# User-Visible Changes to the **amsrefs** Package, version 2.0

David M. Jones

June 30, 2004

This document describes some of the user-visible changes made to the **amsrefs** package between version 1.23, the last public release, and version 2.0. This list is not exhaustive. Instead, the focus is on correct (or at least reasonable) user documents that would produce different output when processed under the two versions. In general, the changes discussed here fall between the following poles:

- 1. Version 1.23 produces results that are subtly wrong. These range from things that would go unnoticed by any but the most attentive reader to things that, even if noticed, would likely be shrugged off as unimportant.
- 2. Version 1.23 produces results that are obviously wrong, i.e., they would be obvious under even the most cursory examination of the output. In most cases, this means the resulting document would be unacceptable.

We don't include the even more severe category of examples where version 1.23 generates a fatal error since no document would be produced in that case. We also don't include enhancements or new features that depend upon new syntax, since such constructs would not be expected to be found in version 1.23 documents.

Reference numbers in square brackets refer to bugs reported by users.

### 1 The initials option

See table 1 for illustrations of these changes.

**Change 1** [**B-2005**]. When a given name ends in a period, an extra space and period would be appended to the name. Lines 1 and 2 in table 1 illustrate the most common cases. Line 3 illustrates a related case that is both a bug fix and an enhancement (cf. lines 7 and 8): initials consisting of multiple characters were not recognized (cf. change 6).

Change 2 [B-2005a]. Ties ( $\tilde{}$ ) between given names resulted in incorrect output, either extra space (line 4) or a dropped initial (line 5). Since BibT<sub>E</sub>X automatically generates ties, this

Table 1.	Bugs in	the initials	option
----------	---------	--------------	--------

	Input	v1.23 output	v2.0 output
1.	Doe, J.	J Doe	J. Doe
2.	Doe, John R.	J. R Doe	J. R. Doe
3.	Doe, Yu.	Y Doe	Yu. Doe
4.	Doe, J.~R.	J. R Doe	J. R. Doe
5.	Doe, John <sup>~</sup> Henry	J. Doe	J. H. Doe
6.	Bing, R H	R H. Bing	R H Bing
7.	Katzenbach, N. {deB}elleville	@B@@@@@@@@@ N. Katzenbach	N. deB. Katzenbach
8.	Katzenbach, N. deB.	@ @ @@ N. Katzenbach	N. deB. Katzenbach
9.	Grömov, Mårtin	MÅ. Grömov	M. Grömov
10.	Doe, {Yu}ri	Y. Doe	Yu. Doe

problem occurs frequently when using amsxport.

Change 3. A name consisting of a single letter would receive an erroneous period (line 6).

Change 4. Names beginning with lowercase letters were handled badly (lines 7 and 8) (cf. change 6).

**Change 5.** Extended character sets were not handled well. For example, when using T1 fonts, lowercase 8-bit characters were not processed correctly (line 9).

Change 6. There was no way to indicate a multi-character initial (line 10). (Cf. changes 1 and 4).

# 2 The xref field

Change 7 [B-2009]. Repeatable fields (such as editor) were not inherited via the xref field. Consider the following example:

```
\bib*{icalp77}{proceedings}{
    editor={Arto Salomaa},
    editor={Magnus Steinby},
    title={Automata, Languages and Programming, Fourth Colloquium}
}
\bib{AhoS1977}{article}{
    title={How Hard is Compiler Code Generation?},
    author={Alfred V. Aho},
    author={Ravi Sethi},
    xref={icalp77},
    pages={1\ndash 15}
}
```

Version 1.23 would produce

Alfred V. Aho and Ravi Sethi, *How Hard is Compiler Code Generation?*, Automata, Languages and Programming, Fourth Colloquium, pp. 1–15.

(without the editors) instead of

Alfred V. Aho and Ravi Sethi, *How Hard is Compiler Code Generation?*, Automata, Languages and Programming, Fourth Colloquium (Arto Salomaa and Magnus Steinby, eds.), pp. 1–15.

Other additive keys like author, isbn and review would similarly be dropped.

### 3 The alphabetic option

**Change 8** [B-2009]. In version 1.23, generation of alphabetic labels was done by  $BibT_EX$  rather than by amsrefs.sty. This means that if you did not use  $BibT_EX$  to generate your \bib entries from a .bib file, amsrefs would use the author's citation key as the alphabetic label<sup>1</sup>. This means that an entry such as

```
\bib{D1999}{article}{
    author={Doe, John~R.},
    year={1999}
}
```

would appear as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It was also possible for the user to supply a different alphabetic label using the label field, but this feature was undocumented.

[D1999] John R. Doe (1999).

while if the same entry was generated from a .bib file using amsxport, the entry would appear as

[Doe99] John R. Doe (1999).

This approach had a number of problems, such as lack of uniformity, so in version 2.0, it is amsrefs.sty that generates the alphabetic label, not  $BibT_EX$ , and the entry above would appear as [Doe99] even if  $BibT_EX$  is not used.

# 4 Changes to bibliography style

Change 9. A book with both an author and an editor, for example,

```
\bib{X}{book}{
    author={Doe, John},
    editor={Crow, Jack},
    title={Book with one author and one editor}
}
```

would be formatted as

John DoeJack Crow (ed.), Book with one author and one editor.

rather than

John Doe, Book with one author and one editor. Edited by Jack Crow.

Change 10. Editors did not participate in the automatic \bysame processing, so if you had two books by the same editor, e.g.

```
\bib{A}{book}{
    editor={Crow, Jack},
    title={First book}
}
\bib{B}{book}{
    editor={Crow, Jack},
    title={Second book}
}
```

the result would be

[1] Jack Crow (ed.), *First book*.

[2] Jack Crow (ed.), Second book.

instead of

[1] Jack Crow (ed.), *First book*.

[2] \_\_\_\_\_(ed.), Second book.

Consider also what happens if we change the editor in the first entry to author:

[1] Jack Crow, *First book*.

[2] Jack Crow (ed.), Second book.

versus

[1] Jack Crow, First book.

[2] \_\_\_\_\_(ed.), Second book.

**Change 11.** Some changes have been made to punctuation and order of fields to bring the amsrefs format into line with the AMS house style.

# 5 \cite-like commands

Change 12. The \ycites, \ocites, \fullcite and \fullocite commands for author-year citations were not implemented in version 1.23. Instead, they produced a warning on the terminal and then behaved identically to the \cites, \cite or \ocite commands. They will be implemented in version 2.0 and thus produce different output. Table 2 illustrates the changes.

Table 2. The \cite-like commands

Consider the following entries:

Crow, Jack, John Doe, and John Bull. 1999....

Doe, John. 2000....

If the citation keys for the items are "A" and "B", respectively, the various \cite commands would produce the following:

	Input	v1.23 output	v2.0 output
1.	$cite{A}$	(Crow et al., 1999)	(Crow et al., 1999)
2.	$fullcite{A}$	(Crow et al., 1999)	(Crow, Doe, and Bull, 1999)
3.	$\cite{A}$	Crow et al. $(1999)$	Crow et al. (1999)
4.	$fullocite{A}$	Crow et al. $(1999)$	Crow, Doe, and Bull (1999)
5.	$\det{A,B}$	(Crow et al., 1999; Doe, 2000)	(Crow et al., 1999; Doe, 2000)
6.	\ycites{A,B}	(Crow et al., 1999; Doe, 2000)	(1999, 2000)
7.	\ocites{A,B}	(Crow et al., $1999$ ; Doe, $2000$ )	Crow et al. $(1999)$ and Doe $(2000)$

**Change 13.** Citation-sorting did not always work correctly when there were optional arguments involved. So,

```
\citelist{\cite{2} \cite{1} \cite{3}}
```

would correctly produce

[1-3]

 $\operatorname{but}$ 

```
\citelist{\cite{2} \cite{1} \cite{3}*{Theorem 1}}
```

would produce

[1, 3, Theorem 1; 2]

instead of

[1; 2; 3, Theorem 1]

Note also that version 2.0 correctly switches to using semi-colons while version 1.23 erroneously used a comma between the first and second items.

#### 6 The inicap package and \EnglishInitialCaps

#### Change 14.

As of version 2.0, **amsrefs** no longer attempts to adjust the capitalization of book titles. Version 1.23 shipped with a package called **inicap** that provided a function for converting English titles from normal upper/lower case to "initial caps" form. For example, if an author typed

booktitle={Group rings, crossed products and Galois theory}

amsrefs would automatically adjust it to

Group Rings, Crossed Products and Galois Theory

However, inicap is incomplete and produces incorrect results in cases like the following:

Term rewriting with sharing and memo{\"\i}zation ITerm Rewriting with Sharing and memožation

The \ldq low  $M^*=estimate \rdq$  for covering numbers The "low  $M^*$ -estimate" for Covering Numbers

(where "low" and "estimate" should be capitalized)

and an example like the following, which uses the qq command that we recommend, would result in a fatal error:

#### The \qq{low \$M^\*\$-estimate} for covering numbers

In addition, this feature was of limited usefulness since it was only applied to book titles. Authors should already be accustomed to taking full responsibility for the capitalization of book titles since  $BibT_{FX}$  does not alter the capitalization of book titles.

The low utility of the feature does not seem to warrant the effort that would be required to make the **inicap** package robust enough for use in a production environment, so we have decided instead to deprecate it and remove this functionality from the **amsrefs** package.