

 $\underline{9}$ By (3), (13), and (37), we have the following result:

It follows from (13), (14), and (15) that

THEOREM 7.2.

$$\frac{12}{13} \quad (38) \qquad \qquad H_c = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=1}^n l(-1)^{l-1} A_l^{(\lambda)},$$

where $\underline{15}$

(39)
$$A_l^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{I_l \subseteq \mathbf{n}} \operatorname{per} \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(I_l|I_l) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I}_l|\overline{I}_l), |I_l| = l.$$

18

It is worth noting that $A_l^{(\lambda)}$ of (39) is similar to the coefficients b_l of the $\underline{19}$ characteristic polynomial of (10). It is well known in graph theory that the <u>20</u> coefficients b_l can be expressed as a sum over certain subgraphs. It is interesting $\underline{21}$ to see whether A_l , $\lambda = 0$, structural properties of a graph. $\underline{22}$

<u>23</u> We may call (38) a parametric representation of H_c . In computation, the parameter λ_i plays very important roles. The choice of the parameter usually $\underline{24}$ depends on the properties of the given graph. For a complete graph K_n , let $\underline{25}$ $\lambda_i = 1, i = 1, \ldots, n$. It follows from (39) that <u>26</u>

$$\frac{27}{28}_{29} (40) A_l^{(1)} = \begin{cases} n!, & \text{if } l = 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

<u>30</u> By (38) $\underline{31}$

$$\frac{32}{33}$$
 (41) $H_c = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)!$

 $\underline{34}$ For a complete bipartite graph $K_{n_1n_2}$, let $\lambda_i = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n$. By (39), <u>35</u>

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{36}{37} \\ \frac{37}{38} \end{array} (42) \qquad \qquad A_l = \begin{cases} -n_1! n_2! \delta_{n_1 n_2}, & \text{if } l = 2\\ 0, & \text{otherwise }. \end{cases}$$

<u>39</u> Theorem 7.2 leads to 40

$$\frac{-}{41}_{42} (43) \qquad \qquad H_c = \frac{1}{n_1 + n_2} n_1! n_2! \delta_{n_1 n_2}.$$

1

<u>3</u> 4

<u>5</u>

 $\underline{10}$

<u>11</u>

 $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{7}{8}$ $\frac{9}{10}$

11

14

15

<u>16</u>

17

<u>18</u>

19

1

2

(44)

$$\det \mathbf{K}(t = 1, t_1, \dots, t_n; l|l)$$

= $\sum_{(-1)^{|I|}} \prod t_i \prod (D_i + \lambda_i t_i) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I} \cup \{l\} | \overline{I} \cup \{l\}).$

Now, we consider an asymmetrical approach. Theorem 3.3 leads to

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \mathbf{n} - \{l\}} (I) = \prod_{i \in I} (I) \prod_{j \in I} (I) = \prod_{j \in I} (I) \prod_{i \in I} (I) \prod_{i \in I} (I) \prod_{j \in I} (I) \prod_{i \in I} (I) \prod$$

By (3) and (16) we have the following asymmetrical result:

Theorem 7.3.

(45)
$$H_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \subseteq \mathbf{n} - \{l\}} (-1)^{|I|} \operatorname{per} \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(I|I) \det \mathbf{A}^{(\lambda)}(\overline{I} \cup \{l\} | \overline{I} \cup \{l\})$$

12 which reduces to Goulden–Jackson's formula when $\lambda_i = 0, i = 1, ..., n$ [MM64]. 13

8. Various font features of the amsmath package

8.1. Bold versions of special symbols. In the amsmath package \boldsymbol is used for getting individual bold math symbols and bold Greek letters—everything in math except for letters of the Latin alphabet, where you'd use \mathbf. For example,

```
20 A_\infty + \pi A_0 \sim
```

```
21 \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{\infty}} \boldsymbol{+}
```

22 \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{0}}

 $\underline{23}$ looks like this:

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

 $\underline{27}$

 $\underline{28}$

<u>29</u>

30

8.2. "Poor man's bold". If a bold version of a particular symbol doesn't exist in the available fonts, then \boldsymbol can't be used to make that symbol bold. At the present time, this means that \boldsymbol can't be used with symbols from the msam and msbm fonts, among others. In some cases,

poor man's bold (\pmb) can be used instead of \boldsymbol:

 $A_{\infty} + \pi A_0 \sim \mathbf{A}_{\infty} + \pi \mathbf{A}_0$

<u>31</u> $\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \right|$ $\underline{32}$ <u>33</u> \[\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} <u>34</u> \pmb{\bigg\vert} <u>35</u> \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}\] 36 So-called "large operator" symbols such as \sum and \prod require an additional $\underline{37}$ command, \mathop, to produce proper spacing and limits when \pmb is used. 38 For further details see The T_EXbook. 39

$$\frac{40}{41} \qquad \sum_{\substack{i < B \\ i \text{ odd}}} \prod_{\kappa} \kappa F(r_i) \qquad \sum_{\substack{i < B \\ i \text{ odd}}} \prod_{\kappa} \kappa(r_i)$$

```
1 \[\sum_{\substack{i<B\\\text{$i$ odd}}}</pre>
```

```
2 \prod_\kappa \kappa F(r_i)\qquad
```

```
\frac{3}{\text{mathop}}_{\text{substack}i<B}\
```

```
4 \mathop{\pmb{\prod}}_\kappa \kappa(r_i)
```

<u>5</u> ∖]

<u>6</u> 7

8

9. Compound symbols and other features

9.1. Multiple integral signs. $\int, \iint, and \iiint give multiple$ <u>10</u> integral signs with the spacing between them nicely adjusted, in both text and $11 display style. <math>\int gives two integral signs with dots between them.$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{12}{13} \\
\frac{13}{14}
\end{array}$$
(46)
$$\int \int A f(x,y) \, dx \, dy \qquad \int \int \int A f(x,y,z) \, dx \, dy \, dz$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{14}{15}}{\frac{16}{47}} \quad (47) \qquad \qquad \iiint_A f(w, x, y, z) \, dw \, dx \, dy \, dz \qquad \int \cdots \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \, dx \, dy \, dz$$

 $\frac{17}{18}$ 9.2. Over and under arrows. Some extra over and under arrow operations are provided in the amsmath package. (Basic LATEX provides \overrightarrow and \overleftarrow).

$$\overrightarrow{\psi_{\delta}(t)E_th} = \underbrace{\psi_{\delta}(t)E_th}_{\overleftarrow{\psi_{\delta}(t)E_th}}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{23}{24}}_{24}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{24}{25}}{\overleftarrow{\psi_{\delta}(t)E_th}} = \underbrace{\psi_{\delta}(t)E_th}$$

 $\frac{26}{\log \pi}$

```
\frac{27}{100} \overrightarrow{\psi_\delta(t) E_t h}&
```

 $\frac{30}{10} = \$

```
\frac{31}{1} \overleftrightarrow{\psi_\delta(t) E_t h}&
```

```
\frac{32}{2} = \
```

```
\frac{33}{\sqrt{2}}
```

 $\frac{34}{35}$ These all scale properly in subscript sizes:

$$\frac{36}{37} \qquad \qquad \int_{\overrightarrow{AB}} ax \, dx$$

38 \[\int_{\overrightarrow{AB}} ax\,dx\]

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{39}{40} \\ \frac{40}{41} \\ \frac{41}{42} \end{array}$ 9.3. Dots. Normally you need only type \dots for ellipsis dots in a math formula. The main exception is when the dots fall at the end of the formula; then you need to specify one of \dotsc (series dots, after a comma), \dotsb

36

1 (binary dots, for binary relations or operators), \dotsm (multiplication dots), $\underline{2}$ or \dotsi (dots after an integral). For example, the input <u>3</u> Then we have the series \$A_1,A_2,\dotsc\$, 4 the regional sum $A_1+A_2+\$, <u>5</u> the orthogonal product \$A_1A_2\dotsm\$, <u>6</u> and the infinite integral 7 $\left[\left[\left[A_1\right]\right]\right].$ 8 produces 9 Then we have the series A_1, A_2, \ldots , the regional sum $A_1 + A_2 +$ <u>10</u> \cdots , the orthogonal product $A_1 A_2 \cdots$, and the infinite integral 11 $\underline{12}$ $\int_{A_1} \int_{A_2} \cdots$ <u>13</u> 14 9.4. Accents in math. Double accents: 15 $\hat{\hat{H}} \quad \check{\check{C}} \quad \tilde{\check{T}} \quad \acute{\hat{A}} \quad \grave{\hat{G}} \quad \dot{\check{D}} \quad \ddot{\ddot{D}} \quad \breve{\check{B}} \quad \vec{\bar{B}} \quad \vec{\bar{V}}$ 1617\[\Hat{\Hat{H}}\quad\Check{\Check{C}}\quad 18 \Tilde{\Tilde{T}}\quad\Acute{\Acute{A}}\quad 19\Grave{\Grave{G}}\quad\Dot{\Dot{D}}\quad 20 \Ddot{\Ddot{D}}\quad\Breve{\Breve{B}}\quad $\underline{21}$ $Bar{Bar{B}}/quadVec{Vec{V}}]$ $\underline{22}$ <u>23</u> This double accent operation is complicated and tends to slow down the processing of a LATEX file. 24 $\underline{25}$ 9.5. Dot accents. \dddot and \dddot are available to produce triple and <u>26</u> quadruple dot accents in addition to the \dot and \ddot accents already avail-<u>27</u> able in $\mathbb{A}T_{E}X$: <u>28</u> \ddot{Q} \ddot{R} <u>29</u> $\left[\ddot{Q} \right]$ 30 <u>31</u> 9.6. Roots. In the amsmath package \leftroot and \uproot allow you to <u>32</u> adjust the position of the root index of a radical: <u>33</u> \sqrt[\leftroot{-2}\uproot{2}\beta]{k} 34gives good positioning of the β : <u>35</u> $\sqrt[\beta]{k}$ 36 <u>37</u> 9.7. Boxed formulas. The command \boxed puts a box around its argu-38 ment, like \fbox except that the contents are in math mode: 39 \boxed{W_t-F\subseteq V(P_i)\subseteq W_t} 40 <u>41</u> $W_t - F \subseteq V(P_i) \subseteq W_t$. $\underline{42}$ Proof: page numbers may be temporary

1 9.8. Extensible arrows. \xleftarrow and \xrightarrow produce arrows $\underline{2}$ that extend automatically to accommodate unusually wide subscripts or su-<u>3</u> perscripts. The text of the subscript or superscript are given as an optional 4 resp. mandatory argument: Example: $\underline{5}$ $0 \xleftarrow{\alpha}{\zeta} F \times \triangle[n-1] \xrightarrow{\partial_0 \alpha(b)} E^{\partial_0 b}$ <u>6</u> $\underline{7}$ \[0 \xleftarrow[\zeta]{\alpha} F\times\triangle[n-1] 8 \xrightarrow{\partial_0\alpha(b)} E^{\partial_0b}\] 9 10 9.9. \overset, \underset, and \sideset. Examples: 11 $\begin{array}{cccc} & * & X & X \\ X & X & X \\ & * & & h \end{array}$ <u>12</u> $\underline{13}$ \[\overset{*}{X}\qquad\underset{*}{X}\qquad 14\overset{a}{\underset{b}{X}}\] 15 $\underline{16}$ The command \sideset is for a rather special purpose: putting symbols 17at the subscript and superscript corners of a large operator symbol such as \sum <u>18</u> or \prod , without affecting the placement of limits. Examples: $\underline{19}$ $\prod_{k=1}^{*} \prod_{k=1}^{*} \sum_{0 \le i \le m}' E_i \beta x$ <u>20</u> $\underline{21}$ <u>22</u> $[\sideset{_*^*}{_*^*}\prod_k\quad$ <u>23</u> \sideset{}{'}\sum_{0\le i\le m} E_i\beta x $\underline{24}$ $\backslash]$ $\underline{25}$ 9.10. The \text command. The main use of the command \text is for <u>26</u> words or phrases in a display: <u>27</u> <u>28</u> $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}'$ if and only if $y'_k = \delta_k y_{\tau(k)}$ $\underline{29}$ \[\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}'\quad\text{if and only if}\quad 30 $y'_k=\delta_k y_{\tau(k)}]$ <u>31</u> <u>32</u> 9.11. Operator names. The more common math functions such as log, sin, <u>33</u> and lim have predefined control sequences: \log, \sin, \lim. The amsmath $\underline{34}$ package provides \DeclareMathOperator and \DeclareMathOperator* for $\underline{35}$ producing new function names that will have the same typographical treat-<u>36</u> ment. Examples: $\underline{37}$ $||f||_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|$ <u>38</u> $\underline{39}$ \[\norm{f}_\infty= $\sum_{x\in \mathbb{R}^n} b \{f(x)\}$ $\underline{40}$ 41 $\operatorname{meas}_1\{u \in R^1_+ \colon f^*(u) > \alpha\} = \operatorname{meas}_n\{x \in R^n \colon |f(x)| \ge \alpha\} \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$ $\underline{42}$

```
1
     \left[ \sum_{u \in \mathbb{R}^{+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+1} \right]
\underline{2}
     =\meas_n\{x\in R^n\colon \black{f(x)}\geq\alpha\}
3
     \quad \forall\alpha>0.\]
4
     \essup and \meas would be defined in the document preamble as
<u>5</u>
     \DeclareMathOperator*{\esssup}{ess\,sup}
<u>6</u>
     \DeclareMathOperator{\meas}{meas}
7
8
           The following special operator names are predefined in the amsmath pack-
9
     age: \varlimsup, \varliminf, \varinjlim, and \varprojlim. Here's what
<u>10</u>
     they look like in use:
<u>11</u>

\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{Q}(u_n, u_n - u^{\#}) \le 0

\lim_{n \to \infty} |a_{n+1}| / |a_n| = 0

     (48)
12
\underline{13}
     (49)
14
                                     \lim_{i \to \infty} (m_i^{\lambda} \cdot)^* \le 0
     (50)
15
                                     \varprojlim_{p\in S(A)}A_p\leq 0
\underline{16}
     (51)
17
18
     \begin{align}
\underline{19}
     &\varlimsup_{n\rightarrow\infty}
20
               \mathbb{Q}(u_n,u_n-u^{+}))
<u>21</u>
     &\varliminf_{n\rightarrow\infty}
\underline{22}
        \left\lvert a_{n+1}\right\rvert/\left\lvert a_n\right\rvert=0\\
<u>23</u>
     &\varinjlim (m_i^\lambda\cdot)^*\le0\\
\underline{24}
     &\varprojlim_{p\in S(A)}A_p\le0
\underline{25}
     \end{align}
26
\underline{27}
           9.12. \mod and its relatives. The commands \mod and \pod are variants
     of \pmod preferred by some authors; \mod omits the parentheses, whereas \pod
\underline{28}
     omits the 'mod' and retains the parentheses. Examples:
\underline{29}
30
                                       x \equiv y + 1 \pmod{m^2}
     (52)
31
                                       x \equiv y + 1 \mod m^2
\underline{32}
     (53)
<u>33</u>
                                       x \equiv y + 1 \quad (m^2)
     (54)
34
<u>35</u>
     \begin{align}
<u>36</u>
     x&\equiv y+1\pmod{m^2}\\
\underline{37}
     x&\equiv y+1\mod{m^2}\\
<u>38</u>
     x&\equiv y+1\pod{m^2}
39
     \end{align}
40
           9.13. Fractions and related constructions. The usual notation for binomi-
<u>41</u>
     als is similar to the fraction concept, so it has a similar command \binom with
\underline{42}
```

```
1
    two arguments. Example:
\underline{2}
                        \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{C}} I_{\gamma} = 2^{k} - \binom{k}{1} 2^{k-1} + \binom{k}{2} 2^{k-2}
<u>3</u>
\underline{4}
                                     +\cdots + (-1)^{l} \binom{k}{l} 2^{k-l} + \cdots + (-1)^{k}
    (55)
\underline{5}
<u>6</u>
                                  = (2-1)^k = 1
7
8
    \begin{equation}
9
    \begin{split}
10
     [\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_C} I_\gamma&
11
    =2^k-\binom{k}{1}2^{k-1}+\binom{k}{2}2^{k-2}\\
12
    &\quad+\dots+(-1)^l\binom{k}{1}2^{k-1}
13
    +\dots+(-1)^k\\
14
    &=(2-1)^k=1
15
     \end{split}
\underline{16}
    \end{equation}
\underline{17}
    There are also abbreviations
18
    \dfrac
                          \dbinom
19
    \tfrac
                          \tbinom
<u>20</u>
\underline{21}
   for the commonly needed constructions
\underline{22}
    {\displaystyle\frac ... }
                                               {\displaystyle\binom ... }
<u>23</u>
    {\textstyle\frac ... }
                                               {\textstyle\binom ... }
\underline{24}
          The generalized fraction command \genfrac provides full access to the
\underline{25}
    six T<sub>F</sub>X fraction primitives:
26
                                               \verb+verwithdelims: \left< \frac{n+1}{2} \right> \\ \verb+verwithdelims: \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right) \\
                    \operatorname{Vover:} \frac{n+1}{2}
\underline{27}
    (56)
<u>28</u>
                  \atop: \frac{n+1}{2}
<u>29</u>
     (57)
30
                  \above: \frac{n+1}{2}
<u>31</u>
                                                   \abovewithdelims: \left|\frac{n+1}{2}\right|
    (58)
32
<u>33</u>
     \text{\cn{over}: }&\genfrac{}{}{}{n+1}{2}&
\underline{34}
    \text{\cn{overwithdelims}: }&
<u>35</u>
       \left\{\left\{1angle}{\left\{1angle}\right\}\right\}
36
     \text{\cn{atop}: }&\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{n+1}{2}&
37
     \text{\cn{atopwithdelims}: }&
<u>38</u>
       genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{n+1}{2}\
39
     \text{\cn{above}: }&\genfrac{}{}{1pt}{}{n+1}{2}&
\underline{40}
     \text{\cn{abovewithdelims}: }&
<u>41</u>
        \genfrac{[}{]}{1pt}{}{n+1}{2}
\underline{42}
```

1 9.14. Continued fractions. The continued fraction 2 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \cdots}}}}}$ <u>3</u> (59)4 <u>5</u> <u>6</u> 7 8 9 can be obtained by typing 10 11 $cfrac{1}{sqrt{2}+}$ $\underline{12}$ $cfrac{1}{sqrt{2}+}$ $\underline{13}$ $cfrac{1}{sqrt{2}+}$ 14 $cfrac{1}{sqrt{2}+}$ 15 $cfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}+\dotsb}$ <u>16</u> }}}} <u>17</u> Left or right placement of any of the numerators is accomplished by using <u>18</u> \cfrac[1] or \cfrac[r] instead of \cfrac. 199.15. Smash. In amsmath there are optional arguments t and b for the 20 plain TFX command \smash, because sometimes it is advantageous to be able $\underline{21}$ to 'smash' only the top or only the bottom of something while retaining the $\underline{22}$ natural depth or height. In the formula $X_j = (1/\sqrt{\lambda_j})X'_j \$ bmash[b] has been <u>23</u> used to limit the size of the radical symbol. $\underline{24}$ $\underline{25}$ $X_j=(1/\operatorname{Sqrt})X_j'$ <u>26</u> Without the use of $\mbox{smash[b]}$ the formula would have appeared thus: $X_j =$ <u>27</u> $(1/\sqrt{\lambda_j})X'_j$, with the radical extending to encompass the depth of the subscript $\underline{28}$ *j*. <u>29</u> 9.16. The 'cases' environment. 'Cases' constructions like the following 30 can be produced using the cases environment. <u>31</u> <u>32</u> $P_{r-j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r-j \text{ is odd,} \\ r! (-1)^{(r-j)/2} & \text{if } r-j \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ <u>33</u> (60)<u>34</u> <u>35</u> $begin{equation} P_{r-j}=$ <u>36</u> \begin{cases} <u>37</u> 0& $\text{if $r-j$ is odd}, \$ <u>38</u> r!\,(-1)^{(r-j)/2}& \text{if \$r-j\$ is even}. 39 \end{cases} 40\end{equation} <u>41</u> Notice the use of \text and the embedded math. $\underline{42}$

```
1
            9.17. Matrix. Here are samples of the matrix environments, \matrix,
\underline{2}
     \pmatrix, \bmatrix, \Bmatrix, \vmatrix and \Vmatrix:
<u>3</u>
4
\underline{5}
              \begin{array}{cccc} \vartheta & \varrho & \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta & \varrho \\ \varphi & \varpi & \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta & \varrho \\ \varphi & \varpi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta & \varrho \\ \varphi & \varpi \end{bmatrix} & \begin{cases} \vartheta & \varrho \\ \varphi & \varpi \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta & \varrho \\ \varphi & \varpi \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta & \varrho \\ \varphi & \varpi \end{bmatrix} 
<u>6</u>
     (61)
7
8
9
10
     \begin{matrix}
11
     \vartheta& \varrho\\\varphi& \varpi
12
     \end{matrix}\quad
13
     \begin{pmatrix}
14
     \vartheta& \varrho\\\varphi& \varpi
15
     \end{pmatrix}\quad
<u>16</u>
     \begin{bmatrix}
\underline{17}
     \vartheta& \varrho\\\varphi& \varpi
18
     \end{bmatrix}\quad
19
     \begin{Bmatrix}
20
     \vartheta& \varrho\\\varphi& \varpi
21
     \end{Bmatrix}\quad
22
     \begin{vmatrix}
23
     \vartheta& \varrho\\\varphi& \varpi
24
     \end{vmatrix}\quad
25
     \begin{Vmatrix}
26
     \vartheta& \varrho\\\varphi& \varpi
\underline{27}
     \end{Vmatrix}
28
\underline{29}
<u>30</u>
            To produce a small matrix suitable for use in text, use the smallmatrix
     environment.
\underline{31}
\underline{32}
<u>33</u>
     \begin{math}
\underline{34}
         \bigl( \begin{smallmatrix}
\underline{35}
                a&b\\ c&d
<u>36</u>
            \end{smallmatrix} \bigr)
\underline{37}
     \end{math}
<u>38</u>
\underline{39}
     To show the effect of the matrix on the surrounding lines of a paragraph, we
40
     put it here: \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} and follow it with enough text to ensure that there will be
<u>41</u>
     at least one full line below the matrix.
\underline{42}
```

1 \hdotsfor{number} produces a row of dots in a matrix spanning the 2 given number of columns: <u>3</u> $W(\Phi) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\varphi}{(\varphi_1, \varepsilon_1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{\varphi k_{n2}}{(\varphi_2, \varepsilon_1)} & \frac{\varphi}{(\varphi_2, \varepsilon_2)} & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{\varphi k_{n1}}{(\varphi_n, \varepsilon_1)} & \frac{\varphi k_{n2}}{(\varphi_n, \varepsilon_2)} & \dots & \frac{\varphi k_{nn-1}}{(\varphi_n, \varepsilon_{n-1})} & \frac{\varphi}{(\varphi_n, \varepsilon_n)} \end{vmatrix}$ $\underline{4}$ <u>5</u> <u>6</u> 7 8 9 <u>10</u> $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{Phi}) = \mathbb{Vmatrix}$ 11\dfrac\varphi{(\varphi_1,\varepsilon_1)}&0&\dots&0\\ 12\dfrac{\varphi k_{n2}}{(\varphi_2,\varepsilon_1)}& 13\dfrac\varphi{(\varphi_2,\varepsilon_2)}&\dots&0\\ 14 $hdotsfor{5}$ 15\dfrac{\varphi k_{n1}}{(\varphi_n, \varepsilon_1)}& 16\dfrac{\varphi k_{n2}}{(\varphi_n, \varepsilon_2)}&\dots& 17\dfrac{\varphi k_{n\,n-1}}{(\varphi_n,\varepsilon_{n-1})}& 18 \dfrac{\varphi}{(\varphi_n,\varepsilon_n)} 19\end{Vmatrix}\] 20 The spacing of the dots can be varied through use of a square-bracket option, $\underline{21}$ $\underline{22}$ for example, \hdotsfor[1.5]{3}. The number in square brackets will be used <u>23</u> as a multiplier; the normal value is 1. $\underline{24}$ 9.18. The \substack command. The \substack command can be used $\underline{25}$ to produce a multiline subscript or superscript: for example 26\sum_{\substack{0\le i\le m\\ 0<j<n}} P(i,j)</pre> $\underline{27}$ $\underline{28}$ produces a two-line subscript underneath the sum: <u>29</u> (62) $\sum_{\substack{0 \le i \le m \\ 0 \le i \le m}} P(i,j)$ 30 <u>31</u> $\underline{32}$ A slightly more generalized form is the subarray environment which allows 33 you to specify that each line should be left-aligned instead of centered, as here: 34Maybe "... as below"? <u>35</u> $\sum_{\substack{0 \le i \le m \\ 0 \le i \le m}} P(i,j)$ (63)36 <u>37</u> <u>38</u> \sum_{\begin{subarray}{1} 39 $0 \leq i \leq m \leq 0 \leq j \leq n$ 40 \end{subarray}} <u>41</u> P(i,j) $\underline{42}$

```
1
          9.19. Biq-q-q delimiters. Here are some big delimiters, first in \normalsize:
\underline{2}
                                    \left(\mathbf{E}_y \int_0^{t_{\varepsilon}} L_{x,y^x(s)} \varphi(x) \, ds\right)
<u>3</u>
\underline{4}
\underline{5}
    [\[E]_{y}
<u>6</u>
       \int_0^{t_\sqrt{x}(s)}_{x,y^x(s)}
7
       \biggr)
8
    \]
9
    and now in \Large size:
10
11
                                   \left(\mathbf{E}_y \int_0^{t_{\varepsilon}} L_{x,y^x(s)} \varphi(x) \, ds\right)
\underline{12}
\underline{13}
\underline{14}
    {\Large
\underline{15}
    [\[E]_{y}
       \int_0^{t_\sqrt{x}(s)}_{x,y^x(s)}
\underline{16}
\underline{17}
       \biggr)
<u>18</u>
    \]}
\underline{19}
<u>20</u>
                                            References
\underline{21}
<u>22</u>
    [Arn89]
                   V. I. ARNOLD, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, second
                   ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 60, Springer, New York, 1989.
<u>23</u>
                   W. DIFFIE and E. HELLMAN, New directions in cryptography, IEEE
    [DH76]
\underline{24}
                   Transactions on Information Theory 22 (1976), 644–654.
\underline{25}
    [Fre94]
                   D. H. FREMLIN, Cichon's diagram, presented at the Séminaire Initia-
26
                   tion à l'Analyse, G. Choquet, M. Rogalski, J. Saint Raymond, at the
\underline{27}
                   Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, 23e année., 1983/194.
<u>28</u>
                   D. H. FREMLIN, Topological Riesz Spaces and Measure Theory, Cam-
    [Fre08]
\underline{29}
                   bridge University Press, 2008.
<u>30</u>
    [GJ81]
                   I. P. GOULDEN and D. M. JACKSON, The enumeration of directed closed
\underline{31}
                   Euler trails and directed Hamiltonian circuits by Langrangian methods,
<u>32</u>
                   European Journal of Combinatorics 2 (1981), 131–212.
<u>33</u>
                   C. DE GROOT, D. WÜRTZ, M. HANF, R. PEIKERT, T. KOLLER, and
    [dGWH^+92]
\underline{34}
                   K. H. HOFFMANN, Stochastic optimization-efficient algorithms to solve
\underline{35}
                   complex problems, in System Modelling and Optimization, Proceedings of
                   the Fifteenth IFIP Conference (Zürich) (P. KALL, ed.), Springer-Verlag,
<u>36</u>
                   1992, pp. 546-555.
37
    [HP73]
                   F. HARARY and E. M. PALMER, Graphical Enumeration, Academic
<u>38</u>
                   Press, 1973.
39
    [ILL89]
                   R. IMPAGLIAZZO, L. LEVIN, and M. LUBY, Pseudo-random generation
40
                   from one-way functions, in Proc. 21st STOC (Seattle, WA, USA), ACM,
<u>41</u>
                   New York, 1989, pp. 12–24.
\underline{42}
```

$\frac{1}{2}$	[Knu94]	D. E. KNUTH, <i>The T_EXbook</i> , with illustrations by Duane Bibby, <i>Computers & Typesetting A</i> , Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading,
<u>3</u>		MA, 1994.
4	[KMY87a]	M. KOJIMA, S. MIZUNO, and A. YOSHISE, A New Continuation Method
<u>5</u>		for Complementarity Problems With Uniform p-Functions, Tech. Report
<u>6</u>		B-194, Tokyo Inst. of Technology, Dept. of Information Sciences, Tokyo,
<u>7</u>		1987.
8	[KMY87b]	M. KOJIMA, S. MIZUNO, and A. YOSHISE, A Polynomial-Time Algo-
<u>9</u>		rithm For a Class of Linear Complementarity Problems, Tech. Report
<u>10</u>		B-193, Tokyo Inst. of Technology, Dept. of Information Sciences, Tokyo,
<u>11</u>		1987.
<u>12</u>	[LO74]	H. W. LENSTRA, JR. and F. OORT, Simple abelian varieties hav-
<u>13</u>		ing a prescribed formal isogeny type., J. Pure Appl. Algebra 4
		(1974), 47–53. MR 0279.14009. Zbl 50:7163. https://doi.org/10.
<u>14</u> 15		1016/0022-4049(74)90029-2. Available at http://www.math.leidenuniv.
<u>15</u> 16		nl/~hwl/PUBLICATIONS/1973a/art.pdf.
$\frac{16}{17}$	[LC84]	C. J. LIU and Y. CHOW, On operator and formal sum methods for
<u>17</u>		graph enumeration problems, SIAM Journal of Algorithms and Discrete Methods 5 (1984), 384–438.
<u>18</u>	[MM64]	M. MARCUS and H. MINC, A survey of matrix theory and matrix in-
<u>19</u>		equalities, Complementary Series in Mathematics 14 (1964), 21–48.
<u>20</u>	[Mic38]	A. D. MICHAL, Differential calculus in linear topological spaces, <i>Proc.</i>
<u>21</u>	[]	nat. Acad. Sci. USA 24 (1938), 340–342. JFM 64.0366.02.
<u>22</u>	[Mic48]	A. D. MICHAL, Matrix and Tensor Calculus, GALCIT Aeronautical Se-
<u>23</u>		ries, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; Chapman & Hall, Ltd., New York; Lon-
$\underline{24}$		don, 1948.
25	[MO08]	A. MINASYAN and D. OSIN, Normal Automorphisms of Relatively Hy-
<u>26</u>		perbolic Groups, 2008. arXiv 0809.2408.
$\underline{27}$	[MYK88]	S. MIZUNO, A. YOSHISE, and T. KIKUCHI, Practical Polynomial Time
<u>28</u>		Algorithms for Linear Complementarity Problems, Tech. Report 13,
<u>29</u>		Tokyo Inst. of Technology, Dept. of Industrial Engineering and Man-
<u>30</u>		agement, Tokyo, April 1988.
$\underline{31}$	[MA87]	R. D. MONTEIRO and I. ADLER, Interior Path Following Primal-Dual
<u>32</u>		Algorithms, Part II: Quadratic Programming, Working paper, Dept. of
<u>33</u>		Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, August 1987.
<u>34</u>	[Ste70]	E. M. STEIN, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Func-
<u>35</u>	[V 0 7]	tions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
<u>36</u>	[Ye87]	Y. YE, Interior Algorithms for Linear, Quadratic and Linearly Con-
<u>37</u>		strained Convex Programming, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford Univ., Dept. of Engineering-Economic Systems, Pole Alto, CA, July 1987
<u>38</u>	[Zarh92]	Engineering–Economic Systems, Palo Alto, CA, July 1987. YU. G. ZARHIN, Abelian varieties having a reduction of K3 type, <i>Duke</i>
<u>39</u>	[2a1132]	Math J. 65 (1992), 17 pp. MR 1154181. Zbl 0774.14039.
<u>40</u>	[Zara]	YU. G. ZARHIN, Algebra and Cryptography, Private Communication.
<u>41</u>	[Zarb]	YU. G. ZARHIN, On Abel Groups, Private Communication.
<u>42</u>	r j	, <u>,</u> ,

	46	AMS and BORIS VEYTSMAN
$\frac{1}{2}$		(Received: December 24, 2004) (Revised: April 12, 2006)
$\frac{3}{4}$ $\underline{5}$		AMS, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND <i>E-mail</i> : tech-support@ams.org
<u>6</u> <u>7</u> <u>8</u>		GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY, FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA <i>E-mail</i> : borisv@lk.net http://borisv.lk.net
<u>9</u> <u>10</u>		
$\frac{11}{12}$		
$\frac{13}{14}$		
$\frac{15}{16}$		
$\frac{17}{18}$		
$\frac{19}{20}$		
<u>21</u> <u>22</u>		
23 24		
$\frac{25}{26}$		
$\frac{27}{28}$ $\frac{29}{29}$		
<u>30</u> <u>31</u>		
<u>32</u> <u>33</u>		
<u>34</u> <u>35</u>		
<u>36</u> <u>37</u>		
<u>38</u> <u>39</u>		
$\frac{40}{41}$		
42		